Parallel Nested Loops

- For each tuple s_i in S
 - For each tuple t_i in T
 - If $s_i = t_j$, then add (s_i, t_j) to output
- Create partitions S₁, S₂, T₁, and T₂
- Have processors work on (S_1,T_1) , (S_1,T_2) , (S_2,T_1) , and (S_2,T_2)
 - Can build appropriate local index on chunk if desired
- Nice and easy, but...
 - How to choose chunk sizes for given S, T, and #processors?
 - There is data duplication, possibly a lot of it
 - Especially undesirable for highly selective joins with small result



More Join Thoughts

- What about non-equi join?
 - Find pairs (s_i,t_j) that satisfy a predicate like inequality, band, or similarity (e.g., when s and t are documents)
- Hash-partitioning will not work any more
- Now things are becoming really tricky...
- We will discuss these issues in a future lecture.



Parallel Office Tools

- Parallelize Word, Excel, email client?
- Impossible without rewriting them as multithreaded applications
 - Seem to naturally have low degree of parallelism
- Leverage economies of scale: n processors (or cores) support n desktop users by hosting the service in the Cloud
 - E.g., Google docs

Before exploring parallel algorithms in more depth, how do we know if our parallel algorithm or implementation actually does well or not?

65

Measures Of Success

- If sequential version takes time t, then parallel version on n processors should take time t/n
 - Speedup = sequentialTime / parallelTime
 - Note: job, i.e., work to be done, is fixed
- Response time should stay constant if number of processors increases at same rate as "amount of work"
 - Scaleup = workDoneParallel / workDoneSequential
 - Note: time to work on job is fixed







<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Let's see how MapReduce works.

72

MapReduce

- Proposed by Google in research paper
 - Jeffrey Dean and Sanjay Ghemawat. MapReduce: Simplified Data Processing on Large Clusters.
 OSDI'04: Sixth Symposium on Operating System Design and Implementation, San Francisco, CA, December, 2004
- MapReduce implementations like Hadoop differ in details, but main principles are the same



Overview

- Clever abstraction that is a good fit for many real-world problems
- Programmer focuses on algorithm itself
- Runtime system takes care of all messy details
 - Partitioning of input data
 - Scheduling program execution
 - Handling machine failures
 - Managing inter-machine communication















Example: Equi-Join

- Given two data sets S=(s₁,s₂,...) and T=(t₁,t₂,...) of integers, find all pairs (s_i,t_i) where s_i.A=t_i.A
- Can only combine the s_i and t_i in Reduce
 - To ensure that the right tuples end up in the same Reduce invocation, use join attribute A as intermediate key (k2)
 - Intermediate value is actual tuple to be joined
- Map needs to output (s.A, s) for each S-tuple s (similar for T-tuples)



Comments

- Programming model might appear very limited
- But, map and reduce can do anything with their input
 - Could implement a Turing machine inside...
 - ...which could compute anything, but...
 - ...would not result in a good parallel implementation.
- Challenge: find best MapReduce implementation for a given problem

