# Coalescing Register Allocation 

CS4410: Spring 2013

## Recap:

Basic Graph-Coloring Register Allocation

- Build interference graph G
- use liveness analysis
- Simplify the graph G
- If $x$ has degree $<k$, push $x$ and simplify $G-\{x\}$
- if no such $x$, then we need to spill some temp.
- spilling involves rewriting the code, and then start all over with a new interference graph.
- Once graph is empty, start popping temps and assigning them registers.
- Always have a free register since sub-graph G-\{x\} can't have >=k interfering temps.


## Spilling...

- Pick one of the nodes to spill.
- Picking a high-degree temp will make it more likely that we can color the rest of the graph.
- Picking a temp that is used infrequently will likely generate better code.
- e.g., spilling a register used in a loop when we could spill one accessed outside the loop is a bad idea...
- Rewrite the code:
- after definition of temp, write it into memory.
- before use of temp, load it into another temp.
- simplifies things to reserve a couple of registers.


## Coalescing Register Allocation

- If we have "x := $y$ " and $x$ and $y$ have no edge in the interference graph, we might be able to assign them the same color.
- so this would translate to "ti := ti" which we could simplify away.
- One idea is to optimistically coalesce nodes in the interference graph.
- just take the edges to be the union.
- but of course, this may make a k-colorable graph uncolorable!


## Example from book

$\{$ live-in: j, k\}
$g \quad:=\operatorname{mem}[j+12]$
$\mathrm{h}:=\mathrm{k}-1$
$\mathrm{f}:=\mathrm{g} * \mathrm{~h}$
$\mathrm{e}:=\operatorname{mem}[j+8]$
$\mathrm{m}:=\operatorname{mem}[j+16]$
$\mathrm{b}:=\operatorname{mem}[\mathrm{f}]$
$\mathrm{c}:=\mathrm{e}+8$
$\mathrm{~d}:=\mathrm{c}$
$\mathrm{k}:=\mathrm{m}+4$
$\mathrm{j}:=\mathrm{b}$

\{live-out: d,j,k\}

## Brigg's Strategy:

It's safe to coalesce x \& y if the resulting node will have fewer than $k$ neighbors with degree $>=k$.

## George's strategy:

We can safely coalesce $x$ \& $y$ if for every neighbor $t$ of $x$, either $t$ already interferes with $y$ or $t$ has degree $<k$.

## New Algorithm:

- Build: construct the interference graph.
- label each node as move-related or not move-related.
- move-related: source or destination of a move.
- Simplify: remove a non-move-related node of low degree from the graph \& push on stack. Continue until all nodes are move related and/or have high degree.


## New Algorithm Continued

- Coalesce: coalesce nodes on the reduced graph using either Briggs' or George's conservative strategy.
- Simplifying will hopefully have reduced the degree on many of the nodes.
- Possibly re-mark the nodes that were coalesced as non-move-related.
- go back to simplifying non-move-related, lowdegree nodes.


## New Algorithm Continued

- Freeze: if we have some nodes $x \& y$ of low degree, but they are move-related and cannot be safely coalesced, we freeze the move involving $x \& y$.
- i.e., we can't coalesce x \& y.
- so go back and treat them as non-moverelated.
- then, hopefully we can remove them with simplify, then do more coalescing, etc.


## Algorithm Continued:

- Spill: we've gotten down to only highdegree nodes. Pick a potential spill candidate and push it on the stack.
- We don't actually do the spill yet, but rather record that this node may need to be spilled.
- Just assume that it will no longer interfere with any other temp, so remove their edges.
- Go back and try to simplify/coalesce/freeze the graph some more.


## Algorithm Continued.

- Select: once we get the empty graph, start popping nodes off the stack and assign them colors.
- we may not have a free color when we run into a potential spill nodes.
- in this case, record that this node needs to be actually spilled.
- if we reserve two registers, then we don't have to iterate, but if we re-use fresh temps, then we need to iterate constructing a fresh interference graph, etc.


## Example from book

Stack:

j \& b, c\& d are move-related
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At this point, all nodes are move-related. So start coalescing...
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## Now Select...
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## Now Rewrite Code...

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{g} & :=\operatorname{mem}[j+12] \\
\mathrm{h} & :=\mathrm{k}-1 \\
\mathrm{f} & :=\mathrm{g} * \mathrm{~h} \\
\mathrm{e} & :=\operatorname{mem}[j+8] \\
\mathrm{m} & :=\operatorname{mem}[j+16] \\
\mathrm{b} & :=\operatorname{mem}[\mathrm{f}] \\
\mathrm{c} & :=\mathrm{e}+8 \\
\mathrm{~d} & :=\mathrm{c} \\
\mathrm{k} & :=\mathrm{m}+4 \\
\mathrm{j} & :=\mathrm{b}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Now Rewrite Code...

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{t} 2 & :=\mathrm{mem}[\mathrm{t} 4+12] \\
\mathrm{t} 1 & :=\mathrm{t} 1-1 \\
\mathrm{t} 3 & :=\mathrm{t} 2 * \mathrm{t} 1 \\
\mathrm{t} 1 & :=\mathrm{mem}[\mathrm{t} 4+8] \\
\mathrm{t} 2 & :=\mathrm{mem}[\mathrm{t} 4+16] \\
\mathrm{t} 4 & :=\mathrm{mem}[\mathrm{t} 3] \\
\mathrm{t} 3 & :=\mathrm{t} 3+8 \\
\mathrm{t} 3 & :=\mathrm{t} 3 \\
\mathrm{t} 1 & :=\mathrm{t} 1+4 \\
\mathrm{t} 4 & :=\mathrm{t} 4
\end{aligned}
$$



## ...and simplify moves

```
t2 := mem[t4+12]
t1 := t1 - 1
t3 := t2 * t1
t1 := mem[t4+8]
t2 := mem[t4+16]
t4 := mem[f]
t3 := t1 + 8
t1 := t1 + 4
```



t3
(t4)

## Some Practicalities

- The IL often includes machine registers
- e.g., FP, \$a0-a3, \$v0-v1
- allows us to expose issues of calling convention over which we don't have control.
- We can treat the machine registers as pre-colored temps.
- Their assignment to a physical register is already determined.
- But note that select \& coalesce may put a different temp in the same physical register, as long as it doesn't interfere.


## Using Physical Registers

## Within a procedure:

- move arguments from \$a0-a3 (and Mem[\$fp+offset]) into fresh temps, move results into $\$ v 0-\$ v 1$.
- manipulate the temps directly within the procedure body instead of the physical registers, giving the register allocation maximum freedom in assignment, and minimizing the lifetimes of pre-colored nodes.
- register allocation will hopefully coalesce the argument registers with the temps, eliminating the moves.
- ideally, if we end up spilling a temp corresponding to an argument, we should write it back in the already reserved space on the stack...


## Note:

- We cannot simplify a pre-colored node:
- removing a node during simplification happens because we expect to be able to assign it any color that doesn't conflict with the neighbors.
- but we don't have a choice for pre-colored nodes.
- Trick: treat physical nodes as having "infinite degree" in interference graph.
- Similarly, we cannot spill a pre-colored node.


## Callee-Saves Registers

- Callee-Saves register r:
- it's "defined" upon entry to the procedure
- it's "used" upon exit from the procedure.
- trick: move it into a fresh temp
- ideally, the temp will be coalesced with the callee-saves register (getting rid of the move.)
- otherwise, we have the freedom to spill the temp.


## Caller Saves Registers

- Want to assign a temp to a caller-saves register only when it's not live across a function call (for then we have to save/ restore it.)
- So treat a function call as "defining" all of the caller-saves registers.
- (callee might move values into them.)
- now any temps that are live across the call will interfere, and assignment will try to find different registers to assign the temps.


## Example (p. 238 in book)

We're compiling the following C procedure:
int $f($ int $a$, int b) \{
int $d=0$;
int e = a;
do $\{$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=d+b ; \\
& e=e-1 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

\} while (e > 0);
return d;

## Generated CFG:

$$
f:
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{c}:=\$ \mathbf{r} 3 ; \text { preserve callee } \\
& \mathbf{a}:=\$ \mathbf{r} 1 ; \text { move arg into } \mathrm{a} \\
& \mathrm{~b}:=\$ \mathbf{~}:=\text { move arg into } \mathrm{b} \\
& \mathrm{~d}:=0 \\
& \mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{a}
\end{aligned}
$$

loop: $d:=d+b$
e :=el
if e > 0 loop else end
end:
ri := d i return d
re := c ; restore callee
return ; \$r3,\$r1 live out

## Interference Graph

| f : | c : $=$ \$r3 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | a $:=\$ \mathrm{r} 1$ |
|  | b : = \$r2 |
|  | $\mathrm{d}:=0$ |
|  | e $:=\mathrm{a}$ |
| L: | $\mathrm{d}:=\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{b}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{e}-1$ |
|  | if e > 0 L |
|  | else E |

E:
r1 := d
r3 := c
return
No simplify, freeze, or coalesce is possible...

## Spilling:

Node c is a good candidate for spilling.

So push it as a potential spill.

Stack: sp(c)


## After Spilling c:

Now we can safely coalesce a \& e.

Stack: sp(c)


## After Coalescing a \& e:

Now we can safely coalesce b \& r2.

Stack: sp(c)


## After Coalescing b \& r2:

Now we can safely coalesce r1 \& ae.

Stack: sp(c)


## Constrained Nodes:

We cannot safely
coalesce rlae \& d because they are constrained.

When we coalesce, and we have both a non-move edge and a moveedge, we can't drop the non-
 move edge...

## Simplify:

At this point, we can simplify d.

Stack: sp(c)


## Start Selecting:

Now we only have pre-colored nodes left...

Stack: sp(c), d


## Start Selecting:

We pop d and assign it a color.

Stack: sp(c)


## Optimism Failed

We pop c but find out that we must do an actual spill.

Stack: sp(c)


## Rewrite Code

| f : | c : $=$ \$r3 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | a $:=\$ \mathrm{r} 1$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}:=\$ \mathrm{r} 2$ |
|  | $\mathrm{d}:=0$ |
|  | e $:=\mathrm{a}$ |
| L: | $\mathrm{d}:=\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{b}$ |
|  | e $:=\mathrm{e}-1$ |
|  | if e > 0 L |
|  | else E |

E:
r1 := d
r3 := c
return

## Rewrite Code

f: $\quad$ \$res := \$r3
Mem[fp+i] := \$res

L:
\$r1 := \$r1
\$r2 := \$r2
\$r3 := 0
\$r1 := \$r1
$\$ r 3:=\$ r 3+\$ r 2$
\$r1 := \$r1 - 1
if $\$ r 1>0$ L r1. else E
$\mathrm{E}: \quad \$ \mathrm{r} 1$ : $=\$ \mathrm{r} 3$
\$res := Mem[fp+i]
\$r3 := \$res
return

## Alternatively:

| f: | c : $=$ \$r3 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Mem[fp+i] $:=c$ |
|  | $\mathrm{a}:=\$ \mathrm{r} 1$ |
|  | b : $=\$ \mathrm{r} 2$ |
|  | d $:=0$ |
|  | e $:=\mathrm{a}$ |
| L : | $d:=d+b$ |
|  | $\mathrm{e}:=\mathrm{e}-1$ |
|  | if e > 0 L |
|  | else E |

E: r1 := d

f := Mem[fp+i]
r3 : $=\mathrm{f}$
return

## Get Rid of Stupid Moves:

| f: | \$res := \$r3 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Mem[fp+i] := \$res |
|  | \$r3 : $=0$ |
| L: | \$r3 : = \$r3 + \$r2 |
|  | \$r1 := \$r1-1 |
|  | if \$r1 > 0 L else E |
| E: | \$r1 := \$r3 |
|  | \$res := Mem[fp+i] |
|  | \$r3 : = \$res |
|  | return |

