

Lecture 4

Pete Manolios
Northeastern

Equality

- ▶ Equality (equal, or =) is an *equivalence relation*
 - ▶ Reflexivity: $x = x$
 - ▶ Symmetry of Equality: $x = y \Rightarrow y = x$
 - ▶ Transitivity of Equality: $x = y \wedge y = z \Rightarrow x = z$
- ▶ Equality Axiom Schema for Functions: For every function symbol f of arity n we have the axiom
- ▶ $x_1 = y_1 \wedge \dots \wedge x_n = y_n \Rightarrow (f\ x_1 \dots x_n) = (f\ y_1 \dots y_n)$
- ▶ In ACL2, we would write $(\text{len} (\text{cons } x\ z)) = (\text{len} (\text{cons } y\ z))$ as
 $(\text{equal } (\text{len} (\text{cons } x\ z))$
 $(\text{len} (\text{cons } y\ z)))$
- ▶ = and \neq bind more tightly than any of the propositional operators

Built-in Functions

- ▶ Axioms for built-in functions, such as `cons`, `car`, and `cdr`
- ▶ Axioms are theorems we get for “free” characterizing `cons`, `car`, `cdr`, `consp`, `if`, `equal`, etc.
 - ▶ $(\text{car } (\text{cons } x \ y)) = x$
 - ▶ $(\text{cdr } (\text{cons } x \ y)) = y$
 - ▶ $(\text{consp } (\text{cons } x \ y)) = \text{t}$
 - ▶ $x = \text{nil} \Rightarrow (\text{if } x \ y \ z) = z$
 - ▶ $x \neq \text{nil} \Rightarrow (\text{if } x \ y \ z) = y$
- ▶ Reason about constant expressions using evaluation
 - ▶ $\text{t} \neq \text{nil}$, $(\text{cons } 1 \ ()) = (\text{list } 1)$, $3/9 = 1/3$, $() = \text{'nil}$, ...
- ▶ Note: from the the semantics of the built-in functions

Built-in Functions

- ▶ Propositional Logic
 - ▶ $(\text{not } p) = (\text{if } p \text{ nil } t)$
 - ▶ $(\text{implies } p \ q) = (\text{if } p \ (\text{if } q \ t \ \text{nil}) \ t)$
 - ▶ $(\text{iff } p \ q) = (\text{if } p \ (\text{if } q \ t \ \text{nil}) \ (\text{if } q \ \text{nil} \ t))$
- ▶ By embedding propositional calculus and $=$ in term language, terms (τ) can be interpreted as formulas ($\tau \neq \text{nil}$)
 - ▶ e.g., x as a formula is $x \neq \text{nil}$
 - ▶ $(\text{foo } x \ y \ z)$ as a formula is $(\text{foo } x \ y \ z) \neq \text{nil}$
- ▶ Similarly, we add axioms for numbers, strings, etc.
- ▶ This is all in GZ, the “ground-zero theory”

Instantiation

- ▶ A substitution σ is a list of the form $((\text{var}_1 \text{ term}_1) \dots (\text{var}_n \text{ term}_n))$
 - ▶ the vars are the “targets” (no repetitions) and the terms are their “images”
 - ▶ by $f|\sigma$ we mean, substitute every free occurrence of a target by its image
 - ▶ $(\text{cons } x (\text{let } ((y z)) y)) | ((x a) (y b) (z c) (w d)) =$
 $(\text{cons } a (\text{let } ((y c)) y))$
- ▶ Instantiation: If f is a *theorem*, so is $f|\sigma$
 - ▶ $(\text{len } (\text{list } x)) = 1$ is theorem, so is $(\text{len } (\text{list } (\text{list } x y))) = 1$
- ▶ Are the following substitutions correct?
- ▶ $(\text{cons } 'a b) | ((a (\text{cons } a (\text{list } c))) (b (\text{cons } c \text{ nil})))$
 - ▶ $(\text{cons } 'a (\text{cons } c \text{ nil}))$
- ▶ $(\text{cons } x (f x y f)) | ((x (\text{cons } a b)) (f x) (y (\text{app } y x)))$
 - ▶ $(\text{cons } (\text{cons } a b) (f (\text{cons } a b) (\text{app } y x) x))$

Inference Rules

- ▶ Evaluation
- ▶ Propositional calculus validities
 - ▶ Includes exportation, Modus Ponens, Proof by contradiction, ...
- ▶ Equality axioms
 - ▶ equality is an equivalence relation, equality schema for functions
- ▶ Instantiation
 - ▶ Start with built-in axioms
 - ▶ New axioms are added via definitional principle
 - ▶ Also defaxiom, defchoose, encapsulation, etc can add axioms

How to Prove Theorems

- ▶ Once you are done with contract checking, completion & generalization
- ▶ Extract the context by rewriting the conjecture into the form:
 $[C1 \wedge C2 \wedge \dots \wedge Cn] \Rightarrow \text{RHS}$ where there are as many hyps as possible
- ▶ Derived context. What obvious things follow? Common patterns:
 - ▶ $(\text{endp } x), (\text{true-listp } x): x = \text{nil}$
 - ▶ $(\text{true-listp } x), (\text{consp } x): (\text{true-listp } (\text{rest } x))$
 - ▶ $\phi_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \phi_n \Rightarrow \psi$: Derive ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_n and use MP to ψ
- ▶ Proof. Use the proof format from RAP.
 - ▶ For equality, start with LHS/RHS and end with RHS/LHS or start w/ LHS & reduce, then start w/ RHS & reduce to the same thing
 - ▶ For transitive relation ($\Rightarrow, <, \leq, \dots$) same proof format works
 - ▶ For anything else reduce to t

Equational Reasoning

```
(implies (and (true-listp x)
              (true-listp y))
         (implies (and (consp x)
                       (not (equal a (first x)))
                       (implies (true-listp (rest x))
                                (implies (in a (rest x))
                                         (in a (app (rest x) y))))))
         (implies (in a x)
                   (in a (app x y)))))
```

Contract completion adds hypotheses.

ER Example

```
(implies (and (true-listp x)
              (true-listp y))
         (implies (and (consp x)
                       (not (equal a (first x)))
                       (implies (true-listp (rest x))
                                (implies (in a (rest x))
                                         (in a (app (rest x) y))))))
         (implies (in a x)
                  (in a (app x y)))))
```

Next: Prepare context

ER Example

(implies (and (true-listp x) (true-listp y))) A

(implies (and (consp x) (not (equal a (first x))) (implies (true-listp (rest x)) (implies (in a (rest x)) (in a (app (rest x) y)))))) B

(implies (in a x) (in a (app x y)))) C

Exportation: $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C$

ER Example

```
(implies (and (true-listp x) A  
             (true-listp y)  
             (consp x)  
             (not (equal a (first x)))  
             (implies (true-listp (rest x))  
                       (implies (in a (rest x))  
                                 (in a (app (rest x) y)))))) B  
        (implies (in a x) C  
                  (in a (app x y))))
```

Exportation: $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C$

ER Example

```
(implies (and (true-listp x)
              (true-listp y)
              (consp x)
              (not (equal a (first x))))
          (implies (true-listp (rest x))
                    (implies (in a (rest x))
                              (in a (app (rest x) y))))))
(implies (in a x)
          (in a (app x y))))
```

ER Example

```
(implies (and (true-listp x)
              (true-listp y)
              (consp x)
              (not (equal a (first x)))
              (implies (true-listp (rest x))
                        (implies (in a (rest x))
                                  (in a (app (rest x) y)))))) A
(implies (in a x) B
         (in a (app x y)))) C
```

Exportation again: $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C$

ER Example

```
(implies (and (true-listp x)
              (true-listp y)
              (consp x)
              (not (equal a (first x)))
              (implies (true-listp (rest x))
                        (implies (in a (rest x))
                                  (in a (app (rest x) y)))))) A
      (in a x)) B
      (in a (app x y)))) C
```

Exportation again: $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C$

ER Example

```
(implies (and (true-listp x)
             (true-listp y)
             (consp x)
             (not (equal a (first x)))
             (implies (true-listp (rest x))
                      (implies (in a (rest x))
                                (in a (app (rest x) y))))
             (in a x))
         (in a (app x y))))
```

ER Example

```
(implies (and (true-listp x)
              (true-listp y)
              (consp x)
              (not (equal a (first x))))
         (implies (true-listp (rest x)) A
                  (implies (in a (rest x)) B
                           (in a (app (rest x) y)))) C
         (in a x))
(in a (app x y))))
```

Exportation again: $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C$

ER Example

```
(implies (and (true-listp x)
              (true-listp y)
              (consp x)
              (not (equal a (first x))))
          (implies (and (true-listp (rest x)) A
                        (in a (rest x)) B
                        (in a (app (rest x) y)) C
                        (in a x))
                  (in a (app x y))))))
```

Exportation again: $A \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \Rightarrow C$

ER Example

```
(implies (and (true-listp x)
              (true-listp y)
              (consp x)
              (not (equal a (first x))))
         (implies (and (true-listp (rest x))
                       (in a (rest x)))
                  (in a (app (rest x) y))))
         (in a x))
(in a (app x y))))
```

Notice that we cannot use exportation in the 5th hypothesis

ER Example

```
(defunc in (a X)
  :input-contract (true-listp x)
  :output-contract (booleanp (in a X))
  (if (endp x)
      nil
      (or (equal a (first X))
          (in a (rest X))))))
```

C1. (true-listp x)

C2. (true-listp y)

C3. (consp x)

C4. $a \neq$ (first x)

C5. (true-listp (rest x)) \wedge (in a (rest x))
 \Rightarrow (in a (app (rest x) y))

C6. (in a x)

C7. (true-listp (rest x)) { C1, Def true-listp, C3 }

C8. (in a (rest x)) { C6, Def in, C3, C4, PL }

C9. (in a (app (rest x) y)) { C5, C7, C8, MP }

```
(implies
  (and (true-listp x)
        (true-listp y)
        (consp x)
        (not (equal a (first x)))
        (implies (and (true-listp (rest x))
                      (in a (rest x)))
                  (in a (app (rest x) y)))
              (in a x))
        (in a (app x y))))
```

```
(defunc true-listp (l)
  :ic t
  :oc (booleanp (true-listp l))
  (if (consp l)
      (true-listp (rest l))
      (equal l ())))
```

ER Example

C1. (true-listp x)
C2. (true-listp y)
C3. (consp x)
C4. $a \neq (\text{first } x)$
C5. $(\text{true-listp } (\text{rest } x)) \wedge (\text{in } a (\text{rest } x))$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{in } a (\text{app } (\text{rest } x) y))$
C6. (in a x)

```
(defunc in (a X)
  :input-contract (true-listp x)
  :output-contract (booleanp (in a X))
  (if (endp x)
      nil
      (or (equal a (first X))
          (in a (rest X)))))
```

C7. (true-listp (rest x)) { C1, Def true-listp, C3 }
C8. (in a (rest x)) { C6, Def in, C3, C4, PL }
C9. (in a (app (rest x) y)) { C5, C7, C8, MP }

```
(in a (app x y))
= { Def app }
  (in a (cons (first x) (app (rest x) y)))
= { Def in, first-rest-cons axioms }
  (or (equal a (first x)) (in a (app (rest x) y)))
= { C9, PL }
t
```

```
(defunc true-listp (l)
  :ic t
  :oc (booleanp (true-listp l))
  (if (consp l)
      (true-listp (rest l))
      (equal l ())))
```

Induction Schemes

- ▶ Given a function definition of the form:

```
(defunc f (x1 . . . xn)
  :input-contract ic
  :output-contract oc
  (cond (t1 c1)
        (t2 c2)
        . . .
        (tm cm)
        (t cm+1)))
```
- ▶ If ci contains a call to f , we say it is a recursive case
 - ▶ else it is a base case
- ▶ Let $tm+1$ be t .
- ▶ Let $Casei$ be $ti \wedge \neg tj$ for all $j < i$
- ▶ The function f gives rise to the following induction scheme:
- ▶ To prove ϕ , you can instead prove
 - ▶ 1. $\neg ic \Rightarrow \phi$
 - ▶ 2. For all ci that are base cases: $[ic \wedge Casei] \Rightarrow \phi$
 - ▶ 3. For all ci that are recursive cases: $[ic \wedge Casei \wedge 1 \leq j \leq Ri \phi|_{\sigma ij}] \Rightarrow \phi$
- ▶ If ci is a recursive case, then it includes at least one call to f .
- ▶ Say there are Ri calls to f and they are $(f \ x1 \ . \ . \ . \ xn)|_{\sigma ij}$, for $1 \leq j \leq Ri$

Induction Schemes

```
(defunc nind (x)
  :input-contract (natp x)
  :output-contract t
  (cond ((= x 0) x)
        (t (nind (1- x))))))
```

Induction on natural numbers

```
(defunc tree-ind (x)
  :input-contract t
  :output-contract t
  (cond ((atom x) x)
        (t (list (tree-ind (car x))
                  (tree-ind (cdr x))))))
```

Induction on trees

```
(defunc true-listp (l)
  :ic t
  :oc (booleanp (true-listp l))
  (if (consp l)
      (true-listp (rest l))
      (equal l () )))
```

Induction on true lists

Can turn if into cond

Common themes:

Induction on data definitions

Induction on functions in conjectures

Custom inductions

Can direct ACL2s to use specific induction scheme

Professional Method

```
(defunc app (a b)
  :input-contract (and (tlp a) (tlp b))
  :output-contract (tlp (app a b))
  (if (endp a)
      b
      (cons (car a)
            (app (cdr a) b))))
```

```
(defunc rev (x)
  :input-contract (tlp x)
  :output-contract (tlp (rev x))
  (if (endp x)
      nil
      (app (rev (cdr x))
            (list (car x)))))
```

Prove: $(\text{rev} (\text{rev } x)) = x$ No quite right, why?

Prove: $(\text{tlp } x) \Rightarrow (\text{rev} (\text{rev } x)) = x$ Contract completion!

Professional Method: use abbreviations, discover induction scheme

We'll induct on $(\dots x)$. Base case is trivial, so go to induction step

```
(R (R x))
= {Def R} (R (A (R (cdr x)) (L (car x))))
= {L1}    (A (R (L (car x))) (R (R (cdr x))))
= {IH}    (A (R (L (car x))) (cdr x))
= {Def R} (A (L (car x)) (cdr x))
= {Def A} x
```

Hm, to use IH, need lemma
Now I can use IH
Just equational reasoning

What Induction scheme?

$(\text{tlp } x)$ or $(\text{rev } x)$: minor differences

L1. $(R (A x y)) = (A (R y) (R x))$

Professional Method

```
(defunc app (a b)
  :input-contract (and (tlp a) (tlp b))
  :output-contract (tlp (app a b))
  (if (endp a)
      b
      (cons (car a)
            (app (cdr a) b))))
```

```
(defunc rev (x)
  :input-contract (tlp x)
  :output-contract (tlp (rev x))
  (if (endp x)
      nil
      (app (rev (cdr x))
           (list (car x)))))
```

Prove: $(\text{tlp } x) \wedge (\text{tlp } y) \Rightarrow (R (A x y)) = (A (R y) (R x))$

Professional Method: induct on? **x controls both LHS, RHS, so probably x**

Start with induction step

Base case?

```
(R (A x y))
= {Def A} (R (cons (car x) (A (cdr x) y)))
= {Def R} (A (R (A (cdr x) y)) (L (car x)))
= {IH} (A (A (R y) (R (cdr x))) (L (car x)))
= {Ass A} (A (R y) (A (R (cdr x)) (L (car x))))
= {Def R} (A (R y) (R x))
```

```
(R (A x y))
= {Def A} (R y)
(A (R y) (R x))
= {Def R} (A (R y) nil)
= {L2!} (R y)
```

Ass A: $(A (A x y) z) = (A x (A y z))$

What Induction scheme?

$(\text{tlp } x)$ or $(\text{rev } x)$: minor differences

L2: $(A x \text{nil}) = x$

Needs proof by induction!

Defun vs Defunc

- ▶ Defunc is defined in terms of defun
- ▶ Defun doesn't have contracts and you get a *total* function
- ▶ Defun has guards, which are similar to input contracts
 - ▶ but they do not have a logical meaning
- ▶ For example the defun version is non-terminating

```
(defunc !(x)
  :input-contract (natp x)
  :output-contract (posp (! x))
  (if (= x 0)
      1
      (* x (! (1- x)))))
```

- ▶ As per CAR, use the appropriate idiom, eg:

```
(defun !(x)
  (declare (xargs :guard (natp x)))
  (if (= x 0)
      1
      (* x (! (1- x)))))
```

```
(defun !(x)
  (declare (xargs :guard (natp x)))
  (if (zp x)
      1
      (* x (! (1- x)))))
```