# **Maximal Ordinals Notations**

# Pete Manolios Northeastern

Formal Methods, Lecture 7

October 2008

#### **Recall: Ordinal Notations**

- An ordinal notation for ordinal  $\alpha$  is an explicit, constructive injection from  $A \subseteq \omega$  to  $\alpha$
- For example, polynomials and numbers give us ω
- Add  $\omega$  and we get the ordinals up to  $\varepsilon_0$
- Add ε<sub>0</sub> and we can go further
- We can keep adding new symbols forever
- What about a "maximal" notation system?
- Kleene and others considered such questions

## **Gödel Numbering**

- Recall: an ordinal notation for ordinal  $\alpha$  is an explicit, constructive injection from  $A \subseteq \omega$  to  $\alpha$
- For example, consider the ordinals up to  $\varepsilon_0$  in ACL2
- Can use Gödel encodings to go from lists to ω
- Can Gödel number Turing Machines
- If e is the Gödel number of a Turing Machine then
  - {e} is the corresponding TM
  - {e}(x) is the result of running the TM on input x
- Key definition: a *fundamental sequence* for limit ordinal λ is an increasing ω-sequence of ordinals whose limit is λ

### **Constructive Ordinal Notation Systems**

- A constructive ordinal notation system (CONS) is a pair (L, f) s.t. L ⊆ ω, f: L → On, and K,P, S are programs s.t.:
  - If f.x = 0, then K.x = 1
  - If f.x is a successor ordinal, then K.x = 2
  - If f.x is a limit ordinal K.x = 3
  - If f.x is  $\alpha$ +1, then P.x is a notation for  $\alpha$
  - If f.x is a limit ordinal λ, then S.x is the Gödel number of a program s.t. {S.x}(0), {S.x}(1), {S.x}(2), ... are notations for a fundamental sequence for λ
- Example: let 2<sup>i</sup> denote the naturals and let 3·2<sup>i</sup> denote ω+i. What are L, f? Define K, P, and S.
- Note, unique notations not required

### Kleene's Ordinal Notation System

- We describe CONS (L, f) by describing the set f<sup>1</sup>(α). L is the union of all non-empty sets f<sup>1</sup>(α)
  - 0 is the unique notation for 0
  - 2<sup>x</sup> is a notation  $\alpha$ +1 iff x is a notation for  $\alpha$
  - 3<sup>e</sup> is a notation for limit ordinal  $\lambda$  iff {e}.0, {e}.1, {e}.2, ..., are notations for a fundamental sequence for  $\lambda$
- What notations do 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ... receive?
- **0**, 1, 2, 4, 16, 2<sup>16</sup>, ... And, the notations are unique
- What is a notation for  $\omega$ ?
- Numbers of the form 3<sup>e</sup>, where {e} outputs an increasing subsequence of 0,1,2,4,16,2<sup>16</sup>, ....
- For each such e,  $2^{(3^e)}$  is a notation for  $\omega+1$ , and so on
- Define K, P, and S

### Kleene's Ordinal Notation System

- We describe CONS (L, f) by describing the set f<sup>1</sup>(α). L is the union of all non-empty sets f<sup>1</sup>(α)
  - 0 is the unique notation for 0
  - 2<sup>x</sup> is a notation  $\alpha$ +1 iff x is a notation for  $\alpha$
  - 3<sup>e</sup> is a notation for limit ordinal  $\lambda$  iff {e}.0, {e}.1, {e}.2, ..., are notations for a fundamental sequence for  $\lambda$
- Is this a CONS? What are K, P, and S?
- Theorem: for distinct ordinals  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  in the range off,  $f^{-1}(\alpha) \cap f^{-1}(\beta) = \emptyset$ , so f really is a function
- Theorem: The ordinals defined by any CONS form an initial segment of the ordinals upto a limit ordinal
- Maximality Theorem: If (L', f') is a CONS, then there is a program T such that  $T(L') \subseteq L$  and f(T.x) = f'(x) for all x in L'

#### Recursiveness

- Let  $\lambda$  be the least ordinal not provided with a notation
- $\lambda$  has to be a limit ordinal
- Can't we extend Kleene's system by adding a notation for  $\lambda$ ?
- Say we use 5 to denote λ
- Then S.5 = e where {e} outputs a fundamental sequence for λ
- But then  $3^{e}$  is already a notation for  $\lambda$
- Question: how do we recognize if 3<sup>e</sup> is a notation?
- Answer: this is an undecidable problem
- We can't even recognize if {e} is total, let alone a fundamental sequence
- Contrast this with ordinal notations in ACL2

#### **Recursive Ordinal Notation Systems**

- An ordinal is recursive if it is order-isomorphic to some woset (W, <) such that we can algorithmically determine for all a,b in W if a<b/p>
- For example, show that  $\omega^2$  is recursive
- The set of recursive ordinals is countable
- The least non-recursive ordinal is a limit ordinal
- Recursive ordinals are a constructive analog of Cantor's well ordering approach to ordinals
- The constructive ordinals are a constructive analog of Cantor's ordinal generation principles
- Theorem: the recursive ordinals are exactly the constructive ordinals