

Lecture 13

Pete Manolios
Northeastern

Coincidence Lemma

- ▶ Let $\mathcal{I}_1 = \langle A, a_1, \beta_1 \rangle$ be an S_1 -interpretation and let $\mathcal{I}_2 = \langle A, a_2, \beta_2 \rangle$ be an S_2 -interpretation (both have the same domain). Let $S = S_1 \cap S_2$.
 - ▶ 1. Let t be an S -term. If \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 agree on the S -symbols occurring in t and on the variables occurring in t , then $\mathcal{I}_1(t) = \mathcal{I}_2(t)$.
 - ▶ 2. Let ϕ be an S -formula. If \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 agree on the S -symbols and on the variables occurring free in ϕ , then $\mathcal{I}_1 \models \phi$ iff $\mathcal{I}_2 \models \phi$.
- ▶ Proof: By induction on S -terms and then on S -formulas
- ▶ This is a very useful lemma

Substitution

- ▶ Substituting t for x in ϕ yields ϕ' , which says about t what ϕ says about x
- ▶ Consider $\phi = \exists z z+z = x$. Note that $\langle N, \beta \rangle \models \phi$ iff $\beta.x$ is even
 - ▶ Replacing x by y gives, $\phi' = \exists z z+z=y$, where $\langle N, \beta \rangle \models \phi'$ iff $\beta.y$ is even; good!
 - ▶ What about replacing x by z ? This gives $\phi' = \exists z z+z=z$, but $N \models \phi'$; bad!
 - ▶ Have to deal with variable capture
 - ▶ The book provides a definition which replaces bound occurrences of z with a new variable in ϕ

▶ Theorem: For every term, t ,
$$\mathcal{J}\left(t \frac{t_0 \dots t_r}{x_0 \dots x_r}\right) = \mathcal{J} \frac{\mathcal{J}(t_0) \dots \mathcal{J}(t_r)}{x_0 \dots x_r}(t)$$

▶ Theorem: For every formula, ϕ ,
$$\mathcal{J} \models \phi \frac{t_0 \dots t_r}{x_0 \dots x_r} \text{ iff } \mathcal{J} \frac{\mathcal{J}(t_0) \dots \mathcal{J}(t_r)}{x_0 \dots x_r} \models \phi$$

▶ Theorem: If ϕ is Valid then so is
$$\phi \frac{t_0 \dots t_r}{x_0 \dots x_r}$$

Formalization Examples

$$\forall x Rxx$$

Equivalence relations

$$\forall x \forall y (Rxy \Rightarrow (Ryx))$$

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z ((Rxy \wedge Ryz) \Rightarrow Rxz)$$

$$\langle \forall x :: xRx \rangle$$

The way I would write it

$$\langle \forall x, y :: xRy \Rightarrow yRx \rangle$$

$$\langle \forall x, y, z :: xRy \wedge yRz \Rightarrow xRz \rangle$$

Define a new quantifier “there exists exactly one,” written $\exists^{=1} x \phi$

Try it!

$$\exists x (\phi \wedge \forall y (\phi \frac{y}{x} \Rightarrow x = y))$$

Prenex Normal Form Example

For any FO ϕ , we can find an equivalent FO ψ where all quantifiers are to the left. Try it!

$$\langle \forall x :: P(x) \vee R(y) \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \exists y, x :: Q(y) \vee \neg \langle \exists x :: P(x) \wedge Q(x) \rangle \rangle$$

Constant propagation, remove vacuous quantifiers (x not free in body)

$$\langle \forall x :: P(x) \vee R(y) \rangle \Rightarrow \langle \exists y :: Q(y) \vee \neg \langle \exists x :: P(x) \wedge Q(x) \rangle \rangle$$

Convert to NNF (Negation Normal Form) by eliminating \Rightarrow , \equiv , **if**

$$\neg \langle \forall x :: P(x) \vee R(y) \rangle \vee \langle \exists y :: Q(y) \vee \langle \forall x :: \neg P(x) \vee \neg Q(x) \rangle \rangle$$

$$\langle \exists x :: \neg P(x) \wedge \neg R(y) \rangle \vee \langle \exists y :: Q(y) \vee \langle \forall x :: \neg P(x) \vee \neg Q(x) \rangle \rangle$$

Pull quantifiers to the left

$$\langle \exists x :: \neg P(x) \wedge \neg R(y) \rangle \vee \langle \exists y :: \langle \forall x :: Q(y) \vee \neg P(x) \vee \neg Q(x) \rangle \rangle$$

$$\langle \exists z :: (\neg P(z) \wedge \neg R(y)) \vee \langle \forall x :: Q(z) \vee \neg P(x) \vee \neg Q(x) \rangle \rangle$$

Merge exists, avoid variable capture

$$\langle \exists z :: \langle \forall x :: (\neg P(z) \wedge \neg R(y)) \vee Q(z) \vee \neg P(x) \vee \neg Q(x) \rangle \rangle$$

matrix

Prenex Normal Form Algorithm

Constant propagation, remove vacuous quantifiers.

Start with the propositional logic algorithms and extend with:

$$\langle \forall x :: \phi \rangle \equiv \phi \text{ when } x \text{ is not free in } \phi$$

$$\langle \exists x :: \phi \rangle \equiv \phi \text{ when } x \text{ is not free in } \phi$$

Convert to NNF (Negation Normal Form) by eliminating \Rightarrow , \equiv , **if**

Start with the propositional logic algorithms and extend with:

$$\neg \langle \forall x :: \phi \rangle \equiv \langle \exists x :: \neg \phi \rangle$$

$$\neg \langle \exists x :: \phi \rangle \equiv \langle \forall x :: \neg \phi \rangle$$

Prenex Normal Form Algorithm

Constant propagation, remove vacuous quantifiers

Convert to NNF (Negation Normal Form) by eliminating \Rightarrow , \equiv , **if**

Pull quantifiers to the left (interesting part)

$\langle \forall x :: \phi \rangle \vee \psi \equiv \langle \forall x :: \phi \vee \psi \rangle$ **where x is not free in ψ**

$\psi \vee \langle \forall x :: \phi \rangle \equiv \langle \forall x :: \psi \vee \phi \rangle$ **where x is not free in ψ**

$\langle \exists x :: \phi \rangle \vee \psi \equiv \langle \exists x :: \phi \vee \psi \rangle$ **where x is not free in ψ**

$\psi \vee \langle \exists x :: \phi \rangle \equiv \langle \exists x :: \psi \vee \phi \rangle$ **where x is not free in ψ**

Similarly for conjunction, etc. Use substitution when x is free.

Minimizing the number of quantifiers is a good idea.

$\langle \forall x :: \phi \rangle \wedge \langle \forall y :: \psi \rangle \equiv \langle \forall z :: \phi \frac{z}{x} \wedge \psi \frac{z}{y} \rangle$ **where z is not free in LHS**

$\langle \exists x :: \phi \rangle \vee \langle \exists y :: \psi \rangle \equiv \langle \exists z :: \phi \frac{z}{x} \vee \psi \frac{z}{y} \rangle$ **where z is not free in LHS**

Skolem Normal Form Example

For any FO ϕ , we can find a universal ψ in an *expanded* language such that ϕ is satisfiable iff ψ is satisfiable. Try it!

$$\langle \exists x \langle \forall w \langle \exists y \langle \forall u, v \langle \exists z \phi(x, w, y, u, v, z) \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle \rangle$$

First, PNF, and push existentials left (2nd order logic)

$$\langle \exists x, F_y \langle \forall w, u, v \langle \exists z \phi(x, w, F_y(w), u, v, z) \rangle \rangle \rangle$$

$$\langle \exists x, F_y, F_z \langle \forall w, u, v \phi(x, w, F_y(w), u, v, F_z(w, u, v)) \rangle \rangle$$

The key idea is the following equivalence

We need the axiom of choice for ping

$$\langle \exists \dots \langle \forall x \langle \exists y \phi(\dots, x, y) \rangle \rangle \rangle \equiv \langle \exists \dots \langle \exists F_y \langle \forall x \phi(\dots, x, F_y(x)) \rangle \rangle \rangle$$

This allows us to push existential quantifiers to the left

To get back to FO, note that

$$\mathbf{Sat} \langle \exists \dots \langle \forall x \langle \exists y \phi(\dots, x, y) \rangle \rangle \rangle \quad \mathbf{iff} \quad \mathbf{Sat} \langle \forall x \phi(\dots, x, F_y(x)) \rangle$$

So, to finish our example, we get, where c, F_y, F_z are new symbols

$$\langle \forall w, u, v \phi(c, w, F_y(w), u, v, F_z(w, u, v)) \rangle$$

Skolem Normal Form Algorithm

Convert formula to NNF

Notice that Skolemizing in arbitrary formulas doesn't work

$\langle \exists x P(x) \rangle \wedge \neg \langle \exists y P(y) \rangle \quad \langle \exists x P(x) \wedge \neg P(c) \rangle$ is not equisatisfiable

With NNF, we can apply Skolemization to any sub formula

$\langle \forall x, z x = z \vee \langle \exists y x \cdot y = 1 \rangle \rangle$ can be Skolemized as

$\langle \forall x, z x = z \vee x \cdot f(x) = 1 \rangle$ or we can convert to PNF

$\langle \forall x, z \langle \exists y x = z \vee x \cdot y = 1 \rangle \rangle$ and then Skolemize

$\langle \forall x, z x = z \vee x \cdot f(x, z) = 1 \rangle$ *order matters!*

So, it is better to Skolemize inside-out and then convert to PNF

Theorem: For any FO ϕ , we can find a universal ψ in an *expanded* language such that ϕ is satisfiable iff ψ is satisfiable. (From last slide)

Corollary: For any FO ϕ , we can find an existential ψ in an *expanded* language such that ϕ is valid iff ψ is valid (use $\neg\phi$ in above Theorem).