

## 2-15-06: One more case for SN; Boolean encodings; Girard's J operator

Quick case for FTLR for System F is SN:

Case:

$$\frac{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e : \forall \alpha. \tau \quad \Delta \vdash \sigma \text{ type}}{\Delta; \Gamma \vdash e[\sigma] : \tau[\sigma/\alpha]}$$

By induction,  $\delta_T(\gamma(e)) \in \mathcal{C}[\forall \alpha. \tau]\delta$ . By the logical relation,  $\delta_T(\gamma(e))[\delta_T(\sigma)] = \delta_T(\gamma(e[\sigma])) \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]\delta, \alpha \mapsto (\delta_T(\sigma), R)$  with  $R = \mathcal{C}[\sigma]\delta$ . We need:

**Lemma** If  $\Delta \vdash \sigma$  type and  $\delta \in \mathcal{D}[\Delta]$  then  $\mathcal{C}[\sigma]\delta \in \text{Cand}$ .

*Proof:* by the three lemmas.

### More examples

**true** =  $\Lambda \alpha. \lambda x : \alpha. \lambda y : \alpha. x$

**false** =  $\Lambda \alpha. \lambda x : \alpha. \lambda y : \alpha. y$

**Theorem**  $\forall \tau. \forall v_1, v_2 : \tau, f[\tau](v_1)(v_2) \in \{v_1, v_2\}$

$f \in \mathcal{V}[\forall \alpha. \alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)]$ . Pick  $\sigma = \tau, R = \{v_1, v_2\}$ . Then  $f[\tau] \in \mathcal{C}[\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha)]\alpha \mapsto (\tau, R)$ . Hence  $f[\tau] \downarrow v \in \mathcal{V}[\alpha \rightarrow \alpha \rightarrow \alpha]\alpha \mapsto (\tau, R)$ . Since  $v_1 \in R, v(v_1) \in \mathcal{C}[\alpha \rightarrow \alpha]\alpha \mapsto (\tau, R)$ . Hence  $f[\tau](v_1) \downarrow w_1 \in \mathcal{V}[\alpha \rightarrow \alpha]\alpha \mapsto (\tau, R)$ . Since  $v_2 \in R, w_1(v_2) \in \mathcal{C}[\alpha] \dots$ . Hence  $w_1(v_2) \downarrow w_2 \in R$ . So  $f[\tau](v_1)(v_2) \downarrow w_2 \in R = \{v_1, v_2\}$ .

### Girard's J operator

Girard's  $J$  is a nonparametric operator (it allows you to "inspect" a type variable)  $\approx$  intensional type analysis.

(This discussion will be in the full-reduction language with no base types)

$J : \forall \alpha. \forall \beta. \alpha \rightarrow \beta$

$$\begin{aligned} J[\sigma][\tau]e &\mapsto e && \text{if } \sigma = \tau \\ J[\sigma][\tau]e &\mapsto 0[\tau] && \text{if } \sigma \neq \tau, \sigma, \tau \text{ closed} \end{aligned}$$

$0 : \forall \alpha. \alpha$

$$\begin{aligned} 0[\sigma \rightarrow \tau]e &\mapsto 0[\tau] \\ 0[\forall \alpha. \tau]e &\mapsto 0[\tau[\sigma/\alpha]] \end{aligned}$$

In untyped lambda calculus, you have  $\omega = (\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)$ . Then  $\omega \mapsto \omega$ .

Let  $\rho = \forall\alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ .  
 $F : \rho = \Lambda\alpha.J[\rho \rightarrow \rho][\alpha \rightarrow \alpha](\lambda x : \rho.x[\rho]x)$   
 $\omega = F[\rho]F \mapsto^* F[\rho]F$

### Harper-Mitchell $J'$ operator

$J' : \forall\alpha.\forall\beta.(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow (\beta \rightarrow \beta)$

$$J'[\sigma][\tau]e \mapsto e \quad \text{if } \sigma = \tau$$

$$J'[\sigma][\tau]e \mapsto \lambda x : \tau.x \quad \text{if } \sigma \neq \tau, \sigma, \tau \text{ closed}$$

Let  $\rho = \forall\alpha.\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$ .  
 $F : \rho = \Lambda\alpha.J'[\rho][\alpha](\lambda x : \rho.x[\rho]x)$   
 $\omega = F[\rho]F \mapsto^* F[\rho]F$

$J'$  cannot give you a fixpoint combinator:

Suppose you could write (using  $J'$ ) a fixpoint combinator  $Y : \forall\alpha.(\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$ . (So that  $Y(f) \equiv f(Yf)$ ). Pick an uninhabited  $\tau$  in System F. Then  $Y[\tau](\lambda x.x) : \tau$ . Now  $\tau$  is inhabited. If you can encode  $Y$  using  $J'$  then you can encode  $Y' : (\alpha \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$  where  $Y' = Y[\Lambda\alpha.\Lambda\beta.\lambda x.\lambda y.y/J']$ . Then  $Y'$  is written entirely in pure System F! And yet,  $Y'[\tau](\lambda x.x)$  has type  $\tau$ . Contradiction.