Adversarial Search

Robert Platt Northeastern University

Some images and slides are used from: 1. CS188 UC Berkeley 2. RN, AIMA

What is adversarial search?



Adversarial search: planning used to play a game such as chess or checkers

 algorithms are similar to graph search except that we plan under the assumption that our opponent will maximize his own advantage...

Chess

Checkers

Tic-tac-toe

Go

Chess Solved/unsolved?

Checkers Solved/unsolved?

Tic-tac-toe Solved/unsolved?

Go

Solved/unsolved?



Outcome of game can be predicted from any initial state assuming both players play perfectly

Unsolved Chess Checkers Solved Solved Tic-tac-toe Go Unsolved

Outcome of game can be predicted from any initial state assuming both players play perfectly

Chess	Unsolved	~10^40 states
Checkers	Solved	~10^20 states
Tic-tac-toe	Solved	Less than 9!=362k states
Go	Unsolved	?
Outcome of game can be predicted from any initial state assuming		

both players play perfectly

Different types of games

Deterministic / stochastic

Two player / multi player?

Zero-sum / non zero-sum

Fully observable / partially observable

What is a zero-sum game?

Zero-sum:

- Sum of utilities is zero
- In the case of a two player game: $U_A = -U_B$
- Pure competition

Not zero-sum:

- Agents have arbitrary utilities
- Might induce cooperation or competition

A formal definition of a deterministic game

Problem:

```
State set: S (start at s0)
```

```
Players: P={1...N} (usually take turns)
```

Action set: A

Transition Function: SxA -> S

Terminal Test: S -> {t,f}

Terminal Utilities: SxP -> R

Solution:

Policy, $S \rightarrow A$

<u>Objective:</u>

Find an optimal policy

 a policy that maximizes utility assuming that adversary acts optimally.

A formal definition of a deterministic game

Problem:

State set: S (start at s0)

Players: P={1...N} (usually take turns)

Action set: A

Transition Function: SxA -> S

Terminal Test: S -> {t,f}

Terminal Utilities: SxP -> R

How is this similar/different to the def'n of a standard search problem?

Solution:

Policy, $S \rightarrow A$

<u>Objective:</u>

Find an optimal policy

 a policy that maximizes utility assuming that adversary acts optimally.

A formal definition of a deterministic game

Problem:

State set: S (start at s0)

Players: P={1...N} (usually take turns)

Action set: A

Transition Function: SxA -> S

Terminal Test: S -> {t,f}

Terminal Utilities: SxP -> R

How do we solve this problem?

Solution:

Policy, S -> A

<u>Objective:</u>

Find an optimal policy

 a policy that maximizes utility assuming that adversary acts optimally.

Adversarial search















Consider a simple game:

- 1. you make a move
- 2. your opponent makes a move
- 3. game ends

Consider a simple game:

- 1. you make a move
- 2. your opponent makes a move
- 3. game ends

What does the minimax tree look like in this case?











Okay – so we know how to back up values ...

... but, how do we construct the tree?





















Notice that we only get utilities at the *bottom* of the tree ... – therefore, DFS makes sense.

 since most games have forward progress, the distinction between tree search and graph search is less important
What is Minimax?

```
function MINIMAX-DECISION(state) returns an action
return \arg \max_{a \in ACTIONS(s)} MIN-VALUE(RESULT(state, a))
```

```
function MAX-VALUE(state) returns a utility value

if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state)

v \leftarrow -\infty

for each a in ACTIONS(state) do

v \leftarrow MAX(v, MIN-VALUE(RESULT(s, a)))

return v
```

```
function MIN-VALUE(state) returns a utility value
if TERMINAL-TEST(state) then return UTILITY(state)
v \leftarrow \infty
for each a in ACTIONS(state) do
v \leftarrow MIN(v, MAX-VALUE(RESULT(s, a)))
return v
```

Figure 5.3 An algorithm for calculating minimax decisions. It returns the action corresponding to the best possible move, that is, the move that leads to the outcome with the best utility, under the assumption that the opponent plays to minimize utility. The functions MAX-VALUE and MIN-VALUE go through the whole game tree, all the way to the leaves, to determine the backed-up value of a state. The notation $\operatorname{argmax}_{a \in S} f(a)$ computes the element *a* of set *S* that has the maximum value of f(a).

Is it always correct to assume your opponent plays optimally?



Minimax vs "expectimax"



Minimax vs "expectimax"



Is minimax optimal? Is it complete?

Is minimax optimal? Is it complete?

Time complexity = ?

Space complexity = ?

Is minimax optimal? Is it complete? Time complexity = $O(b^d)$ Space complexity = O(bd)

Is minimax optimal? Is it complete?

Time complexity = $O(b^d)$

Space complexity = O(bd)

Is it practical? In chess, b=35, d=100

Is minimax optimal? Is it complete?

Time complexity = $O(b^d)$

Space complexity = O(bd)



 ${\cal O}(35^{100})$ is a big number...

Is minimax optimal? Is it complete?

Time complexity = $O(b^d)$

Space complexity = O(bd)

Is it practical? In chess, b=35, d=100

 ${\cal O}(35^{100})$ is a big number...

So what can we do?

Evaluation functions

Key idea: cut off search at a certain depth and give the corresponding nodes an estimated value.



Evaluation functions



Evaluation functions

How does the evaluation function make the estimate? – depends upon domain

For example, in chess, the value of a state might equal the sum of piece values.

- a pawn counts for 1
- -a rook counts for 5

. . .

– a knight counts for 3

A weighted linear evaluation function

$$eval(s) = w_1 f_1(s) + \dots + w_n f_n(s)$$

 $f_1(s) \equiv$ number of pawns on the board $f_2(s) \equiv$ number of knights on the board \vdots $w_1 = 1$ - A pawn counts for 1 $w_2 = 3$ - A knight counts for 3 \vdots

At what depth do you run the evaluation function?



<u>Option 1:</u> cut off search at a fixed depth

<u>Option 2:</u> cut off search at quiescient states deeper than a certain threshold

<u>Option 3:</u>?

The deeper your threshold, the less the quality of the evaluation function matters...

At what depth do you run the evaluation function?



Search depth=2

At what depth do you run the evaluation function?



Search depth=10























Alpha/Beta pruning: algorithm idea

- General configuration (MIN version) We're computing the MIN-VALUE at some node *n* We're looping over *n*'s children *n*'s estimate of the childrens' min is dropping Who cares about *n*'s value? MAX Let a be the best value that MAX can get at any choice point along the current path from the root If *n* becomes worse than *a*, MAX will avoid it, so we can stop considering n's other children (it's already bad enough that it won't be played)
- MAX version is symmetric



Alpha/Beta pruning: algorithm



return v

```
return v
```


























Is it complete?

Is it complete?

How much does alpha/beta help relative to minimax?

Minimax time complexity = $O(b^m)$ Alpha/beta time complexity >= $O(b^{\frac{m}{2}})$

- the improvement w/ alpha/beta depends upon move ordering...

Is it complete?

How much does alpha/beta help relative to minimax?

Minimax time complexity = $\,O(b^m)\,$

Alpha/beta time complexity >= $O(b^{\frac{m}{2}})$

- the improvement w/ alpha/beta depends upon move ordering...

The order in which we expand a node.

Is it complete?

How much does alpha/beta help relative to minimax?

Minimax time complexity = $O(b^m)$

Alpha/beta time complexity >= $O(b^{\frac{m}{2}})$

- the improvement w/ alpha/beta depends upon move ordering...

The order in which we expand a node.

How to choose move ordering? Use IDS.

- on each iteration of IDS, use prior run to inform ordering of next node expansions.