

Misc

What's a reduction?

Tapes,

NTIME, NEXP,

Padding,

PH

- What is a reduction from A to B? It's the concept that if you can do B, then you can also do A.

For example, buying a house reduces to becoming millionaire;

seeing the Colosseum reduces to flying to Rome.

- **Def1:** (What we gave) A reduces to B as $B \in P \rightarrow A \in P$
- In the proofs we have seen the key of this was exhibiting a polynomial-time map: $R : \forall x, x \in A \leftrightarrow R(x) \in B$
- **Def2:** A reduction from A to B is R as above.
- Claim: $\text{Def2} \rightarrow \text{Def1}$.
- Problem with Def2: only captures very specific way to show that $B \in P \rightarrow A \in P$.

For example,

(computing satisfying assignments) reduces to 3SAT?

Holds for Def1 but not known for Def 2.

Tapes

- So far, 1-tape TM
- Def.: A k-tape TM is a TM with k tapes.
We are only concerned with $k = O(1)$.
Each tape has its own head moving independently

$$\delta : Q \times \Gamma^k \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma^k \times \{L,R\}^k$$

- $L := \{x : x \in \{0,1\}^* : x = x^R\}$ Palindromes

- Fact: L not in 1-tape $\text{TIME}(o(n^2))$

- Fact: $L \in \text{TIME}(O(n))$ on 2-tape.

- Proof:

?

- $L := \{x : x \in \{0,1\}^* : x = x^R\}$ Palindromes
- Fact: L not in 1-tape $\text{TIME}(o(n^2))$
- Fact: $L \in \text{TIME}(O(n))$ on 2-tape.
- Proof:

Copy input on second tape.

Bring head on 1st tape at the beginning.

Bring head on 2nd tape at the end.

Compare symbol-by-symbol moving 1st head forward
and 2nd backward. ■

- Although P on your laptop and P on TM is the same, for running time n , n^2 , etc. not even k -tape is an adequate model of your laptop

What's missing?

- Although P on your laptop and P on TM is the same, for running time n , n^2 , etc. not even k -tape is an adequate model of your laptop

What's missing?

The ability to jump quickly to a memory location

- Def.: A random-access TM (RATM) is a k -tape machine where each tape has an associated indexing tape. In one time step TM may move i -th head to the cell indexed by the indexing tape, **in binary**.
- This models well your laptop up to polylog factors.

- $L := \{ (i,x) : \text{the } i\text{-th bit of } x \text{ is } 1 \}$

- L requires 1-tape time ?

(Think of an expression in terms of $|i|$)

- $L := \{ (i,x) : \text{the } i\text{-th bit of } x \text{ is } 1 \}$
- L requires 1-tape time $\Omega(2^{|i|})$
- L can be decided on a RATM in time ?

- $L := \{ (i,x) : \text{the } i\text{-th bit of } x \text{ is } 1 \}$
- L requires 1-tape time $\Omega(2^{|i|})$
- L can be decided on a RATM in time $O(|i|)$

- Exercise:

Argue in no more than 10 lines that

polynomial-time on TM
= polynomial-time on k-tape TM
= polynomial-time on RATM

Next: non-determinism

Non-deterministic TM: δ maps to subset of $Q \times \Gamma \times \{L,R\}$

Accept if there is a computation path that leads to accept.

Def1: $\text{NTIME}(t(n)) = \{ L : L \text{ is decided by a non-deterministic TM that runs in time } \leq t(n) \}$

Def2: $\text{NTIME}(t(n)) = \{ L : \exists M : \forall x \text{ of length } n$
 $x \in L \iff \exists y, |y| \leq t(n),$
 $M(x,y) \text{ accepts in } \leq t(n) \}$

- Exercise: Prove the two definitions are equivalent (feel free to use multiple tapes, if that helps)

- **Def:** $\text{NEXP} := \text{NTIME}(2^{\text{poly}(n)})$
- **Theorem:** $\text{P}=\text{NP} \rightarrow \text{EXP} = \text{NEXP}$
- **Proof:** Example of **padding technique**

Let $L \in \text{NTIME}(T(n))$ where $m = 2^{(n^c)}$.

Let $L' := \{ (x, 0^{T(n)}) : x \in L, |x| = n \}$

Note $L' \in \text{NTIME}(?)$

- **Def:** $\text{NEXP} := \text{NTIME}(2^{\text{poly}(n)})$
- **Theorem:** $\text{P}=\text{NP} \rightarrow \text{EXP} = \text{NEXP}$
- **Proof:** Example of **padding technique**

Let $L \in \text{NTIME}(T(n))$ where $m = 2^{(n^c)}$.

Let $L' := \{ (x, 0^{T(n)}) : x \in L, |x| = n \}$

Note $L' \in \text{NTIME}(O(n)) \subseteq \text{P}$. So let M solve L' in poly time.

EXP algorithm for L :

$M' :=$ "On input x ; ?

- **Def:** $\text{NEXP} := \text{NTIME}(2^{\text{poly}(n)})$
- **Theorem:** $\text{P}=\text{NP} \rightarrow \text{EXP} = \text{NEXP}$
- **Proof:** Example of **padding technique**

Let $L \in \text{NTIME}(T(n))$ where $m = 2^{(n^c)}$.

Let $L' := \{ (x, 0^{T(n)}) : x \in L, |x| = n \}$

Note $L' \in \text{NTIME}(O(n)) \subseteq \text{P}$. So let M solve L' in poly time.

EXP algorithm for L :

$M' :=$ “On input x ; Replace x with $(x, 0^{T(n)})$; Run M .”

$M'(x) = M(x, 0^{T(n)}) = \text{accept} \iff x \in L$

M' runs in time $O(T(n)) + \text{poly}(T(n))$. ■

- Padding:

Equivalences propagate “upward”

Intuition: if you have an equivalence between resources, then when you have even more of those resources the equivalence will continue to hold

Contrapositive of padding

Differences propagate “downward”

$EXP \neq NEXP \rightarrow P \neq NP$

Complete problem

Given formula φ :

$$\text{NP} = \Sigma_1 P = \exists y : M(x,y) = 1$$

$$\exists y : \varphi(y) = 1 ?$$

$$\text{co-NP} = \Pi_1 P = \forall y : M(x,y) = 1$$

$$\forall y : \varphi(y) = 1 ?$$

$$\Sigma_2 P = \exists y \forall z : M(x,y,z) = 1$$

$$\exists y \forall z : \varphi(y,z) = 1 ?$$

$$\Pi_2 P = \forall y \exists z : M(x,y,z) = 1$$

$$\Sigma_3 P = \exists y \forall z \exists w : M(x,y,z,w) = 1$$

etc.

• Def:

$$\Sigma_i P = \{ L : \exists \text{ poly-time } M, \text{ polynomial } q(n) :$$

$$x \in L \iff \exists y_1 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \forall y_2 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \dots \forall y_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)}$$

$$M(x,y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i+1}) = 1 \}$$

$$\text{Polynomial-time hierarchy PH} := \bigcup_c \Sigma_c P = \bigcup_c \Pi_c P$$

Theorem: $P = NP \rightarrow P = PH$

Proof:

Theorem: $P = NP \rightarrow P = PH$

Proof: We prove by induction on i that $\sum_i P \cup \prod_i P \subseteq P$

W.l.o.g. let $L \in \sum_{i+1} P$, so \exists poly-time M , polynomial $q(n)$:

$$x \in L \iff \exists y_1 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \forall y_2 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \dots \forall y_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \\ M(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i+1})=1$$

$$\text{Consider } L' := \{ (x, y_1) : \forall y_2 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \dots \forall y_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \\ M(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i+1})=1 \}$$

$L' \in ?$

Theorem: $P = NP \rightarrow P = PH$

Proof: We prove by induction on i that $\sum_i P \cup \prod_i P \subseteq P$

W.l.o.g. let $L \in \sum_{i+1} P$, so \exists poly-time M , polynomial $q(n)$:

$$x \in L \iff \exists y_1 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \forall y_2 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \dots \forall y_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \\ M(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i+1})=1$$

Consider $L' := \{ (x, y_1) : \forall y_2 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \dots \forall y_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \\ M(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i+1})=1 \}$

$L' \in \prod_i P \subseteq P$. Let poly-time machine M' solve L' .

So $x \in L \iff \exists y_1 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} : M'(x, y_1) = 1$

And so $L \in P$?

Theorem: $P = NP \rightarrow P = PH$

Proof: We prove by induction on i that $\sum_i P \cup \prod_i P \subseteq P$

W.l.o.g. let $L \in \sum_{i+1} P$, so \exists poly-time M , polynomial $q(n)$:

$$x \in L \iff \exists y_1 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \forall y_2 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \dots \forall y_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \\ M(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i+1})=1$$

Consider $L' := \{ (x, y_1) : \forall y_2 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \dots \forall y_{i+1} \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} \\ M(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{i+1})=1 \}$

$L' \in \prod_i P \subseteq P$. Let poly-time machine M' solve L' .

So $x \in L \iff \exists y_1 \in \{0,1\}^{q(n)} : M'(x, y_1) = 1$

And so $L \in NP \rightarrow L \in P$ ■

Exercise:

$$\Pi_2 P \subseteq \Sigma_2 P \rightarrow PH = \Sigma_2 P$$

Terminology: “The polynomial-time hierarchy collapses”
means $\exists c : PH = \Sigma_c P$.