

# Block-symmetric polynomials correlate with parity better than symmetric

November 2012

Emanuele Viola

Northeastern University

Joint work with

Frederic Green (Clark University)

Daniel Kreymer (Northeastern University Undergraduate)

## Correlation between

polynomial  $f$ ,  $n$  variables modulo  $p$ , degree  $d$   
and mod  $q$  function

$$\gamma = E_x [ \zeta_p^{f(x)} \zeta_q^{|x|} ]$$

where  $|x| = \sum_i x_i$ ,  $\zeta_p = p$ -th primitive root of unity  $e^{2\pi i/p}$

## Long-standing challenge ( $\forall$ co-prime $p, q$ )

Prove  $|\gamma| \leq \exp(-n^{\Omega(1)})$ , for  $d = n^{0.01}$

Open even  $|\gamma| \leq 1/n$ , for  $d = \log_2 n$

Surveyed in [V]

## About challenge:

- $|\gamma| \leq \exp(-n/2^d)$  [Babai Nisan Szegedy] (cf. [V] for Nisan's proof of [Bourgain] from [BNS])

$$|\gamma| \leq d/\sqrt{n} \text{ [Razborov, Smolensky]}$$

- So-called “barriers” not known to apply

- Progress (some distribution)

$\leftrightarrow$  long-sought lower bounds against  $\text{Maj AC}^0 \text{ mod } p$

Question by many, including Alon and Beigel in 2001:

Is maximum correlation achieved by symmetric polynomials?

Symmetric: invariant under permutation of variables,  
value depends only on Hamming weight of input

- Block-symmetric polynomials (symmetric in each block)

- **Theorem [This work]** Polynomials mod odd  $p$  vs. parity  
 $\forall$  degree  $d \in [0.995 p^t - 1, p^t - 1], \forall t \geq 1$

$$\frac{\text{max block-symmetric correlation}}{\text{max symmetric correlation}} \geq (1.01)^{n/d^2 \log d}$$

- Only previous case known:  $d = 2, p = 3$  [Green '02]

## Partial complements

- **Theorem [This work]** Symmetric correlate better than block-symmetric with large blocks if

- $d = p^t$

(previous result:  $d \in [0.995 p^t - 1, p^t - 1]$ )

- Or if polynomials  $p = 2$ , vs. the Mod  $q = \text{odd}$  function (previously nothing suggested different results for different moduli)

We develop a theory we call  
spectral analysis of symmetric correlation

Originates in [Cai Green Thierauf]

Our results follow from a fine result about symmetric:  
correlation established up to exponentially small relative error

## Spectral analysis

Correlation of symmetric polynomial  $f \bmod p$  with  $\text{Mod } q$

$$= \sum \alpha_i^n \beta_i$$

where  $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \dots > 0$ , independent from polynomial  $\beta$  take finitely many values

$\rightarrow \forall f, \exists \beta = \beta_1 : \text{correlation} \rightarrow \alpha_1^n \beta$

This work: tight bound on  $\beta$

How does this matter for block-symmetric vs. symmetric?



Correlation of symmetric =  $\alpha_1^n \beta$

Divide up  $n$  variables in  $n/b$  blocks of  $b$  each

Correlation =  $(\alpha_1^b \beta)^{n/b} = \alpha_1^n \beta^{n/b}$

→ block-symmetric beat symmetric if  $\beta > 1$

Unexpected, first observed using computer search

We advocate further use of computer search

Lack of progress provides excellent terrain

This work: Analytic proof that  $\beta > 1$  for  $d \in [0.995 p^t - 1, p^t - 1]$

$\beta < 1$  for  $d = p^t$

Proof sketch when  $d = p^t - 1$ , case of smaller  $d$  reduced to it

**Lemma:**  $\beta = \sum_{k \leq d} \zeta_p^{r(k)} (-1)^k \cos(\pi(n-2k)/2p^t)$ ,

where  $r(k)$  = value of polynomials at inputs of weight  $k$

**Fact:**  $\forall d+1$  values  $r(k)$ ,  $\exists$  symmetric polynomial achieving'em

How do we maximize  $|\beta|$  ?

Proof sketch when  $d = p^t - 1$ , case of smaller  $d$  reduced to it

**Lemma:**  $\beta = \sum_{k \leq d} \zeta_p^{r(k)} (-1)^k \cos(\pi(n-2k)/2p^t)$ ,

where  $r(k)$  = value of polynomials at inputs of weight  $k$

**Fact:**  $\forall d+1$  values  $r(k)$ ,  $\exists$  symmetric polynomial achieving'em

Define polynomial that agrees in sign with

$X := (-1)^k \cos(\pi(n-2k)/2p^t)$  as much as possible:

$$\begin{array}{lll} r(k) = 0 & \text{if} & X > 0 \\ r(k) = (p-1)/2 & \text{if} & X < 0 \end{array}$$

Some trigonometric sums later...

**Theorem:** For this choice of the polynomial,  $\beta > 1$

Also,  $\beta \rightarrow 2\sqrt{3}/\pi = 1.102\dots$  for large  $d$

And this is best possible

## More results and open problems

- **Switch-symmetric** polynomials sometimes beat **symm.** too
- **Challenge:** Are symmetric polys modulo  **$p = 2$**  optimal?

We verified this for Mod 3 when  $d = 2, \forall n \leq 10$   
 $d = 3, \forall n \leq 6$

Bonus material

[Razborov V ] “Real advantage”

Consider real-valued polynomials  $f$  vs. boolean function, where  $f(x) \notin \{0,1\}$  always counts as a mistake

Challenge: Prove  $1/n$  correlation with parity for degree  $\log_2 n$

Prerequisite for correlation mod  $p$ , and for sign correlation

... and what do we do about it? (Spoiler: not much)

[Razborov V ] “Real advantage”

Consider real-valued polynomials  $f$  vs. boolean function, where  $f(x) \notin \{0,1\}$  always counts as a mistake

Challenge: Prove  $1/n$  correlation with parity for degree  $\log_2 n$

Prerequisite for correlation mod  $p$ , and for sign correlation

- **Theorem:** Correlation  $\leq 0$  for degree  $d \leq \log \log n$

Based on anti-concentration by [Costello Tao Vu]  
False for modulo  $p$ , and sign

Challenge:  $d=\sqrt{n}$  is smallest we know with correlation  $> 0$



[Servedio V ] “On a special case of rigidity”

Valiant's '77 rigidity: Construct matrices far from low-rank.

Candidate: Hadamard, corresponding to Inner Product (IP)

Challenge: Prove the special case where low-rank matrices are given by sparse polynomials

Recall  $\text{rank}(M) = R \leftrightarrow M = \text{sum of } R \text{ rank-1 matrixes.}$   
In challenge, rank-1 matrices given by monomials.

Next: specific challenge and some new facts

- Challenge:

$\forall$  real-valued polynomial  $f$  in  $2n$  variables  $(x,y)$  with  $R$  terms:

$$\Pr_{x,y}[f(x,y) \neq \text{IP}] \gg 1/R$$

Note:  $\log(R)/R$  follows from known rigidity results

- Theorem:

Challenge  $\rightarrow$   $\text{IP} \notin \text{AC}^0$  with a layer of parity gates at the input  
(not known !!??)

- Theorem:

$\forall$  real-valued polynomial  $f$  in  $2n$  variables  $(x,y)$  with  $R$  terms:

$$\Pr_{x,y}[\text{sign}(f(x,y)) \neq \text{IP}] \geq \Omega(1/R)$$

Not known for rigidity

Proof by extension of [Aspnes Beigel Furst Rudich]