

Pete Manolios Northeastern

Computer Aided Reasoning, Lecture 3

Definitions Review

- ▶ f is *admissible* provided:
 - ▶ f is a new function symbol
 - the xi are distinct variable symbols

(defunc f (x₁ ... x_n) :input-contract ic :output-contract oc body)

- body is a term, possibly using f recursively as a function symbol, mentioning no variables freely other than the xi
- the function is terminating
- ▶ ic \Rightarrow oc is a theorem
- the body contracts hold under the assumption that ic holds

When we admit f, we get the following

▷ Definitional axiom: ic \Rightarrow (f x_1 ... x_n) = body

▶ Contract theorem: ic \Rightarrow oc

Measure Functions

- ▶ We use measure functions to prove termination.
- ▶ m is a measure function for f if all of the following hold.
 - m is an admissible function defined over the parameters of f;
 - m has the same input contract as f;
 - m has an output contract stating that it always returns a natural number; and
 - on every recursive call, m applied to the arguments to that recursive call decreases, under the conditions that led to the recursive call.

Measure Function Example

(defunc drop-last (x) :input-contract (true-listp x) :output-contract (true-listp (drop-last x)) (cond ((endp x) nil) ((endp (rest x)) nil) (t (cons (first x) (drop-last (rest x)))))

- What is a measure function?
- ▶(len x)

Measure Function Example

```
(defunc prefixes (l)
  :input-contract (true-listp l)
  :output-contract (true-listp (prefixes l))
  (cond ((endp l) '( () ))
       (t (cons l (prefixes (drop-last l))))))
```

- Is prefixes admissible?
- ▶ Yes. Use (len 1)

```
But, our main proof obligation is:
(implies (and (true-listp 1)
(not (endp 1)))
(< (len (drop-last 1)) (len 1)))</p>
```

- This needs a proof by induction
- Common pattern: f's definition uses g
 - ▶ to prove termination of f, we often need "size" theorems about g

ACL2-count

A very useful, built-in function, since ACL2s uses this function to build measure functions.

Observation

- We require a measure function to return a natural number
- But sometimes need more than a natural number to prove termination
- We need infinite numbers!
- An example is the "weird" function below (Ackermann)
- Try proving that is terminating and you'll see what I mean

```
(defunc weird (x y)
  :input-contract (and (natp x) (natp y))
  :output-contract (posp (weird x y))
  (cond ((equal x 0) (+ 1 y))
        ((equal x 0) (+ 1 y))
        ((equal y 0) (weird (- x 1) 1))
        (t (weird (- x 1) (weird x (- y 1))))))
```



- There are simple programs for which no one knows whether they terminate
- And no one has any good idea on how to prove that they do or don't
- Here is a simple, famous example

```
(defunc c (n)
  :input-contract (natp n)
  :output-contract (natp (c n))
  (cond ((< n 2) n)
        ((integerp (/ n 2)) (c (/ n 2)))
        (t (c (+ 1 (* 3 n))))))
```

- The claim that it terminates is called the "Collatz conjecture."
- Paul Erdos: "Mathematics may not be ready for such problems."

Controlling ACL2s

- :program mode turns off theorem proving in ACL2s
 - no termination analysis is attempted
 - ACL2s will still test contracts and report any errors it finds
 - useful for prototyping & experimenting
- logic mode is the default mode and allows you to switch back
 - you cannot define :logic mode functions if they depend on :program mode functions
- Other useful settings
 - > (acl2s-defaults :set testing-enabled nil)
 - > (set-defunc-termination-strictp nil)
 - > (set-defunc-function-contract-strictp nil)
 - > (set-defunc-body-contracts-strictp nil)

DEMO