

Lecture 22

Pete Manolios
Northeastern

Unification Algorithm Soundness

- ▶ Algorithm: Nondeterministic transition system based on the following rules
 - ▶ Delete $\{t=t\} \cup S \implies S$
 - ▶ Decompose $\{f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)\} \cup S \implies \{t_1=s_1, \dots, t_n=s_n\} \cup S$
 - ▶ Orient $\{t=x\} \cup S \implies \{x=t\} \cup S$, if t is not a variable
 - ▶ Eliminate $\{x=t\} \cup S \implies \{x=t\} \cup S \downarrow t \leftarrow x$, if $x \in \text{Vars}(S) - \text{Vars}(t)$
- ▶ If $V \implies T$ then $U(V)=U(T)$: Easy: delete, decompose, orient;
 - ▶ Let $\sigma \in U(V)$, $Y = x=t$, $\theta = t \leftarrow x$; note $\theta = (Y) \downarrow$
 - ▶ Recall Lemma: Y is in solved form and $\sigma \in U(Y)$, then $\sigma = \sigma Y \downarrow$
 - ▶ Apply lemma to $x=t$ (solved form), $\sigma = \sigma \theta$ (since $x\sigma = \{\sigma \in U(Y)\} t\sigma = \{t=x\theta\} x\sigma\theta$)
 - ▶ $\sigma \in U(V) \equiv \sigma \in U(\{x=t\} \cup S) \equiv x\sigma = t\sigma \wedge \sigma \in U(S) \equiv x\sigma = t\sigma \wedge \sigma\theta \in U(S) \equiv x\sigma = t\sigma \wedge \sigma \in U(S\theta) \equiv \sigma \in U(\{x=t\} \cup S\theta) \equiv \sigma \in U(T)$
- ▶ Soundness: If Unify returns σ , then σ is an idempotent mgu of S
 - ▶ We showed that Unify does not change unifiers
 - ▶ By previous lemma: if S is in solved form, then $S \downarrow$ is an idempotent mgu

Unification Algorithm Completeness

- ▶ Algorithm: Nondeterministic transition system based on the following rules
 - ▶ Delete $\{t=t\} \cup S \implies S$
 - ▶ Decompose $\{f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)\} \cup S \implies \{t_1=s_1, \dots, t_n=s_n\} \cup S$
 - ▶ Orient $\{t=x\} \cup S \implies \{x=t\} \cup S$, if t is not a variable
 - ▶ Eliminate $\{x=t\} \cup S \implies \{x=t\} \cup S | t \leftarrow x$, if $x \in \text{Vars}(S) - \text{Vars}(t)$
- ▶ Completeness: If S is solvable, then $\text{Unify}(S)$ does not fail
 - ▶ Lemmas
 - ▶ $f(\dots) = g(\dots)$ has no solution if $f \neq g$
 - ▶ $x=t$, where $x \neq t$ and $x \in \text{Vars}(t)$ has no solution ($|x\sigma| < |t\sigma|$ for all σ)
- ▶ Proof: If S is solvable and in normal form wrt \implies , then S is in solved form. S cannot contain pairs of form $f(\dots) = f(\dots)$ (decompose) or $f(\dots) = g(\dots)$ (lemma) or $x=x$ (delete) or $t=x$ where t is not a var (orient), so all equations are of form $x=t$ where $x \notin \text{Vars}(t)$ (lemma). Also x cannot occur twice in S (eliminate), so S is in solved form.

Unification Algorithm Improvements

- ▶ Algorithm: Nondeterministic transition system based on the following rules
 - ▶ Delete $\{t=t\} \cup S \implies S$
 - ▶ Decompose $\{f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)\} \cup S \implies \{t_1=s_1, \dots, t_n=s_n\} \cup S$
 - ▶ Orient $\{t=x\} \cup S \implies \{x=t\} \cup S$, if t is not a variable
 - ▶ Eliminate $\{x=t\} \cup S \implies \{x=t\} \cup S | t \leftarrow x$, if $x \in \text{Vars}(S) - \text{Vars}(t)$
 - ▶ Clash $\{f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = g(s_1, \dots, s_m)\} \cup S \implies \perp$ if $f \neq g$
 - ▶ Occurs-Check $\{x=t\} \cup S \implies \perp$ if $x \in \text{Vars}(t) \wedge x \neq t$
- ▶ This is justified by the lemmas used for completeness
 - ▶ $f(\dots) = g(\dots)$ has no solution if $f \neq g$
 - ▶ $x=t$, where $x \neq t$ and $x \in \text{Vars}(t)$ has no solution ($|x\sigma| < |t\sigma|$ for all σ)
- ▶ Early termination when \exists no solution, saving (how much?) time

Complexity of Unification

- ▶ Algorithm: Nondeterministic transition system based on the following rules
 - ▶ Delete $\{t=t\} \cup S \implies S$
 - ▶ Decompose $\{f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = f(s_1, \dots, s_n)\} \cup S \implies \{t_1=s_1, \dots, s_n=t_n\} \cup S$
 - ▶ Orient $\{t=x\} \cup S \implies \{x=t\} \cup S$, if t is not a variable
 - ▶ Eliminate $\{x=t\} \cup S \implies \{x=t\} \cup S|t \leftarrow x$, if $x \in \text{Vars}(S) - \text{Vars}(t)$
- ▶ Exponential blow up: $\{(x_1=f(x_0, x_0)), x_2=f(x_1, x_1), x_3=f(x_2, x_2), \dots, x_n=f(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1})\}$
- ▶ Notice that the output is exponential
- ▶ Can we do better?
 - ▶ Yes, by using a dag to represent terms and returning a dag
 - ▶ General idea: operate on a concise representation of problem
 - ▶ BDDs are concise representations of truth tables, decision trees, etc
 - ▶ Model checking searches an implicitly given graph (transition system)

History of Unification

- ▶ What we have studied is *syntactic, first-order* unification
 - ▶ syntactic: substitutions should make terms syntactically equal
 - ▶ equational unification: unification modulo an equational theory
 - ▶ eg for commutative f , $f(x, f(x, x)) = f(f(x, x), x)$ is E-unifiable not syntactically unifiable
 - ▶ first-order: no higher-order variables (no variables ranging over functions)
- ▶ Herbrand gave a nondeterministic algorithm in his 1930 thesis
- ▶ Robinson (1965) introduced FO theorem proving using resolution, unification
 - ▶ Required exponential time & space
- ▶ Robinson (1971) & Boyer-Moore (1972): structure sharing algorithms that were space efficient, but required exponential time
- ▶ Venturini-Zilli (1975): reduction to quadratic time using marking scheme
- ▶ Huet (1976) worked on higher-order unification led to $n\alpha(n)$ time: almost linear
Robinson also discovered this algorithm
- ▶ Paterson and Wegman (1976) linear time algorithm
- ▶ Martelli and Montanari (1976) linear time algorithm based on Boyer-Moore

Unification Applications

- ▶ First-order theorem proving
 - ▶ Matching (ACL2) is a special case: given s, t find σ s.t. $s\sigma = t$
- ▶ Prolog
- ▶ Higher-order theorem proving
 - ▶ Undecidable for second-order logic
- ▶ Natural language processing
- ▶ Unification-based grammars
- ▶ Equational theories
 - ▶ Commutative, Associative, Distributive, etc
 - ▶ Term rewrite systems
- ▶ Type inference (eg ML)
- ▶ Logic programming
- ▶ Machine learning: generalization is a dual of unification