

Problem 1: [Sipser] 1.21

part (a): Multiple solutions are possible, depending on the order in which states are eliminated. Eliminating states 1 and 2 in order yields the following regular expression:

$$a^*b(a \cup ba^*b)^*.$$

part (b): Multiple solutions are possible, depending on the order in which states are eliminated. Eliminating states 1, 2, and 3 in order yields the following regular expression:

$$\epsilon \cup (a \cup b)a^*b((b \cup a(a \cup b))a^*b)^*(\epsilon \cup a)$$

Problem 2: [Sipser] 1.29(b)

For the sake of contradiction, assume that the language is regular. The Pumping Lemma must then apply; let k be the pumping length. Consider the string $w = a^kba^kba^kb \in A_2$. Since $|w| \geq k$, it must be possible to split w into three pieces xyz satisfying the conditions of the Pumping Lemma. In any legal split $xyz = w$, it must be the case that $|xy| \leq k$. Therefore, y must contain only a symbols. Now consider the string $xyyz$. The string $xyyz$ is of the form $a^{k+|y|}ba^kba^kb$, and since $|y| > 0$, this string is no longer an element of A_2 . Thus, the Pumping Lemma is violated, and the language in question cannot be regular.

Problem 3: Use the pumping lemma for regular languages to show that the following language is not regular: $L = \{a^i b^j \mid i > 3j\}$.

For the sake of contradiction, assume that the language is regular. The Pumping Lemma must then apply; let k be the pumping length. Consider the string $w = a^{3k+1}b^k \in L$. Since $|w| \geq k$, it must be possible to split w into three pieces xyz satisfying the conditions of the Pumping Lemma. In any legal split $xyz = w$, it must be the case that $|xy| \leq k$. Therefore, y must contain only a symbols. Now consider the string xz , which is obtained by pumping *down*, i.e. setting $i = 0$ in the statement of the Pumping Lemma. The string xz is of the form $a^{3k+1-|y|}b^k$, and since $|y| > 0$, this string is no longer an element of L . Thus, the Pumping Lemma is violated, and the language in question cannot be regular.

Problem 4: [Sipser] 2.4 (b), (c), (e)

part (b): A valid *regular expression* for this language is $(0\Sigma^*0) \cup (1\Sigma^*1) \cup \varepsilon$. We can construct a context-free grammar for this language as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ll} S \rightarrow 0A0 \mid 1A1 \mid \varepsilon & \triangleright \text{Generates } (0\Sigma^*0) \cup (1\Sigma^*1) \cup \varepsilon. \\ A \rightarrow 0A \mid 1A \mid \varepsilon & \triangleright \text{Generates } \Sigma^*. \end{array}$$

part (c): A valid *regular expression* for this language is $(\Sigma\Sigma)^*\Sigma$. We can construct a context-free grammar for this language as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ll} S \rightarrow AAS \mid A & \triangleright \text{Generates } (\Sigma\Sigma)^*\Sigma. \\ A \rightarrow 0 \mid 1 & \triangleright \text{Generates } \Sigma. \end{array}$$

part (e): All strings in this language are of the form xx^R , $x0x^R$, or $x1x^R$ for some string $x \in \Sigma^*$. We can construct a context-free grammar for this language as follows.

$$S \rightarrow 0S0 \mid 1S1 \mid 0 \mid 1 \mid \varepsilon$$

Problem 5: [Sipser] 2.9

All strings in this language are of the form $\mathbf{a}^n\mathbf{b}^nc^*$ or $\mathbf{a}^*\mathbf{b}^nc^n$ for some $n \geq 0$. We can construct a context-free grammar for this language as follows.

$$\begin{array}{ll} S \rightarrow EC \mid AD & \triangleright \text{Generates } \mathbf{a}^n\mathbf{b}^nc^* \cup \mathbf{a}^*\mathbf{b}^nc^n. \\ E \rightarrow \mathbf{aEb} \mid \varepsilon & \triangleright \text{Generates } \mathbf{a}^n\mathbf{b}^n. \\ D \rightarrow \mathbf{bDc} \mid \varepsilon & \triangleright \text{Generates } \mathbf{b}^nc^n. \\ C \rightarrow \mathbf{cC} \mid \varepsilon & \triangleright \text{Generates } \mathbf{c}^*. \\ A \rightarrow \mathbf{aA} \mid \varepsilon & \triangleright \text{Generates } \mathbf{a}^*. \end{array}$$

This grammar is ambiguous because the string \mathbf{abc} has two distinct leftmost derivations.

$$S \rightarrow EC \rightarrow \mathbf{aEbC} \rightarrow \mathbf{abC} \rightarrow \mathbf{abcC} \rightarrow \mathbf{abc}$$

$$S \rightarrow AD \rightarrow \mathbf{aAD} \rightarrow \mathbf{aD} \rightarrow \mathbf{abDc} \rightarrow \mathbf{abc}$$

In fact, one can show that the context-free language in question is *inherently ambiguous*, i.e., that there cannot exist an unambiguous grammar for this language.

Problem 6: Consider the following CFG grammar: $S \rightarrow \mathbf{aSaS} \mid \mathbf{aSa} \mid \varepsilon$. Show that the grammar is ambiguous.

This grammar is ambiguous because the string \mathbf{aa} has two distinct leftmost derivations.

$$S \rightarrow \mathbf{aSaS} \rightarrow \mathbf{aaS} \rightarrow \mathbf{aa}$$

$$S \rightarrow \mathbf{aSa} \rightarrow \mathbf{aa}$$