

1 Transient and undefined extraction

2
3 BEN GREENMAN, Northeastern University

4
5 CHRISTOS DIMOULAS, Northwestern University

6
7 Before the transient semantics of Vitousek et al. [1] reports a blame error, it collects relevant types from a blame map and extracts
8 relevant parts of the types. The extraction metafunction is partial; this document shows an example program that ends up invoking
9 the extraction function on arguments outside its domain.
10

11
12
13 The goal is to give a well-typed expression e_s and an untyped context C_0 such that the evaluation of $C_0[e_s]$:

- 14 (1) adds the type $\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$ to the blame map,
15 (2) evaluates to a runtime error dereferencing the argument to a function,
16 (3) and asks for $\text{extract}(\text{ARG} : \text{DEREF}, \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int})$.
17
18

19 Since $\text{extract}(\text{DEREF}, \text{int})$ is undefined, the example program has undefined behavior.
20

21 Source expression

22 See figure 2 [1] for the grammar.
23
24

$$25 \quad e_s = f_0 (f_1 f_2)$$

26 where:
27

$$28 \quad f_0 = \text{fun } f_0 (x_0 : (\star \rightarrow \star)) \rightarrow (\text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}). x_0$$

$$29 \quad f_1 = \text{fun } f_1 (x_1 : (\text{ref int} \rightarrow \text{int})) \rightarrow (\star \rightarrow \star). x_1$$

$$30 \quad f_2 = \text{fun } f_2 (x_2 : \text{ref int}) \rightarrow \text{int}. !x_2$$

$$31 \quad C_0 = \square v_0$$

32 where:
33
34
35

$$36 \quad v_0 = \text{ref } v_1$$

$$37 \quad v_1 = \text{ref } 4$$

38 Source-to-target translation

39 Translation of e_s to the target language ($\cdot \vdash e_s \rightsquigarrow e : \text{int}$). See figure 3 [1] for the definition.
40
41

42 Let: $T_0 = \star \rightarrow \star$ and $T_1 = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$ and $T_2 = \text{ref int} \rightarrow \text{int}$
43
44

105 PROOF. Let: $\sigma_0 := \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{B}_0 := \emptyset$

$$106 \quad \langle C_0[\text{let } f_0 = e_0 :: (T_0 \rightarrow T_1) \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} (T_0 \rightarrow T_1) \text{ in } (f_0 (e_1 :: T_0 \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} T_0))] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; f_0; \text{RES} \rangle, \sigma_0, \mathcal{B}_0 \rangle$$

$$107 \quad \mapsto \langle C_0[\text{let } f_0 = a_0 :: (T_0 \rightarrow T_1) \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} (T_0 \rightarrow T_1) \text{ in } (f_0 (e_1 :: T_0 \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} T_0))] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; f_0; \text{RES} \rangle, \sigma_1, \mathcal{B}_0 \rangle$$

108 by:

$$109 \quad - e_0 = \text{fun } f_0 x_0. (\text{let } x_0 = x_0 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; f_0; \text{ARG} \rangle \text{ in } x_0)$$

$$110 \quad - \sigma_1 := \sigma_0[a_0 \mapsto \lambda x_0. \text{let } x_0 = x_0 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_0; \text{ARG} \rangle \text{ in } x_0]$$

$$111 \quad \mapsto \langle C_0[\text{let } f_0 = a_0 \text{ in } (f_0 (e_1 :: T_0 \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} T_0))] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; f_0; \text{RES} \rangle, \sigma_1, \mathcal{B}_1 \rangle$$

112 by:

113 -

$$114 \quad \frac{\sigma_1(a_0) = (\lambda x_0. \text{let } x_0 = x_0 \Downarrow \langle \star; a_0; \text{ARG} \rangle \text{ in } x_0)}{\text{hastype}(\sigma_1, a_0, \rightarrow)}$$

$$115 \quad \text{hastype}(\sigma_1, a_0, \rightarrow)$$

$$116 \quad - \llbracket \star \rightarrow \star \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{int} \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} \star \rrbracket \rightarrow^\epsilon \llbracket \star \Rightarrow^{\text{int}} \rrbracket = \text{int}^\epsilon \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^{\ell_0}$$

$$117 \quad - \varrho(\mathcal{B}_0, a_0, \text{int}^\epsilon \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^{\ell_0}) = \mathcal{B}_0[a_0 \mapsto \{\text{int}^\epsilon \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^{\ell_0}\}] = \mathcal{B}_1$$

$$118 \quad \mapsto \langle C_0[(a_0 (e_1 :: T_0 \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} T_0))] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_0; \text{RES} \rangle, \sigma_1, \mathcal{B}_1 \rangle$$

119 by:

$$120 \quad - \langle (\text{let } f_0 = a_0 \text{ in } e_4), \sigma_1, \mathcal{B}_1 \rangle \longrightarrow \langle (e_4[a_0/f_0]), \sigma_1, \mathcal{B}_1 \rangle$$

$$121 \quad = \langle C_1[\text{let } f_1 = e_1 :: (T_2 \rightarrow T_0) \Rightarrow^{\ell_1} (T_2 \rightarrow T_0) \text{ in } (f_1 e_2)] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; f_1; \text{RES} \rangle, \sigma_1, \mathcal{B}_1 \rangle$$

122 by:

$$123 \quad - e_1 = \text{fun } f_1 x_1. (\text{let } x_1 = x_1 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; f_1; \text{ARG} \rangle \text{ in } x_1)$$

$$124 \quad - C_1 = C_0[(a_0 (\square :: T_0 \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} T_0))] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_0; \text{RES} \rangle]$$

$$125 \quad \mapsto \langle C_1[\text{let } f_1 = a_1 :: (T_2 \rightarrow T_0) \Rightarrow^{\ell_1} (T_2 \rightarrow T_0) \text{ in } (f_1 e_2)] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; f_1; \text{RES} \rangle, \sigma_2, \mathcal{B}_1 \rangle$$

126 by:

$$127 \quad - e_1 = \text{fun } f_1 x_1. (\text{let } x_1 = x_1 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; f_1; \text{ARG} \rangle \text{ in } x_1)$$

$$128 \quad - \sigma_2 := \sigma_1[a_1 \mapsto \lambda x_1. \text{let } x_1 = x_1 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_1; \text{ARG} \rangle \text{ in } x_1]$$

$$129 \quad \mapsto \langle C_1[\text{let } f_1 = a_1 \text{ in } (f_1 e_2)] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; f_1; \text{RES} \rangle, \sigma_2, \mathcal{B}_2 \rangle$$

130 by:

$$131 \quad \frac{\sigma_2(a_1) \in \lambda x. e}{\text{hastype}(\sigma_2, a_1, \rightarrow)}$$

$$132 \quad - \text{hastype}(\sigma_2, a_1, \rightarrow)$$

$$133 \quad - \llbracket \text{ref int} \rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow^{\ell_1} \star \rightarrow \star \rrbracket = \llbracket \star \Rightarrow^{\ell_1} \text{ref int} \rrbracket \rightarrow^\epsilon \llbracket \text{int} \Rightarrow^{\star} \rrbracket = \text{ref}^{\text{int}^{\ell_1}} \ell_1 \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^\epsilon$$

$$134 \quad - \varrho(\mathcal{B}_1, a_1, \text{ref}^{\text{int}^{\ell_1}} \ell_1 \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^\epsilon) = \mathcal{B}_1[a_1 \mapsto \{\text{ref}^{\text{int}^{\ell_1}} \ell_1 \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^\epsilon\}] = \mathcal{B}_2$$

$$135 \quad \mapsto \langle C_1[(a_1 e_2)] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_1; \text{RES} \rangle, \sigma_2, \mathcal{B}_2 \rangle$$

$$136 \quad \mapsto \langle C_1[(a_1 a_2)] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_1; \text{RES} \rangle, \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_2 \rangle$$

137 by:

$$138 \quad - e_2 = \text{fun } f_2 x_2. (\text{let } x_2 = (x_2 \Downarrow \langle \text{ref}; f_2; \text{ARG} \rangle) \text{ in } e_3)$$

$$139 \quad - \sigma_3 := \sigma_2[a_2 \mapsto \lambda x_2. (\text{let } x_2 = (x_2 \Downarrow \langle \text{ref}; a_2; \text{ARG} \rangle) \text{ in } e_3)]$$

$$140 \quad - f_2 \notin \text{fvs}(e_3)$$

$$141 \quad \mapsto \langle C_1[(\text{let } x_1 = a_2 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_1; \text{ARG} \rangle \text{ in } x_1)] \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_1; \text{RES} \rangle, \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_2 \rangle$$

142 by:

$$143 \quad - \sigma_3(a_1) = \lambda x_1. \text{let } x_1 = x_1 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_1; \text{ARG} \rangle \text{ in } x_1$$

144

157 $\mapsto \langle C_1[(\text{let } x_1 = a_2 \text{ in } x_1) \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_1; \text{RES} \rangle], \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$
 158 by:
 159 $\frac{\sigma_3(a_2) \in \lambda x.e}{- \text{hastype}(\sigma_3, a_2, \rightarrow)}$
 160 $- \varrho(\mathcal{B}_2, a_2, \langle a_1, \text{ARG} \rangle) = \mathcal{B}_2[a_2 \mapsto \{\langle a_1, \text{ARG} \rangle\}] = \mathcal{B}_3$
 161 $\mapsto \langle C_1[a_2 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_1; \text{RES} \rangle], \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_3 \rangle$
 162 $\mapsto \langle C_1[a_2], \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_4 \rangle$
 163 by:
 164 $\frac{\sigma_3(a_2) \in \lambda x.e}{- \text{hastype}(\sigma_3, a_2, \rightarrow)}$
 165 $- \varrho(\mathcal{B}_3, a_2, \langle a_1, \text{RES} \rangle) = \mathcal{B}_3[a_2 \mapsto \{\langle a_1, \text{ARG} \rangle, \langle a_1, \text{RES} \rangle\}] = \mathcal{B}_4$
 166 $= \langle C_0[(a_0 (a_2 :: T_0 \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} T_0)) \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_0; \text{RES} \rangle], \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_4 \rangle$
 167 $\mapsto \langle C_0[(a_0 a_2) \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_0; \text{RES} \rangle], \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_5 \rangle$
 168 by:
 169 $\frac{\sigma_3(a_2) \in \lambda x.e}{- \text{hastype}(\sigma_3, a_2, \rightarrow)}$
 170 $- T_0 = \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int}$
 171 $- \llbracket \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow^{\ell_0} \text{int} \rightarrow \text{int} \rrbracket = \llbracket \text{int} \Rightarrow^{\text{int}} \ell_0 \rrbracket \rightarrow^\epsilon \llbracket \text{int} \Rightarrow^{\text{int}} \ell_0 \rrbracket = \text{int}^{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^{\epsilon_0}$
 172 $- \varrho(\mathcal{B}_4, a_2, \text{int}^{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^{\epsilon_0}) = \mathcal{B}_4[a_2 \mapsto \{\langle a_1, \text{ARG} \rangle, \langle a_1, \text{RES} \rangle, \text{int}^{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^{\epsilon_0}\}] = \mathcal{B}_5$
 173 $\mapsto \langle C_0[(\text{let } x_0 = a_2 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_0; \text{ARG} \rangle \text{ in } x_0) \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_0; \text{RES} \rangle], \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_5 \rangle$
 174 by:
 175 $- \sigma_3(a_0) = \lambda x_0. (\text{let } x_0 = x_0 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_0; \text{ARG} \rangle \text{ in } x_0)$
 176 $\mapsto \langle C_0[(\text{let } x_0 = a_2 \text{ in } x_0) \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_0; \text{RES} \rangle], \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_6 \rangle$
 177 by:
 178 $\frac{\sigma_3(a_2) \in \lambda x.e}{- \text{hastype}(\sigma_3, a_2, \rightarrow)}$
 179 $- \varrho(\mathcal{B}_5, a_2, \langle a_0, \text{ARG} \rangle) = \mathcal{B}_5[a_2 \mapsto \{\langle a_1, \text{ARG} \rangle, \langle a_1, \text{RES} \rangle, \text{int}^{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^{\epsilon_0}, \langle a_0, \text{ARG} \rangle\}] = \mathcal{B}_6$
 180 $\mapsto \langle C_0[a_2 \Downarrow \langle \rightarrow; a_0; \text{RES} \rangle], \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_6 \rangle$
 181 $\mapsto \langle C_0[a_2], \sigma_3, \mathcal{B}_7 \rangle$
 182 by:
 183 $\frac{\sigma_3(a_2) \in \lambda x.e}{- \text{hastype}(\sigma_3, a_2, \rightarrow)}$
 184 $- \varrho(\mathcal{B}_6, a_2, \langle a_0, \text{RES} \rangle) = \mathcal{B}_6[a_2 \mapsto \{\langle a_1, \text{ARG} \rangle, \langle a_1, \text{RES} \rangle, \text{int}^{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^{\epsilon_0}, \langle a_0, \text{ARG} \rangle, \langle a_0, \text{RES} \rangle\}] = \mathcal{B}_7$
 185 $\mapsto \langle (a_2 (\text{ref } a_3)), \sigma_4, \mathcal{B}_7 \rangle$
 186 by:
 187 $- \sigma_4 = \sigma_3[a_3 \mapsto 4]$
 188 $\mapsto \langle (a_2 a_4), \sigma_5, \mathcal{B}_7 \rangle$
 189 by:
 190 $- \sigma_5 = \sigma_4[a_4 \mapsto a_3]$

209 $\mapsto \langle \text{let } x_2 = (a_4 \Downarrow \langle \text{ref}; a_2; \text{ARG} \rangle) \text{ in let } x_3 = (x_2 :: \text{ref int} \Rightarrow^{\ell_2} \text{ref int}) \text{ in } !x_3 \Downarrow \langle \text{int}; x_3; \text{DEREF} \rangle, \sigma_5, \mathcal{B}_7 \rangle$
210 by:
211 - $\sigma_5(a_2) = \lambda x_2. (\text{let } x_2 = (x_2 \Downarrow \langle \text{ref}; a_2; \text{ARG} \rangle) \text{ in } e_3)$
212 - $e_3 = \text{let } x_3 = (x_2 :: \text{ref int} \Rightarrow^{\ell_2} \text{ref int}) \text{ in } !x_3 \Downarrow \langle \text{int}; x_3; \text{DEREF} \rangle$
213 $\mapsto \langle \text{let } x_2 = a_4 \text{ in let } x_3 = (x_2 :: \text{ref int} \Rightarrow^{\ell_2} \text{ref int}) \text{ in } !x_3 \Downarrow \langle \text{int}; x_3; \text{DEREF} \rangle, \sigma_5, \mathcal{B}_8 \rangle$
214 by:
215 $\frac{\sigma(a_4) = a_3}{\text{hastype}(\sigma_6, a_4, \text{ref})}$
216 - $\varrho(\mathcal{B}_7, a_4, \langle a_2, \text{ARG} \rangle) = \mathcal{B}_7[a_4 \mapsto \{\langle a_2, \text{ARG} \rangle\}] = \mathcal{B}_8$
217 $\mapsto \langle \text{let } x_3 = (a_4 :: \text{ref int} \Rightarrow^{\ell_2} \text{ref int}) \text{ in } !x_3 \Downarrow \langle \text{int}; x_3; \text{DEREF} \rangle, \sigma_5, \mathcal{B}_8 \rangle$
218 $\mapsto \langle \text{let } x_3 = a_4 \text{ in } !x_3 \Downarrow \langle \text{int}; x_3; \text{DEREF} \rangle, \sigma_5, \mathcal{B}_9 \rangle$
219 by:
220 -
221 $\frac{\sigma(a_4) = a_3}{\text{hastype}(\sigma_5, a_4, \text{ref})}$
222 - $\llbracket \text{ref int} \Rightarrow^{\ell_2} \text{ref int} \rrbracket = \text{ref}^{\llbracket \text{int} \Rightarrow^{\ell_2} \text{int} \rrbracket} \epsilon = \text{ref}^{\text{int}^\epsilon} \epsilon$
223 - $\varrho(\mathcal{B}_8, a_4, \text{ref}^{\text{int}^\epsilon} \epsilon) = \mathcal{B}_8[a_4 \mapsto \{\langle a_2, \text{ARG} \rangle, \text{ref}^{\text{int}^\epsilon} \epsilon\}] = \mathcal{B}_9$
224 $\mapsto \langle !a_4 \Downarrow \langle \text{int}; a_4; \text{DEREF} \rangle, \sigma_5, \mathcal{B}_9 \rangle$
225 $\mapsto \langle a_3 \Downarrow \langle \text{int}; a_4; \text{DEREF} \rangle, \sigma_5, \mathcal{B}_9 \rangle$
226 $\mapsto \text{UNDEFINED}$
227 because:
228 -
229 $\frac{\sigma_5(a_3) = 4}{\text{hastype}(\sigma_5, a_3, \text{int})}$
230 -
231 $\mathcal{B}_9(a_4) = \{\langle a_2, \text{ARG} \rangle, \text{ref}^{\text{int}^\epsilon} \epsilon\}$
232 $\frac{\vdots_0}{\text{collectblame}(\text{DEREF}, \mathcal{B}_9, \langle a_2, \text{ARG} \rangle)} \quad \frac{\text{extract}(\text{DEREF}, \text{ref}^{\text{int}^\epsilon} \epsilon) = \text{int}^\epsilon \quad \text{label}(\text{int}^\epsilon) = \epsilon}{\text{collectblame}(\text{DEREF}, \mathcal{B}_9, \text{ref}^{\text{int}^\epsilon} \epsilon) = \emptyset}$
233 $\frac{\text{collectblame}(\text{DEREF}, \mathcal{B}_9, \langle a_2, \text{ARG} \rangle) \quad \text{collectblame}(\text{DEREF}, \mathcal{B}_9, \text{ref}^{\text{int}^\epsilon} \epsilon) = \emptyset}{\text{blame}(\sigma_5, a_3, a_4, \text{DEREF}, \mathcal{B}_9)}$
234 -
235 $\mathcal{B}_9(a_2) = \{\langle a_1, \text{ARG} \rangle, \langle a_1, \text{RES} \rangle, \text{int}^{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^{\epsilon_0}, \langle a_0, \text{ARG} \rangle, \langle a_0, \text{RES} \rangle\}$
236 $\frac{\vdots_1}{\text{collectblame}(\text{ARG}; \text{DEREF}, \mathcal{B}_9, \text{int}^{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow^\epsilon \text{int}^{\epsilon_0})} \quad \dots$
237 $\frac{\text{collectblame}(\text{DEREF}, \mathcal{B}_9, \langle a_2, \text{ARG} \rangle)}{\vdots_0}$
238 -
239 \vdots_0
240 -
241 \vdots_0
242 -
243 \vdots_0
244 -
245 \vdots_0
246 -
247 \vdots_0
248 -
249 \vdots_0
250 -
251 \vdots_0
252 -
253 \vdots_0
254 -
255 \vdots_0
256 -
257 \vdots_0
258 -
259 \vdots_0
260 -

261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312

—

$$\frac{\text{extract}(\text{ARG}; \text{DEREF}, \text{int}^{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow^{\epsilon} \text{int}^{\epsilon_0}) = \text{extract}(\text{DEREF}, \text{int}^{\epsilon_0}) = \text{UNDEFINED}}{\text{collectblame}(\text{ARG}; \text{DEREF}, \mathcal{B}_9, \text{int}^{\epsilon_0} \rightarrow^{\epsilon} \text{int}^{\epsilon_0})}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\vdots_1$$

□

REFERENCES

- [1] Michael M. Vitousek, Cameron Swords, and Jeremy G. Siek. 2017. Big Types in Little Runtime: Open-World Soundness and Collaborative Blame for Gradual Type Systems. In *POPL*. 762–774.