

MapReduce

- Proposed by Google in research paper
 - Jeffrey Dean and Sanjay Ghemawat. MapReduce: Simplified Data Processing on Large Clusters.
 OSDI'04: Sixth Symposium on Operating System Design and Implementation, San Francisco, CA, December, 2004
- MapReduce implementations such as Hadoop differ in details, but main principles are the same

Overview

- MapReduce = programming model and associated implementation for processing large data sets
- Programmer essentially just specifies two (sequential) functions: map and reduce
- Program execution is automatically parallelized on large clusters of commodity PCs
 - MapReduce could be implemented on different architectures, but Google proposed it for clusters

Overview

- Clever abstraction that is a good fit for many real-world problems
- Programmer focuses on algorithm itself
- Runtime system takes care of all messy details

 Partitioning of input data
 - Scheduling program execution
 - Handling machine failures
 - Managing inter-machine communication

Programming Model

- Transforms set of input key-value pairs to set of output values (notice small modification compared to paper)
- Map: (k1, v1) → list (k2, v2)
- MapReduce library groups all intermediate pairs with same key together
- Reduce: $(k2, list (v2)) \rightarrow list (k3, v3)$
 - Usually zero or one output value per group
 - Intermediate values supplied via iterator (to handle lists that do not fit in memory)

Example: Word Count

- Insight: can count each document in parallel, then aggregate counts
- Final aggregation has to happen in Reduce
 - Need count per word, hence use word itself as intermediate key (k2)
 - Intermediate counts are the intermediate values (v2)
- Parallel counting can happen in Map
 - For each document, output set of pairs, each being a word in the document and its frequency of occurrence in the document
 - Alternative: output (word, 1) for each word encountered

Word Count in MapReduce

Count number of occurrences of each word in a document collection:

map(String key, String value):
 // key: document name
 // value: document contents
 for each word w in value:
 EmitIntermediate(w, 1);

reduce(String key, Iterator values): // key: a word // values: a list of counts int result = 0; for each v in values: result += v; Emit(key, result);

Almost all the coding needed

(need also MapReduce specification object with names of input and output files, and optional tuning parameters)

Execution Overview

- · Data is stored in files
 - Files are partitioned into smaller splits, typically 64MB
 Splits are stored (usually also replicated) on different
 - cluster machines Master node controls program execution and
- keeps track of progress – Does not participate in data processing
- Some workers will execute the Map function, let's call them mappers
- Some workers will execute the Reduce function, let's call them reducers

Execution Overview

- Master assigns map and reduce tasks to workers, taking data location into account
- Mapper reads an assigned file split and writes intermediate key-value pairs to local disk
- Mapper informs master about result locations, who in turn informs the reducers
- Reducers pull data from appropriate mapper disk location
- After map phase is completed, reducers sort their data by key
- For each key, the Reduce function is executed and output is appended to final output file
- When all reduce tasks are completed, master wakes up user program



Master Data Structures

- Master keeps track of status of each map and reduce task and who is working on it

 Idle, in-progress, or completed
 - Idle, in-progress, or completed
- Master stores location and size of output of each completed map task
 - Pushes information incrementally to workers with in-progress reduce tasks



- Master pings every worker periodically
- Workers who do not respond in time are marked as failed
- Mapper's in-progress and completed tasks are reset to idle state
 - Can be assigned to other mapper
 - Completed tasks are re-executed because result is stored on mapper's local disk
- Reducers are notified about mapper failure, so that they can read the data from the replacement mapper

2

Handling Reducer Failures

- Failed reducers identified through ping as well
- Reducer's in-progress tasks are reset to idle state
 - Can be assigned to other reducer
 - No need to restart completed reduce tasks, because result is written to distributed file system

Handling Master Failure

- Failure unlikely, because it is just a single machine
- Can simply abort MapReduce computation

 Users re-submit aborted jobs when new master process is up
- Alternative: master writes periodic checkpoints of its data structures so that it can be re-started from checkpointed state

Semantics with Failures

 If map and reduce are deterministic, then output is identical to non-faulting sequential execution

- For non-deterministic operators, different reduce tasks might see output of different map executions
- Relies on atomic commit of map and reduce
 outputs
 - In-progress task writes output to private temp file
 Mapper: on completion, send names of all temp files
 - to master (master ignores if task already complete)
 - Reducer: on completion, *atomically* rename temp file to final output file (needs to be supported by distributed file system)

Practical Considerations

- Conserve network bandwidth ("Locality optimization")
 - Schedule map task on machine that already has a copy of the split, or one "nearby"
- Create backup tasks to deal with machines that take unusually long for the last inprogress tasks ("stragglers")

Refinements

- User-defined partitioning functions for reduce tasks
 - Default: assign key K to reduce task hash(K) mod R
 - Use hash(Hostname(urlkey)) mod R to have URLs from same host in same output file
 - We will see others in future lectures
- Combiner function to reduce mapper output size
 - Pre-aggregation at mapper for reduce functions that are commutative and associative
 - Often (almost) same code as for reduce function

17

Careful With Combiners

- Consider Word Count, but assume we only want words with count > 10
 - Reducer computes total word count, only outputs if greater than 10
 - Combiner = Reducer? No. Combiner should not filter based on its local count!
- Consider computing average of a set of numbers
 - Reducer should output average
 - Combiner has to output (sum, count) pairs to allow correct computation in reducer

Equi-Join in MapReduce

- Given two data sets S=(s₁,s₂,...) and T=(t₁,t₂,...) of integers, find all pairs (s_i,t_i) where s_i.A=t_i.A
- Can combine the s_i and t_i only in Reduce
 - To ensure that the right tuples end up in the same Reduce invocation, use join attribute A as intermediate key (k2)
- Map needs to output (s.A, s) for each S-tuple s (similar for T-tuples)
 - Also adds a flag indicating if the tuple is from S or T

Equi-Join in MapReduce



- Join condition: S.A=T.A Map(s) = (s.A, (s, "S")); Map(t) = (t.A, (t, "T"))
- Reduce computes Cartesian product of set of S-tuples and set of T-tuples with same key



Theta-Joins in MapReduce?

- Equi-join algorithm has problems when data is skewed
- What about non-equi joins?
 - Inequality (S.A<T.A): map just forwards T-tuples, but replicates S-tuples for all larger T.A values as keys
 - Not practical
- · Need different approach: discussed in future lecture