

1-9-06: Proving termination via logical relations

Theorem If $\vdash e : \tau$ then $e \downarrow v$.

Case: $e = e_1(e_2)$. By inversion $\vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\vdash e_2 : \tau_2$. By induction $e_1 \downarrow v_1$ and $e_2 \downarrow v_2$. By preservation $\vdash v_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau$. Canonical forms implies $v_1 = \lambda x : \tau_2. e''$. By β -reduction, $v_1(v_2) \mapsto e''[v_2/x]$. But now we're stuck.

Attempt 2 If $\vdash e : \tau$ then $e \in C$, where

$$C = \{e \mid \vdash e : \tau, e \downarrow v, (v = \lambda x. e'' \wedge \tau = \tau' \rightarrow \tau'') \implies \forall v' : \tau'. e''[v'/x] \downarrow v''\}$$

Proof: by induction on e .

Case: $e = e_1(e_2)$. As before (in 1-4 notes) we have $e_1 \downarrow v_1$, $e_2 \downarrow v_2$, $\vdash v_1 : \tau' \rightarrow \tau''$, and $v_1 = \lambda x : \tau'. e''$. By induction, for all $v' : \tau'$, we have $e''[v'/x] \downarrow v''$.

[My handwritten notes skip some material. The gist was that we needed to introduce Γ to deal with the λ case, but that got us into trouble with variables. So, we introduced substitutions, as follows]

Substitutions

$$\gamma ::= \emptyset \mid x \mapsto v, \gamma$$

$$\frac{x : \tau \in \Gamma \implies (\gamma(x) = v \wedge \vdash v : \tau)}{\vdash \gamma : \Gamma}$$

Theorem If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $\gamma : \Gamma$ then $\gamma(e) \in C$, where

$$C = \{e \mid \vdash e : \tau, e \downarrow v, \tau = \tau' \rightarrow \tau'' \implies \forall v' : \tau'. v(v') \in C\}$$

Lemma [closure under β -expansion] If $e \mapsto e'$ and $e' \in C$ then $e \in C$.

(We need this to handle the λ case of the theorem.)

To handle variables, we add a new judgment:

$$\frac{\vdash \gamma : \Gamma \quad \forall x : \tau \in \Gamma. \gamma(x) \in C}{\gamma \text{ in } \Gamma}$$

Fixpoints

F is monotone if $A \subseteq B$ implies $F(A) \subseteq F(B)$.

$$C = \mu(F) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} F^n(\emptyset)$$

Logical relations

To get a workable version of C , we stratify over types.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}[\tau] &= \{e \mid \exists v. e \rightsquigarrow^* v \wedge v \in \mathcal{V}[\tau]\} \\ \mathcal{V}[\mathbf{T}] &= \{c\} \\ \mathcal{V}[\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2] &= \{v \mid \forall v' \in \mathcal{V}[\tau_1]. v(v') \in \mathcal{C}[\tau_2]\} \end{aligned}$$

We adjust the γ in Γ judgment accordingly:

$$\frac{\vdash \gamma : \Gamma \quad \forall x : \tau \in \Gamma. \gamma(x) \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]}{\gamma \text{ in } \Gamma}$$

Lemma (Main lemma) If $e \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]$ then $e \downarrow v$.

Immediate.

Lemma (Closure under β -expansion) If $e \rightsquigarrow e'$ and $e' \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]$ then $e \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]$.

Proof: Since $e' \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]$, we have $e' \rightsquigarrow^* v$ for some value $v \in \mathcal{V}[\tau]$. But since $e \rightsquigarrow e'$, this means that $e \rightsquigarrow^* v \in \mathcal{V}[\tau]$, so that $e \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]$.

Theorem (Fundamental theorem) If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and γ in Γ then $\gamma(e) \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]$.

Proof: By induction on e .

Case: $e = x$. By inversion $x : \tau \in \Gamma$. Hence $\gamma(x) \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]$.

Case: $e = \lambda x : \tau'. e''$. First, note that e is already a value. By inversion, $\Gamma, x : \tau' \vdash e'' : \tau''$. Let $v' \in \mathcal{V}[\tau']$ and let $\gamma' = \gamma, x \mapsto v'$. Then by induction $\gamma'(e'') \in \mathcal{C}[\tau'']$. Equivalently, $\gamma(e'')[v'/x] \in \mathcal{C}[\tau'']$. But $\gamma(e)(v') = (\lambda x : \tau'. \gamma(e''))(v') \rightsquigarrow \gamma(e'')[v'/x]$. By the closure under β -expansion lemma, we have $\gamma(e)(v') \in \mathcal{C}[\tau'']$. Hence $\gamma(e) \in \mathcal{C}[\tau' \rightarrow \tau'']$.

Case: $e = e_1(e_2)$. By inversion $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_2 \rightarrow \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2$. By induction, $\gamma(e_1) \in \mathcal{C}[\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau]$ and $\gamma(e_2) \in \mathcal{C}[\tau_2]$. Hence $\gamma(e_1) \rightsquigarrow^* v_1$ and $\gamma(e_2) \rightsquigarrow^* v_2$ with $v_1 \in \mathcal{V}[\tau_2 \rightarrow \tau]$ and $v_2 \in \mathcal{V}[\tau_2]$. This immediately gives us that $v_1(v_2) \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]$. But *that* means that $v_1(v_2) \rightsquigarrow^* v$ with $v \in \mathcal{V}[\tau]$. In all, we have

$$\gamma(e) = \gamma(e_1(e_2)) = \gamma(e_1)(\gamma(e_2)) \rightsquigarrow^* v_1(v_2) \rightsquigarrow^* v \in \mathcal{V}[\tau],$$

so $\gamma(e) \in \mathcal{C}[\tau]$.