

The Randomization Repertoire

Rajmohan Rajaraman

Northeastern University, Boston

May 2012

Randomization in Network Optimization

- Very important toolkit:
 - Often simple routines yielding the best known approximations to hard optimization problems
 - Often efficient in practice
- However, no separation known between randomization and determinism
 - Quite likely that “ $P = RP$ ”
 - Most randomized algorithms have been derandomized
- In distributed computing environments, randomization can provably help

Outline

- Basic tools from probability theory
 - Chernoff-type bounds
- Randomized rounding
 - Set cover
 - Unsplittable multi-commodity flow
- Dependent rounding
 - Multi-path multi-commodity flow
- Randomization in distributed computing
 - Maximal independent set

Basic Probability

- Linearity of expectation: For any random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n , we have
 - $E[\sum_i X_i] = \sum_i E[X_i]$
- Markov's inequality: For any random variable X
 - $\Pr[X \geq c] \leq E[X]/c$
- Union bound: For any sequence of events E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n , we have
 - $\Pr[\cup_i E_i] \leq \sum_i \Pr[E_i]$

Basic Probability: Large Deviations

- Chebyshev inequality: For any random variable X with mean μ and standard deviation σ

$$\Pr[|X - \mu| \geq c] \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{c^2}$$

- Applies to any random variable
- Can be used to effectively bound large deviation for sum of pairwise independent random variables

Chernoff Bound

- Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be n independent random variables in $\{0,1\}$
- For any nonnegative δ

$$\Pr[X \geq (1 + \delta)\mu] \leq \left(\frac{e^\delta}{(1 + \delta)^{1+\delta}} \right)^\mu$$

- For any δ in $[0,1]$

$$\Pr[|X - \mu| \geq \delta\mu] \leq 2e^{-\mu\delta^2/3}$$

Chernoff Bound Extensions

- Chernoff-Hoeffding bounds
 - For real-valued variables
- Bounds extend to negatively correlated variables
- Partial and almost independence [[Schmidt-Siegel-Srinivasan 95](#),...]
 - k-wise and almost k-wise independence
- Azuma's inequality for martingales
- [[Alon-Spencer 91](#), [Motwani-Raghavan](#), [Mitzenmacher-Upfal 04](#)]
- Matrix Chernoff bounds for random matrices [[Ahlsvede-Winter 02](#), [Rudelson-Vershynin 07](#), [Tropp 11](#)]

Randomized Rounding

- Write integer program for optimization problem
- Relax integrality constraint: often from $\{0,1\}$ to $[0,1]$
- View relaxed variables as probabilities
- Round the variables to $\{0,1\}$ according to corresponding probability
 - In most basic form, do this independently for each variable
 - Many rounding algorithms are much more sophisticated
 - Formulating the appropriate math program is also often a major contribution

- [Raghavan-Thompson 87]

Randomized Rounding Algorithms

- Multi-commodity flow [Raghavan-Thompson 87]
- MAXSAT [Goemans-Williamson 94]
- Group Steiner tree [Garg-Konjevod-Ravi 98]
- MAXCUT [Goemans-Williamson 95]

Set Cover

- Given a universe $U = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of elements, a collection of m subsets of U , and a cost $c(S)$ for each subset S , determine the minimum-cost collection of subsets that covers U

$$\min \sum_S c(S)x(S)$$

$$\sum_{S:e \in S} x(S) \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } e$$

$$x(S) \in \{0,1\} \quad \text{for all } S$$

Set Cover LP Relaxation

- Given a universe $U = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ of elements, a collection of m subsets of U , and a cost $c(S)$ for each subset S , determine the minimum-cost collection of subsets that covers U

$$\min \sum_S c(S)x(S)$$

$$\sum_{S:e \in S} x(S) \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } e$$

$$x(S) \in [0,1] \quad \text{for all } S$$

Randomized Rounding for Set Cover

- Let OPT be the value of the LP
 - Optimal set cover cost $\geq OPT$
- ROUND: Select each S with probability $x(S)$
- Claim: Expected cost of solution is OPT
 - Linearity of expectation
- Claim: $\Pr[\text{element } f \text{ is covered}] \geq 1 - 1/e$
- Repeat ROUND $\Theta(\log(n))$ times
 - With probability at least $1 - 1/n$, cost is $O(OPT \log(n))$ and every element is covered
- [Vazirani 03]

Unsplittable Multi-commodity Flow

- Given:
 - Directed graph $G = (V, E)$ with capacity nonnegative capacity $c(f)$ for each edge f
 - Demand pairs (s_i, t_i) with demand d_i
- Goal: Choose one path for each pair so as to minimize relative congestion

$$\max_{f \in E} \frac{\text{Total demand routed on } f}{c(f)}$$

- Basic randomized rounding achieves near-optimal approximation
- [Raghavan-Thompson 87]

Multi-path Multi-commodity Flow

- Same setup as the original multi-commodity flow problem, except
 - Instead of 1 path, need r_i paths for i th pair
- Basic randomized rounding does not ensure the above constraint
- Can refine it to achieve a reasonably good approximation
- Dependent rounding achieves near-optimal result
[Gandhi-Khuller-Parthasarthy-Srinivasan 05]

Randomization in Distributed Computing

- A number of distributed computing tasks need “local algorithms” to compute globally good solutions
 - Maximal independent set
 - Minimum dominating set
- Easy best-possible greedy algorithms
 - Inherently sequential
 - Place an ordering on the nodes
- How to compute in a distributed setting?
 - At the cost of a small factor, can work with an approximate order
 - Challenge: Break symmetry among competing nodes [Luby 86]