

## Relational Query Languages



* Query languages: Allow manipulation and retrieval of data from a database.
* Relational model supports simple, powerful QLs:
- Strong formal foundation based on logic.
- Allows for optimization.
* Query Languages != programming languages
- QLs not expected to be "Turing complete".
- QLs not intended to be used for complex calculations.
- QLs support easy, efficient access to large data sets.


## Why Is This Important?

* Once we have the data in a database, we want to access it.
* Relational algebra supports expressive queries by composing fairly simple operators.
* Only few operators needed
* We need to know the operators for the schema refinement discussion.


## Formal Relational Query Languages

* Two mathematical Query Languages form the basis for "real" languages (e.g. SQL), and for implementation:
- Relational Algebra: More operational, very useful for representing execution plans.
- Relational Calculus: Lets users describe WHAT they want, rather than HOW to compute it. (Non-operational, declarative.)


## Preliminaries

A query is applied to relation instances, and the result of a query is also a relation instance.

- Schemas of input relations for a query are fixed
- But query will run regardless of instance
- The schema for the result of a given query is also fixed
- Determined by definition of query language constructs.
* Positional vs. named-field notation:
- Positional notation easier for formal definitions, namedfield notation more readable.
- Both used in SQL



## Relational Algebra

## * Basic operations:

- Selection ( $\sigma$ ): Selects a subset of rows from relation.
- Projection ( $\pi$ ): Deletes columns from relation.
- Cross-product ( $\times$ ): Allows us to combine two relations
- Set-difference ( - ): Tuples in reln. 1, but not in reln. 2.
- Union $(\cup)$ : Tuples in reln. 1 and in reln. 2.
* Additional operations:
- Intersection, join, division, renaming: Not essential, but (very) useful.
* Since each operation returns a relation, operations can be composed (Algebra is "closed")


## Projection

* Deletes attributes that are not in projection list.
* Schema of result contains exactly the fields in the projection list, with the same names that they had in the input relation
* Projection operator has to eliminate duplicates. (Why?)
- Note: real systems typically do not eliminate duplicates unless the user explicitly asks for it. (Why not?)



## Selection

* Selects rows that satisfy the selection condition.
* No duplicates in result (Why?)
* Schema of result is identical to schema of input relation.
* Operator
composition example.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{\text {rating }>8}(S 2) \\
& \begin{array}{|l|l|}
\hline \text { sname } & \text { rating } \\
\hline \begin{array}{l}
\text { yuppy } \\
\text { rusty }
\end{array} & 9 \\
\hline
\end{array} \\
& \pi_{\text {sname,rating }}\left(\sigma_{\text {rating }>8}(S 2)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Union, Intersection, Set-Difference

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |
| 44 | guppy | 5 | 35.0 |
| 28 | yuppy | 9 | 35.0 |

What is the schema of result?
$S 1 \cup S 2$

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 |

$S 1-S 2$

| sid | sname | rating | age |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 |
| $S 1 \cap S 2$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Cross-Product

* Each row of S1 is paired with each row of R1
* Result schema has one field per field of S1 and R1, with field names 'inherited' if possible.
- Conflict: Both S1 and R1 have a field called sid.

| (sid) | sname | rating | age | (sid) | bid | day |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 22 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |

[^0]
## Joins

* Condition Join: $\quad R \bowtie{ }_{c} S=\sigma_{c}(R \times S)$

| (sid) | sname | rating | age | (sid) | bid | day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| 31 | lubber | 8 | 55.5 | 58 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| $S 1 \bowtie$ S1.sid $<$ R1.sid $R 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Result schema same as that of cross-product.
* Fewer tuples than cross-product, might be able to compute it more efficiently
* Sometimes called a theta-join.


## Joins

*. Equi-Join: A special case of condition join where the condition c contains only equalities.

| sid | sname | rating | age | bid | day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 22 | dustin | 7 | 45.0 | 101 | $10 / 10 / 96$ |
| 58 | rusty | 10 | 35.0 | 103 | $11 / 12 / 96$ |
| $S 1 \bowtie \bowtie_{\text {sid }} R 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |

* Result schema similar to cross-product, but only one copy of fields for which equality is specified.
* Natural Join: Equijoin on all common fields.


## Division

* Not supported as a primitive operator, but useful for expressing queries like:
- Find sailors who have reserved all boats.
* Let A have 2 fields, x and y ; B have only field y :
- $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}=\{\langle x\rangle \mid \forall\langle y\rangle \in B: \exists(x, y\rangle \in A\}$
- $A / B$ contains all x tuples (sailors) such that for every y tuple (boat) in B, there is an xy tuple in $A$.
- Or: If the set of $y$ values (boats) associated with an $x$ value (sailor) in $A$ contains all $y$ values in $B$, the $x$ value is in $A / B$.
$\not \approx$ In general, x and y can be any lists of attributes
- $y$ is the list of fields in $B$, and $x \cup y$ is the list of fields of $A$.


## Examples of Division $A / B$

| sno | pno |
| :--- | :--- |
| s1 | p1 |
| s1 | p2 |
| s1 | p3 |
| s1 | p4 |
| s2 | p1 |
| s2 | p2 |
| s3 | p2 |
| s4 | p2 |
| s4 | p4 |

A

| pno |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| p2 |  |
| p4 |  |
| B2 |  |

B2

| sno |
| :--- |
| s1 |
| s2 |
| s3 |
| s4 |
| $A / B 1$ |


| sno |
| :--- |
| s1 |
| s4 |



A/B3

## Expressing A/B Using Basic Operators

* Division is not essential op; just a useful shorthand.
- Also true of joins, but joins are so common that systems implement joins specially.
* Idea: For $A / B$, compute all $x$ values that are not 'disqualified' by some y value in $B$.
- $x$ value is disqualified if by attaching $y$ value from $B$, we obtain an xy tuple that is not in A .

Disqualified $x$ values: $\pi_{x}\left(\left(\pi_{x}(A) \times B\right)-A\right)$
$A / B: \pi_{x}(A)-$ all disqualified tuples

## Find names of sailors who've reserved

 boat \#103* Solution 1: $\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {bid=103 }}\right.\right.$ Reserves $) \bowtie$ Sailors $)$
$*$ Solution 2: $\rho\left(\right.$ Temp1, $\sigma_{b i d=103}$ Reserves $)$
$\rho$ (Temp2,Temp $1 \bowtie$ Sailors)
$\pi_{\text {sname }}{ }{ }^{(\text {Temp } 2)}$
* Solution 3: $\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\sigma_{\text {bid }=103}(\right.$ Reserves $\bowtie$ Sailors $\left.)\right)$

Find names of sailors who've reserved a red boat

* Information about boat color only available in Boats; so need an extra join:
$\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\left(\sigma_{\text {color='red' }}\right.\right.$ Boats $) \bowtie$ Reserves $\bowtie$ Sailors $)$
* A more efficient solution:
$\pi_{\text {sname }}\left(\pi_{\text {sid }}\left(\left(\pi_{\text {bid }} \sigma_{\text {color='red }}{ }^{\text {Boats }) \bowtie \operatorname{Res})} \bowtie\right.\right.\right.$ Sailors $)$
* A query optimizer can find this, given the first solution.

Find sailors who've reserved a red or a green boat

* Can identify all red or green boats, then find sailors who've reserved one of these boats:
$\rho\left(\right.$ Tempboats,( $\sigma_{\text {color }=' r e d ' \vee c o l o r=' g r e e n ~}{ }^{\text {Boats })}$ )
$\pi_{\text {sname }}{ }^{(\text {Tempboats } \bowtie \text { Reserves } \bowtie \text { Sailors })}$
* Can also define Tempboats using union. (How?)
$\star$ What happens if $\vee$ is replaced by $\wedge$ in this query?

Find sailors who've reserved a red and a green boat

* Previous approach won't work
- Must identify sailors who've reserved red boats, sailors who've reserved green boats, then find the intersection (note that sid is a key for Sailors):



## Summary

* The relational model has rigorously defined query languages that are simple and powerful.
* Relational algebra is more operational
- Useful as internal representation for query evaluation plans.
* Several ways of expressing a given query
- A query optimizer should choose the most efficient version.

$$
\ldots / \pi_{\text {bid }}\left(\sigma_{\text {bname }=\text { 'Interlake }}{ }^{, \text {Boats })}\right.
$$


[^0]:    " $\frac{\text { Renaming operator }}{\text { (C is the output }):} \quad \rho(C(1 \rightarrow \operatorname{sid} 1,5 \rightarrow \operatorname{sid} 2), S 1 \times R 1)$ (C is the output):

