

### Element for El Gamal Scheme

Motivation of design

Fall'04: CSG252

- RSA is based on the difficulty of factoring large numbers
- El Gamal scheme is based on the difficulty of computing discrete

Classical Cryptography

- Order of an element of a multiplicative group (G, .):
  - $<\alpha> = {\alpha^i : 0 \le i \le n-1}; n \text{ is the order of } \alpha$
- Discrete Logarithm:
  - Given a multiplicative group (G,.), an element  $\alpha \in G$  with order n, and an element  $\beta \in G$  s.t.  $\alpha^{g} = \beta$ Question: find the unique integer  $0 \le \alpha \le n$ -1 s.t.  $\alpha^{g} = \beta$ This is the same as finding  $\log_{\alpha}(\beta)$

all'04: CSG252	Classical Cryptograph
ali 04. C30232	Ciassicai Cryptograpi

1

# El Gamal Cryptosystem • p prime s.t. $(Z_p^*, .)$ is infeasible • Let $\alpha$ be a primitive element • $p = Z_p^*; \ C = Z_p^* \times Z_p^*;$ • $\mathcal{K} = \{(p, \alpha, a, \beta) : \beta = \alpha^p \mod p\}$ • Public: $p, \alpha, \beta;$ Private: a;• For $K = (p, \alpha, a, \beta)$ and a secret number $k \in Z_p;$ • $e_k(x, k) = (y_1, y_2)$ s.t. • $y_1 = \alpha^k \mod p$ and $y_2 = x \beta^k \mod p;$ • $d_k(y_1, y_2) = ?$ FallO4: CSG252 Classical Cryptography 4 Example: • p = 2579;• $\alpha = 2$ (primitive element modulo p)

### ■ p = 2579; ■ $\alpha = 2$ (primitive element modulo p) ■ a = 765■ $\beta = 2^{765}$ mod 2579 = 949■ Encrypt x = 1299; k = 853■ $y_1 = 2^{853}$ mod 2579 = 435; $y_2 = 1299*949^{853}$ mod 2579 = 2396■ Decrypt $(y_1, y_2) = (435, 2396)$ ■ $x = 2396/435^{765}$ mod 2579 = 1299

### Algorithms for Discrete Logarithm El Gamal cryptosystem would be insecure if we can compute the discrete logarithm Discrete logarithm is believed to be infeasible if: p is carefully chosen against known attacks α is a primitive element modulo p Example: 300 digits, p-1 has at least one "large" prime factor

04: CSG252 Classical Cryptography

Algorithms for Discrete Logarithm	
<ul><li>Assumption:</li><li>Multiplication in G can be done in C(1)</li></ul>	
■ Exhaustive search: Cost = O(n)	
<ul> <li>Shank's Algorithm (G, n, α, β) [time-memory tradeoff]</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>m ← √n  </li> <li>For j=0 to m-1 do Compute α<sup>mj</sup></li> </ul>	
• <b>Sort</b> the $m$ pairs $(j, \alpha^m)$ with respect to second coordinate $\Rightarrow$ List L <sub>1</sub> • <b>For</b> i=0 <b>to</b> m-1 <b>do</b> compute $\beta \alpha^j$	
• Sort the $m$ pairs $(j, \beta \alpha^{\gamma})$ with respect to second coordinate $\Rightarrow$ List L <sub>2</sub> • Find a pair $(j, \gamma) \in L_1$ and a pair $(i, \gamma) \in L_2$ [Note: same $\gamma$ ]	
• Log <sub><math>\alpha</math></sub> $\beta$ = $(mj+i)$ mod $n$	
Complexity of Shank's algorithm: Time? Space?	
Fall'04: CSG252 Classical Cryptography 7	
	1
Algorithms for Discrete Logarithm	
Pollard Rho Discrete log	
■ Time: O(√n)	-
<ul> <li>Pohlig-Hellman Algorithm</li> <li>Time: O(max(c<sub>i</sub>√q<sub>i</sub>)) s.t. n = q<sub>1</sub>c<sup>1</sup> q<sub>k</sub>ck</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Index Calculus Method:</li> <li>Specialized algorithm for Z<sub>n</sub>* and primitive element α</li> </ul>	
■ Idea:	
<ul> <li>Use a factor base β = ⟨ρ<sub>1</sub>, ρ<sub>2</sub>,, ρ<sub>β</sub>⟩</li> <li>Find the logarithms of the primes in the factor base</li> <li>Use these logarithms to compute the logarithm of β</li> </ul>	
Lower bound on generic algorithms:	
<ul> <li>Definition: a generic algorithm applies to any group and does not use any properties of the element of the group s.t. factorization,</li> <li>Any generic algorithm for discrete logarithm has a lower bound of time</li> </ul>	
complexity: $\Omega(\sqrt{n})$	
Fall'04: CSG252 Classical Cryptography 8	
	1
Discrete Logarithm Algorithms in Practice	
Setups: • $G = (Z_p^*, .), p$ prime, $\alpha$ primitive element modulo $p$	
<ul> <li>G = (Z<sub>p</sub><sup>*</sup>, ·), p and q prime (p = 1 mod q), α element having order p</li> <li>G = (F<sub>p</sub><sup>*</sup>, ·), α primitive element modulo in F<sub>p</sub><sup>*</sup></li> <li>Elliptic Curves modulo a prime or over a finite field</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Lenstra and Verheul report to be secure until year 2020:</li> <li>p = 2160 for elliptic curves</li> <li>p = 21800 for (Z<sub>0</sub><sup>*</sup>, )</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>p = 2<sup>-coo</sup> for (Z<sub>p</sub> , .)</li> <li>Elliptic Curve implementations are the most efficient</li> </ul>	_
Mainly due to inexistence of an index calculus attack Adequate for low power/resources devices such as PDAs and smartcards	
Latest challenge:    Latest challenge:   * (shipped in April 2000) using 0000 computing that 0000 computing the part of the computing of	
<ul> <li>ECC2K-108 over F,* (solved in April 2000) using 9500 computers about 50 times the computation effort required to factor the RSA challenge RSA-512</li> </ul>	
L	I

## Diffie-Hellman Problems Computational Diffie-Hellman Given a multiplicative group (G, .), an element α∈ G (order n), two elements α<sup>ρ</sup>, α<sup>ρ</sup> ∈ ⟨αρ⟩ Question: find α<sup>ρ</sup> Decisional Diffie-Hellman Given a multiplicative group (G, .), an element α∈ G (order n), three elements α<sup>ρ</sup>, α<sup>ρ</sup>, α<sup>ρ</sup> ∈ ⟨αρ⟩ Question: Is d = bc? Turing Reductions: Decision Diffie-Hellman can be reduced to Computational Diffie-Hellman Computational Diffie-Hellman can be used to Discrete Logarithm Computational Diffie-Hellman can be used to decrypt El Gamal ciphertext and vice versa



