1 **Topics Covered**

- Public Key Encryption
- A Public Key Encryption from the DDH Assumption
- El Gamal Encryption
- CRHF from Discrete Log
- PRG from DDH

$\mathbf{2}$ Recall

Recall the three number theoretic assumptions we saw last time. We will build Cryptographic schemes or protocols based on the hardness of these problems.

 \diamond

DEFINITION 1 $(G, g, q) \leftarrow \mathsf{Groupgen}(1^n)$

Assumption 1 DL Given g, g^X , it is hard to find X.

Assumption 2 Computational Diffie Hellman Given g, g^X, g^Y , it is hard to find g^{XY} .

Assumption 3 Decisional Diffie Hellman Given g, g^X, g^Y , it is hard to distinguish between g^{XY} and g^Z , where Z is chosen at random.

$$(g, g^X, g^Y, g^{XY}) \approx (g, g^X, g^Y, g^Z)$$

Key Agreement from the Diffie Helman scheme 3

В А $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_a$ $\begin{array}{c} h_A = g^X \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} h_B = g^Y \end{array}$ <1- $Y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ $h_A^Y = g^{XY}$ $h_B^X = g^{XY}$

The keys agreed upon by A and B is g^{XY} .

Lecture 14, Page 1

It is interesting to note that in this scheme, A and B were able to agree upon a key without communicating about it. Each party generates a puzzle uniformly at random: A generates $h_A = g^X$, and B generates $h_B = g^Y$. Then, they send their puzzles to each other, and establish the key to be g^{XY} . Proving this scheme is secure is equivalent to showing that the DDH assumption holds.

4 Public Key Encryption

The general syntax of Public Key Encryption is the following. There will be two keys: one public key p_k and a private or secret key s_k . Any sender encrypts the message using the public key of the receiver. The receiver decrypts the message using her own secret key. The private key p_k defines a message space \mathcal{M}_{p_k} .

$$(p_k, s_k) \longleftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$$
$$c \longleftarrow \mathsf{Enc}(p_k, m)$$
$$m \longleftarrow \mathsf{Dec}(s_k, c)$$

Correctness: For correctness, we must satisfy the condition as follows, that decoding of a valid encryption is always correct:

 $\forall (p_k, s_k) \in \mathsf{Gen}(1^n), \forall m \in \mathcal{M}_{p_k},$

 $\Pr\left[\mathsf{Dec}(s_k,\mathsf{Enc}(p_k,m))=m\right]=1$

Security: To show the security of Public Key Encryption, we define the following experiment. $\overline{}$

 $\mathsf{Exp}_A^b(1^n)$:

$$(p_k, s_k) \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n)$$
$$(M_0, M_1) \leftarrow A(1^n, p_k), \text{ where } M_0, M_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{p_k}$$
$$c \leftarrow \mathsf{Enc}(p_k, M_b)$$
$$b' \leftarrow A(c)$$

The adversary can read two(2) messages M_0, M_1 , and is trying to determine which experiment is current, that is, tries to distinguish between the encryption of them. That is, given M_b , it attempts to find out whether $b \stackrel{?}{=} 0, 1$. It outputs b' and wins the game if and only if b = b'.

We shall prove the security of this game by showing that the experiments Exp^0 and Exp^1 are computationally indistinguishable. Given a vector of messages, the argument goes via a hybrid argument. That is,

 $\mathsf{Exp}^0 \approx \mathsf{Exp}^1 \Rightarrow \forall \mathsf{PPT}A,$

$$|\Pr\left[\mathsf{Exp}_{A}^{0}(1^{n})=1\right]-\Pr\left[\mathsf{Exp}_{A}^{1}(1^{n})=1\right]|=\mathsf{negl}(n)$$

Lecture 14, Page 2

Remark 1 If the Encoder Enc is deterministic, it is easy for the adversary to distinguish between $Enc(M_0), Enc(M_1)$. Since the encoder is public, the adversary does not need a random oracle to encode the messages. The adversary can invoke the encoder and encode the messages and compare with M_b . Therefore, we see that the Enc must be randomized.

5 Public Key Encryption from DDH

We can use the DDH assumption to build a public key encryption as follows, by a minor modification of the key exchange protocol we saw before. The following protocol of communications between A and B are ordained:

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ s_k := X \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q \\ & & \\ \hline p_k := h_A = g^X \\ & & \\ \hline & & \\ & & \\ \hline & & \\ h_B^X = g^{XY} \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} B \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \hline & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{c} & & \\ & \\ & &$$

Thus, A the recipient first selects its secret key s_k by a random sampling, and builds the public key p_k , which it communicates to the sender B. Then, the sender B generates a random sample Y, using which and the public key p_k , it encrypts the message m and sends over to A. Note that the recovery of s_k from p_k is subject to the DL hardness assumption.

6 El Gamal Encryption

From the DDH based scheme we get the El Gamal public key cryptography scheme.

$$(G, g, q) \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^m)$$

$$X \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$$

$$s_k := X$$

$$p_k := g^X = h_A$$

$$\mathsf{Enc}(p_k, m) : Y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q \text{ and } (g^Y, h_A^Y \cdot m)$$

$$c_0 := g^Y, c_1 := h_A^Y \cdot m$$

$$s_k := X$$

$$\mathsf{Dec}(s_k, (c_0, c_1)) = c_1/c_0^X = g^{XY} \cdot m/g^{XY} = m$$

This is essentially the same as the key exchange scheme as modified before. We can rewrite this in the same framework of the Diffie Helman Key exchange scheme as before.

A

$$s_{k} := X \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$$

$$p_{k} := h_{A} = g^{X}$$

$$Y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$$

$$Frc(p_{k}, m) : h_{B} = g^{Y}, g^{XY} \cdot m$$

$$c_{0} := g^{Y}, c_{1} := g^{XY} \cdot m$$

 $Dec(s_k, (c_0, c_1)) = c_1/c_0^X = m$

As before, A selects a secret / private key s_k and sends across the public key p_k . We prove the security of the scheme by the following hybrid argument.

$$\begin{split} &\mathsf{Exp}^{0}: g, p_{k} = g^{X}, c = (g^{Y}, g^{XY}m_{0}) \\ &H: g, p_{k} = g^{X}, c = (g^{Y}, g^{Z}.m_{0}) \\ &\mathsf{Exp}^{1}: g, p_{k} = g^{X}c = (g^{Y}, g^{XY}.m) \end{split}$$

Here, $\mathsf{Exp}^0 \approx H \approx \mathsf{Exp}^1$

This hybrid argument is also a form of reduction. We use the fact that: $g^Z . m_0 \approx g^Z$, which is essentially the fact that a totally random quantity multiplied by anything arbitrary will give something that is still totally random.

7 CRHF from DL

We will build Collision Resistant Hash Function from the Discrete Log hardness. We use a cyclic group G of prime order q. SeedGen is an oracle that generates a purely random seed. That is, the hash family contains hash functions indexed by the seed s generated by SeedGen. Such a Hash Function H_s maps the domain D_s to the range R_s

$$s \leftarrow \mathsf{SeedGen}(1^n)$$

 $H_s: D_s \to R_s$

Security: The guarantee that collision is highly unlikely is given by the following statement which is akin to the security statement of the public key encryption schemes.

 $\forall \mathsf{PPT}\mathcal{A} {:}$

$$\Pr[x \neq x' \in D_s : s \leftarrow \mathsf{SeedGen}(1^n), x, x' \leftarrow A(1^n, s)] = \mathsf{negl}(n)$$

7.1 Construction

The construction is described below.

$$s = (g, h = g^{X})$$
$$x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_{q}$$
$$H_{s} : \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{2} \rightarrow G$$
$$H_{s}(a, b) = g^{a} \cdot h^{b}$$

Suppose the adversary gives you $a, \neq b$, with the same hash. Then, $x = (a, b) \neq x' = (a', b')$ $g^a \dot{h}^b = g^{a',b'}$ $g^{(a-a')/(b'-b) \mod q} = h$ $g^z = h, z = (a - a')/(b' - b)$ Security comes directly from the definition of DL security assumption.

8 Pseudo-random Generators from DDH

We can also build Pseudo-random Generators from the Decisional Diffie Helman assumption. PRG from DDH:

$$\begin{array}{l} (G,g,q) \leftarrow \mathsf{Gen}(1^n) \\ & x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q \\ & y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q \\ \mathsf{PRG}_g(x,y) = [g^x,g^y,g^{xy}] \\ & \mathsf{PRG}: \mathbb{Z}_q^2 \to G^3 \end{array}$$

Here, x, y are randomly sampled from \mathbb{Z}_q , where q is a prime. From 2 such uniformly picked random values, PRG_g produces an extra bit g^{xy} , that is computationally indistinguishable from a random element of the group G. It follows directly from the DDH assumption that this is a good PRG .

Also, we can extend the PRG with stretch of l as follows, for any given l: $\mathsf{PRG}_g(X, Y_1, \ldots Y_l) = [g^X, g^{Y_1}, g^{XY_1}, g^{Y_2}, g^{XY_2} \ldots g^{Y_l}, g^{XY_l}]$ $\mathbb{Z}^{l+1} \to G^{2l+1}$

8.1 Security

We prove the security of this construction by a hybrid argument as follows.

$$H^{0} = g, g^{X}, g^{Y_{1}}, g^{XY_{1}}, g^{Y_{2}}, g^{XY_{2}} \dots$$

$$H^{1} = g, g^{X}, g^{Y_{1}}, g^{Z}, g^{Y_{2}}, g^{XY_{2}} \dots$$

$$H_{0} = f(g, g^{X}, g^{Y}, g^{XY}) = [g, g^{X}, g^{Y_{1}}, g^{Z}, g^{Y_{2}}, g^{XY_{2}} \dots]$$

$$H_{1} = f(g, g^{X}, g^{Y}, g^{Z})$$

Here, $H^0 \approx H^1$, from the DDH assumption. This is because for any Z picked at random, we have

$$(g, g^X, g^Y, g^{XY}) \approx (g, g^X, g^Y, g^Z)$$

Now, we have $H^1 \approx H_0$ via the fact that, if we consider our focus on any triplet, say g, g^{y_2}, g^{xy_2} , we have that $Y_2 \ldots$ can be picked uniformly at random, and will remain indistinguishable.

Finally, $H_0 \approx H_1$. This follows because we can replace g^{XY} by g^Z .