Mitigating multiple identity attacks on content rating systems

MOTIVATION

Content sharing sites allow users to find and share content Examples: news articles (Digg), videos (YouTube), URLs

All have basic mechanisms: Creating accounts Declaring friendships Uploading and rating content (voting) Locating content via aggregated votes

But, accounts are often not verified and free to create Usually only require email address + CAPTCHA Multiple identities referred to as Sybils [IPTPS'02]

Sybils can be used to manipulate content rating Vote multiple times with multiple accounts Can make fraudulent content appear highly rated Or, can make legitimate content appear poorly rated



Sybil attacks observed on real-world sites Digg [NSDI'09] TripAdvisor [NYT, 08/20/2011] Labor markets [USENIX SEC.'11]

Automated software http://www.tubeautomator.com/



"Next Gen Youtube Robot That Can Get Your Loser Video To #1 At Youtube In Under 24 Hours"

Arash Molavi Kakhki^{†§}

Aniko Hannak^{†§}

[†]Northeastern University

GOAL AND ASSUMPTIONS





o tripadvisor[®]

Goal: Create system where users gain no additional influence by creating Sybils Key idea: Levarage underlying social network Social network often already exists on these sites

Assumption: Social links to honest users take effort to form and maintain Malicious user cannot obtain arbitrary links to honest users Introduces topological feature in social network

HONEST USERS



DESIGN

Our approach is to assign weights to votes; not all votes are counted equally

Goal: Assign weights so that user's aggregate weight does not depend on number of identities they possess Naturally mitigates the effect of Sybils Challenge is choosing weight assignment algorithm

We use flow over the social network to assign weights Every voter is a source; each link has unit capacity User asking for rating is the sink (vote collector)

Model problem as multi-commodity max flow problem Users "compete" to push flow to vote collector; determines vote weight User influence only dependent on number of real links; Sybils don't help

WITHOUT SYBILS 16%



Alan Mislove[†]

Ravi Sundaram[†]

^sStudent







PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Evaluate on Yelp data (65K users, 6.9K business, 152K reviews) Social network: Link between users with 3 common ratings

Figure a: How do our ratings compare? Our rating vs. Yelp's; existing ratings are largely similar

Sybils all rate business 5 stars.



RELATED WORK

DSybil [OAKLAND'09] finds trusted guides (users who have a similar voting history)

Assumes all users provide enough feedback to find guides Many users don't vote/feedback in practice

We only require a subset of users to vote Others can just declare friends (feedback not required)

Nodes outside must compete.

Vulnerable to Sybils in envelope Can introduce more identities into envelope

Nodes incentivized to "split" identity Receive more chances to find path to the vote collector Result: Sybils outside envelope can get more votes

Figure b: Do we prevent Sybil voting attacks?

Simulate Sybils by connecting Sybil network with attack links

Result: Rating is constant, regardless of number of identities

SumUp [NSDI'09] uses social network; inspired our design Defines a trusted "envelope", where all votes are counted