



Fibonacci Number $F_0 = 0$ $F_n = F_{n-1} + t_{n-2}$ $F_1 = 1$ 4n72Fo F1 F2 F3 F4 F5 F6 FJ FS Fq Flo 0 1 1 2 3 5 8 13 21 34 55 Task: Compute Fn = fanction of n = input (1) Math-following recursive A correct? yes Fib(n) if n=0 return 0 output) B hou fast? exponentral runtine if n = 1 return 1 (else) return $\overline{Fib}(n_1) + \overline{Fib}(n_2)$ $\overline{O}(2^n)^{??}$ tight asymptote

Loverbound Upper De D Geryne exact asymp asymptote $\begin{cases} ex \quad f(n^2) = f(n) \\ G \cdot n^2 \leq f(n) \leq G \cdot n^2 \\ low bound \qquad upper \\ hand \end{cases}$ G.n^z

2) Array computation Fibl(n) F=array F[0]=0, F[1]=1 refor 1=2:n F[i] = F(i-j] + F(i-j]return F(n)

C. Storage A correct B him fast LINEAR $\Theta(\nu)$

Q: can we close only last 3 rolnes?

(3) Matrix - Multiplication - Saxed Fills(n) $M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{claim} \quad M^{n} = \begin{bmatrix} F_{n+1} & F_{n-1} \\ F_{n} & F_{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ 2×2 induction proof base case $M^{1}=M=\begin{bmatrix} F_{2} & F_{1} \end{bmatrix}$? inductive step u-znH Mn = [Fut Fu] MH [Futz fut] Fu Fu] Ind typ hyp Proof: MH = MXM = Further (10) 1 Further Furt

(2) Matrix Blo(m) Run Ture?

$$M = [1 \circ]$$

 $M = [1 \circ]$
 $M = [1 \circ]$

4) Generatus Fruction F(n)= (M)- (M) I real number ER math-approcessor estude N constant true. $\sim \Theta(1)$

 $\Phi = \frac{2}{1+0.2}$ $Q = 1 - V_{5}$ idea for P, P. quess Flu) ~ an? true NEC. Fils: $F_{N+1} = F_n + F_{n-1}$ $a^{n+1} = a^n + a^{n-1} \left| \frac{1}{2} a^{n+1} \right|$ $a^2 = a + 1$ quad eq=) \$, \$.





f(n) $3^{n} = \theta(2^{n})?$ $\Theta(n^{2})$ 2p2+ 3n+2 2.2" < 3" = 5.2" $O(v^{2})$ 12/201 + 12 true $\Theta(2^{\alpha})$ 247 SN2 -3 $\frac{1}{7^n} \leq c_1$ $\Theta(3^n)$ $3^{m} + 2^{m}$ $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2} \leq c_{1}$ $\log_a x = 5 \iff a^0 = x$ lim(LS) = tp* (NO) f=log2x g= logzx $lg_{3}(x) = log_{3}(x) \cdot lg_{3}(x)$ $\mathcal{Q} = \Theta(g)$ 1073× 192× 1932 ~ lags(~) $G. lug_3(u) \leq log_2(u)$ 210932) log2# (X) =

 $log_{3}(n) \in log_{2}(u) \leq q \cdot log_{3}(n) ?? 2 (8)$ $loj_2(n)) \leq C_1 \cdot log_2(n) \cdot log_3 2$ $1 \leq C_1 \cdot (log_3 2)$ constant

Binary Sourch
$$A = \frac{-3 \cdot 1 \cdot 0}{-3 \cdot 1 \cdot 0} \frac{5 \cdot 12}{12} \frac{17}{12} \frac{21}{12} \frac{77}{12} \frac{92}{103}$$

BS(V, A, begi, end) $Gerled$
Find value V=given in A[bogin : end] initially
 $M = \frac{1}{92} \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{1$

K=1T(n)=1+T(n/2) $\forall n$ $K=2 = 1 + \left[\frac{1}{1+\tau} \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \right) \right] = 2 + \tau \left(\frac{\pi}{4} \right)$ $K = 2 + \left[1 + \tau(\frac{4}{8}) \right] = 3 + \tau(\frac{4}{8})$ L=4 = 3+ [1+T(n/16)] = (4+T(n/16))patern K: K+T(n/2k)optional (if messy) induction proof step k $K+T(\%) \longrightarrow K+L + T(\%) = K+L$

 $T(n) = K + T(\binom{n}{2^{k}})$ last k: $\frac{m}{7k} \simeq 1 = 7 \left(\frac{M_2 k}{2} \right) = 7(1) = 5ace$ $n \simeq 2^k$ $K \stackrel{\vee}{\xrightarrow{}} l_{n} g_{2}(n)$ $T(n) = \Theta(log n)$ Conct

Merge Sort
$$k(b;e)$$

Merge Sort $k(b;e)$
 $m = bic$
 $m = bic$

J=J+L F=EtT whill both arrays thushed T(n) = T(n/2) + T(n/2) + n $L-sot \quad R-sot \quad Merging$ R.T $=2\left[2T(\frac{1}{4})+\frac{1}{2}\right]+n=4T(\frac{1}{4})+$ K=1 $K=3 = 4\left[2\tau(\sqrt{8}) + \sqrt{4}\right] + 2n = 8\tau(\sqrt{8}) + 3n$ K=q = 8[2T(1/16) + 1/8] + 3n = 16T(1/16) + 4nK pattern 124(n/2k) + km

lastle: Mr =1 læx E> Kn/logn $T(a) = 2^{\log_2 n} T(1) + (\log_2(n) \cdot n)$ = n const + n logn O-(nlogn).

HWI 3.1-1 wax(fig) = D(ftg)aftz) E max(fig) E G (ftg) Def C11C270

 $C_{2}C_{4}$



function (fig) < f < max (fig) and $2^{(p)^2(n)}$ $l_{\mathcal{N}}$ ln (\mathbb{N}^2) 2 lm (m)) $\ln(n)$ $ln(ln(n^2))$ $ln(ln(n^2))$ n u(n)explanation log(n) voit Actors Cu (u) N² easy n) $lu(ln(n^2)) \ll$

K-nearest neighbours

Javier Béjar ©��

LSI - FIB

LSI-FIB Term 2012/2013 Classifier P (datapoint-representation) ~ Rabel X: Venearest neighbours Term 2012/2012 - 1.02 SIDENISE Hus class Javier Béjar @��@ (LSI - FIB) Term 2012/2013 1 / 23



Non Parametric Learning K-nearest neighbours K-nn Algorithm K-nn Regression Advantages and drawbacks Application

Javier Béjar @⊕®⊚ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours Term 2012/2013 2 / 23

Outline

Javier Béjar @⊕®⊚ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours Term 2012/2013 3 / 23



Parametric vs Non parametric Models

- In the models that we have seen, we select a hypothesis space and adjust a fixed set of parameters with the training data (h_α(x))
- $\bullet\,$ We assume that the parameters α summarize the training and we can forget about it
- This methods are called **parametric** models

Javier Béjar ©⊕®⊚ (LSI - FIB)

 When we have a small amount of data it makes sense to have a small set of parameters and to constraint the complexity of the model (avoiding overfitting)

Term 2012/2013 4 / 23

Parametric vs Non parametric Models

Javier Béjar @⊕®⊚ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours

- When we have a large quantity of data, overfitting is less an issue
- If data shows that the hipothesis has to be complex, we can try to adjust to that complexity
- A **non parametric** model is one that can not be characterized by a fixed set of parameters

Term 2012/2013 5 / 23

• A family of non parametric models is Instance Based Learning

- Instance based learning is based on the memorization of the dataset
- The number of parameters is unbounded and grows with the size of the data
- There is not a model associated to the learned concepts
- The classification is obtained by looking into the memorized examples

Term 2012/2013 6 / 23

- The cost of the learning process is 0, all the cost is in the computation of the prediction
- This kind learning is also known as lazy learning

Javier Béjar ©⊕®⊚ (LSI - FIB)

Non Parametric Learning K-nearest neighbours K-nn Algorithm K-nn Regression Advantages and drawbacks Application

Javier Béjar @⊕®⊚ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours Term 2012/2013 7 / 23

K-nearest neighbours

K-nearest neighbours K-nearest neighbours

- K-nearest neighbours uses the local neighborhood to obtain a prediction
- The K memorized examples more similar to the one that is being classified are retrieved
- A distance function is needed to compare the examples similarity
 - Euclidean distance $(d(x_j, x_k) = \sqrt{\sum_i (x_{j,i} x_{k,i})^2})$ Mahnattan distance $(d(x_j, x_k) = \sum_i |x_{j,i} x_{k,i}|)$

Javier Béjar ©⊕®⊚ (LSI - FIB)

• This means that if we change the distance function, we change how examples are classified

Term 2012/2013 8 / 23







P



K-nearest neighbours - Prediction

Javier Béjar ©�@⊚ (LSI - FIB)



Weighted version

$$f(x_1z) = similarity (x_1z)$$

weighted avg
 $ause all paints$
 $predict(x, L) = avg L(z_i) \cdot f(x_1z_i)$
 $f(x_1, z_1) = avg L(z_i) \cdot f(x_1, z_i)$
 $f(x_1, z_1) = avg L(z_1) \cdot f(x_1, z_1)$
 $f(x_1, z_1) = avg L(z_1) \cdot f(x$

11 / 23

K-nearest neighbours K-nn Algorithm

Looking for neighbours

- Looking for the K-nearest examples for a new example can be expensive
- The straightforward algorithm has a cost $O(n \log(k))$, not good if the dataset is large
- We can use indexing with *k-d trees* (multidimensional binary search trees)
 - They are good only if we have around 2^{dim} examples, so not good for high dimensionality
- We can use *locality sensitive hashing* (approximate k-nn)
 - Examples are inserted in multiple hash tables that use hash functions that with high probability put together examples that are close
 - We retrieve from all the hash tables the examples that are in the bin of the query example

Term 2012/2013 12 / 23

• We compute the k-nn only with these examples

Javier Béjar @��@ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours

K-nearest neighbours K-nn Alg

K-nearest neighbours - Variants

- There are different possibilities for computing the class from the knearest neighbours

 - Majority voteDistance weighted vote
 - Inverse of the distance
 - Inverse of the square of the distance
 - Kernel functions (gaussian kernel, tricube kernel, ...)
- Once we use weights for the prediction we can relax the constraint of using only k neighbours
 - We can use k examples (local model)
 - We can use all examples (global model)

Javier Béjar ⊛⊕⊛⊚ (LSI - FIB)

Term 2012/2013 13 / 23

K-nearest neighbours K-nn Reg

K-nearest neighbours - Regression

- We can extend this method from classification to regression
- Instead of combining the discrete predictions of k-neighbours we have to combine continuous predictions
- This predictions can be obtained in different ways:
 - Simple interpolation

 - Averaging
 Local linear regression
 Local weighted regression
- The time complexity of the prediction will depend on the method

Javier Béjar ⊛⊕⊛⊚ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours

Term 2012/2013 14 / 23

K-nearest neighbours - Regression



Javier Béjar @⊕®@ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours Term 2012/2013 15 / 23

K-nearest neighbours K-nn Regression

K-nearest neighbours - Regression (linear)

Javier Béjar ©⊕®⊚ (LSI - FIB) K-nea

- K-nn linear regression fits the best line between the neighbors
- A linear regression problem has to be solved for each query (least squares regression)



Term 2012/2013 16 / 23

K-nearest neighbours K-nn Regression

K-nearest neighbours - Regression (LWR)

• Local weighted regression uses a function to weight the contribution of the neighbours depending on the distance, this is done using a **kernel function**



- Kernel functions have a width parameter that determines the decay of the weight (it has to be adjusted)
 - Too narrow \implies overfitting
 - Too wide \Longrightarrow underfitting

Javier Béjar @**⊕**®@ (LSI - FIB)

• A weighted linear regression problem has to be solved for each query (gradient descent search)

Term 2012/2013 17 / 23

K-nearest neighbours - Regression (LWR)



Javier Béjar @⊕®@ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours Term 2012/2013 18 / 23

K-nearest neighbours Advantages and drawbacks

K-nearest neighbours - Advantages

- The cost of the learning process is zero
- No assumptions about the characteristics of the concepts to learn have to be done
- Complex concepts can be learned by local approximation using simple procedures

Javier Béjar @⊕®@ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours Term 2012/2013 19 / 23

K-nearest neighbours Advantages and drawbacks

K-nearest neighbours - Drawbacks

Javier Béjar ©⊕®⊚ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours

- The model can not be interpreted (there is no description of the learned concepts)
- It is computationally expensive to find the k nearest neighbours when the dataset is very large

Term 2012/2013 20 / 23

 Performance depends on the number of dimensions that we have (curse of dimensionality) => Attribute Selection

K-nearest neighbours Advantages and drawbacks

The Curse of dimensionality

- The more dimensions we have, the more examples we need to approximate a hypothesis
- The number of examples that we have in a volume of space decreases exponentially with the number of dimensions
- This is specially bad for k-nearest neighbors

Javier Béjar @��@ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours

• If the number of dimensions is very high the nearest neighbours can be very far away

Term 2012/2013 21 / 23

Optical Character Recognition

K-nearest neighbours App



Javier Béjar @⊕®⊚ (LSI - FIB)

- OCR capital letters
- 14 Attributes (All continuous)
- Attributes: horizontal position of box, vertical position of box, width of box, height of box, total num on pixels, mean x of on pixels in box, ...
- 20000 instances
- 26 classes (A-Z)
- Validation: 10 fold cross validation

Term 2012/2013 22 / 23

K-nearest neighbours Application
Optical Character Recognition: Models

Javier Béjar @��@ (LSI - FIB) K-nearest neighbours

• K-nn 1 (Euclidean distance, weighted): accuracy 96.0%

• K-nn 5 (Manhattan distance, weighted): accuracy 95.9%

• K-nn 1 (Correlation distance, weighted): accuracy 95.1%

Term 2012/2013 23 / 23

ilea: change of varable 2^{lu^2n} $\left(ln(n) \right)$

M = ln(n) $2^{\text{m.m}}$

m !