

## Problem of the Week – 5

### Reductions and NP

This problem concerns two questions that came up in last class's discussions. First was whether there exist NP-hard problems that are not in NP. The answer is certainly yes, since there are problems in much higher complexity class to which every language in NP (many-one) reduces in polynomial time. In fact, as an extreme, we can take an undecidable problem, say the Halting Problem.

- (a) Show that the Halting Problem is NP-hard.

We also discussed the difference between many-one reductions (also called Karp or mapping reductions, as defined in Sipser) and Turing reductions (also called Cook reductions). Let us consider many-one or mapping reductions first.

- (b) Show that NP is closed under polynomial-time many-one reductions. That is, show that if  $L_2$  is in NP and there is a polynomial-time many-one reduction from  $L_1$  to  $L_2$ , then  $L_1$  is in NP.

We say that there is a polynomial-time *Turing reduction* from language  $L_1$  to language  $L_2$  if given a Turing machine  $M_2$  for deciding  $L_2$  as an oracle (black box), we can construct a Turing machine  $M_1$  that decides  $L_1$  by making at most a polynomial number of calls to a given Turing machine that decides  $L_2$ .

- (c) Show that P is closed under polynomial-time Turing reductions. Why does not a similar proof extend to NP?