The grammar

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grammar Rule</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S → NP VP</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S → VP</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V NP</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V @VP_V</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V PP</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@VP_V → NP PP</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP → NP NP</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP → NP PP</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP → N</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP → P NP</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grammar Rule</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N → people</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → fish</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → tanks</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → rods</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V → people</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V → fish</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V → tanks</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P → with</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **score[0][1]**
- **score[0][2]**
- **score[0][3]**
- **score[0][4]**
- **score[1][2]**
- **score[1][3]**
- **score[1][4]**
- **score[2][3]**
- **score[2][4]**
- **score[3][4]**
S \rightarrow NP \ VP \ 0.9
S \rightarrow VP \ 0.1
VP \rightarrow V \ NP \ 0.5
VP \rightarrow V \ 0.1
VP \rightarrow V \ @VP_\ V \ 0.3
VP \rightarrow V \ PP \ 0.1
@VP_\ V \rightarrow NP \ PP \ 1.0
NP \rightarrow NP \ NP \ 0.1
NP \rightarrow NP \ PP \ 0.2
NP \rightarrow N \ 0.7
PP \rightarrow P \ NP \ 1.0

N \rightarrow people \ 0.5
N \rightarrow fish \ 0.2
N \rightarrow tanks \ 0.2
N \rightarrow rods \ 0.1
V \rightarrow people \ 0.1
V \rightarrow fish \ 0.6
V \rightarrow tanks \ 0.3
P \rightarrow with \ 1.0

N \rightarrow fish \ 0.2
V \rightarrow fish \ 0.6
NP \rightarrow N \ 0.14
VP \rightarrow V \ 0.06
S \rightarrow VP \ 0.006
N \rightarrow people \ 0.5
V \rightarrow people \ 0.1
NP \rightarrow N \ 0.35
VP \rightarrow V \ 0.01
S \rightarrow VP \ 0.001
N \rightarrow fish \ 0.2
V \rightarrow fish \ 0.6
NP \rightarrow N \ 0.14
VP \rightarrow V \ 0.06
S \rightarrow VP \ 0.006
N \rightarrow tanks \ 0.2
V \rightarrow tanks \ 0.3
NP \rightarrow N \ 0.14
VP \rightarrow V \ 0.03
S \rightarrow VP \ 0.003
<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>fish</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S → NP VP</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>N → fish 0.2</td>
<td>NP → NP NP 0.0049</td>
<td>N → fish 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S → VP</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>V → fish 0.6</td>
<td>VP → V NP 0.007</td>
<td>V → fish 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V NP</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>NP → N 0.14</td>
<td>VP → V NP 0.105</td>
<td>NP → N 0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>VP → V 0.06</td>
<td>S → NP VP 0.00126</td>
<td>VP → V 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V @VP_V</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>S → VP 0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td>S → VP 0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V PP</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@VP_V → NP PP</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP → NP NP</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>N → people 0.5</td>
<td>NP → NP NP 0.0049</td>
<td>N → people 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP → NP PP</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>V → people 0.1</td>
<td>VP → V NP 0.007</td>
<td>V → people 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP → N</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>NP → N 0.35</td>
<td>S → NP VP 0.0189</td>
<td>NP → N 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP → P NP</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>VP → V 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>VP → V 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → people</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>S → VP 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td>S → VP 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → fish</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → tanks</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → rods</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V → people</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V → fish</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V → tanks</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P → with</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>fish</td>
<td>people</td>
<td>fish</td>
<td>tanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>N → fish 0.2</td>
<td>NP → NP NP 0.0049</td>
<td>VP → V NP 0.105</td>
<td>S → VP 0.0105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>V → fish 0.6</td>
<td>VP → N 0.14</td>
<td>NP → N 0.35</td>
<td>S → NP VP 0.0189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NP → NP NP 0.0049</td>
<td>VP → V NP 0.007</td>
<td>S → VP 0.001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N → fish 0.2</td>
<td>VP → V NP 0.0196</td>
<td>NP → NP NP 0.042</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>V → fish 0.6</td>
<td>VP → V 0.06</td>
<td>S → VP 0.006</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Production rules:

- S → NP VP 0.9
- S → VP 0.1
- VP → V NP 0.5
- VP → V 0.1
- VP → V @VP_V 0.3
- VP → V PP 0.1
- @VP_V → NP PP 1.0
- NP → NP NP 0.1
- NP → NP PP 0.2
- NP → N 0.7
- PP → P NP 1.0
- N → people 0.5
- N → fish 0.2
- N → tanks 0.2
- N → rods 0.1
- V → people 0.1
- V → fish 0.6
- V → tanks 0.3
- P → with 1.0
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>fish</th>
<th>people</th>
<th>fish</th>
<th>tanks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>N → fish 0.2&lt;br&gt;V → fish 0.6&lt;br&gt;NP → N 0.14&lt;br&gt;VP → V 0.06&lt;br&gt;S → VP 0.006</td>
<td>N → people 0.5&lt;br&gt;V → people 0.1&lt;br&gt;NP → N 0.35&lt;br&gt;VP → V 0.01&lt;br&gt;S → VP 0.001</td>
<td>N → fish 0.2&lt;br&gt;V → fish 0.6&lt;br&gt;NP → N 0.14&lt;br&gt;VP → V 0.06&lt;br&gt;S → VP 0.006</td>
<td>N → tanks 0.2&lt;br&gt;V → tanks 0.3&lt;br&gt;NP → N 0.14&lt;br&gt;VP → V 0.03&lt;br&gt;S → VP 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>NP → NP NP&lt;br&gt;V → NP NP&lt;br&gt;0.0049&lt;br&gt;VP → V NP&lt;br&gt;0.105&lt;br&gt;S → VP&lt;br&gt;0.0105</td>
<td>NP → NP NP&lt;br&gt;V → NP NP&lt;br&gt;0.0049&lt;br&gt;VP → V NP&lt;br&gt;0.007&lt;br&gt;S → VP&lt;br&gt;0.0189</td>
<td>NP → NP NP&lt;br&gt;V → NP NP&lt;br&gt;0.0049&lt;br&gt;VP → V NP&lt;br&gt;0.007&lt;br&gt;S → VP&lt;br&gt;0.0189</td>
<td>NP → NP NP&lt;br&gt;V → NP NP&lt;br&gt;0.0049&lt;br&gt;VP → V NP&lt;br&gt;0.007&lt;br&gt;S → VP&lt;br&gt;0.0189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>NP → NP NP&lt;br&gt;V → NP NP&lt;br&gt;0.0049&lt;br&gt;VP → V NP&lt;br&gt;0.007&lt;br&gt;S → VP&lt;br&gt;0.0189</td>
<td>NP → NP NP&lt;br&gt;V → NP NP&lt;br&gt;0.0049&lt;br&gt;VP → V NP&lt;br&gt;0.007&lt;br&gt;S → VP&lt;br&gt;0.0189</td>
<td>NP → NP NP&lt;br&gt;V → NP NP&lt;br&gt;0.0049&lt;br&gt;VP → V NP&lt;br&gt;0.007&lt;br&gt;S → VP&lt;br&gt;0.0189</td>
<td>NP → NP NP&lt;br&gt;V → NP NP&lt;br&gt;0.0049&lt;br&gt;VP → V NP&lt;br&gt;0.007&lt;br&gt;S → VP&lt;br&gt;0.0189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Rules:**

- **S → NP VP, 0.9**
- **S → VP, 0.1**
- **VP → V NP, 0.5**
- **VP → V, 0.1**
- **VP → V @VP_V, 0.3**
- **VP → V PP, 0.1**
- **@VP_V → NP PP, 1.0**
- **NP → NP NP, 0.1**
- **NP → NP PP, 0.2**
- **NP → N, 0.7**
- **PP → P NP, 1.0**

**Terminal Symbols:**

- **N → people, 0.5**
- **N → fish, 0.2**
- **N → tanks, 0.2**
- **N → rods, 0.1**
- **V → people, 0.1**
- **V → fish, 0.6**
- **V → tanks, 0.3**
- **P → with, 1.0**
### Nonterminals and Productions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S → NP VP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S → VP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V @VP_V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V PP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@VP_V → NP PP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP → NP NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP → NP PP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP → N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PP → P NP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → tanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N → rods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V → people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V → fish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V → tanks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P → with</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Score Matrix

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>fish</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>people</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>fish</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>tanks</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Scoring Algorithm

```plaintext
for i=0; i<#(words); i++
  for A in nonterms
    if A -> words[i] in grammar
      score[i][i+1][A] = P(A -> words[i]);
```

```c
for i=0; i<#(words); i++
  for A in nonterms
    if A -> words[i] in grammar
      score[i][i+1][A] = P(A -> words[i]);
```
// handle unaries
boolean added = true
while added
    added = false
    for A, B in nonterms
        if score[i][i+1][B] > 0 && A -> B in grammar
            prob = P(A -> B) * score[i][i+1][B]
            if (prob > score[i][i+1][A])
                score[i][i+1][A] = prob
                back[i][i+1][A] = B
                added = true

S -> NP VP 0.9
S -> VP 0.1
VP -> V NP 0.5
VP -> V 0.1
VP -> V @VP_V 0.3
VP -> V PP 0.1
@VP_V -> NP PP 1.0
NP -> NP NP 0.1
NP -> NP PP 0.2
NP -> N 0.7
PP -> P NP 1.0

N -> people 0.5
N -> fish 0.2
N -> tanks 0.2
N -> rods 0.1
V -> people 0.1
V -> fish 0.6
V -> tanks 0.3
P -> with 1.0
S \rightarrow \text{NP VP} \quad 0.9
S \rightarrow \text{VP} \quad 0.1
\text{VP} \rightarrow \text{V NP} \quad 0.5
\text{VP} \rightarrow \text{V} \quad 0.1
\text{VP} \rightarrow \text{V @VP_V} \quad 0.3
\text{VP} \rightarrow \text{V PP} \quad 0.1
\text{@VP_V} \rightarrow \text{NP PP} \quad 1.0
\text{NP} \rightarrow \text{NP NP} \quad 0.1
\text{NP} \rightarrow \text{NP PP} \quad 0.2
\text{NP} \rightarrow \text{N} \quad 0.7
\text{PP} \rightarrow \text{P NP} \quad 1.0

\text{N} \rightarrow \text{people} \quad 0.5
\text{N} \rightarrow \text{fish} \quad 0.2
\text{N} \rightarrow \text{tanks} \quad 0.2
\text{N} \rightarrow \text{rods} \quad 0.1
\text{V} \rightarrow \text{people} \quad 0.1
\text{V} \rightarrow \text{fish} \quad 0.6
\text{V} \rightarrow \text{tanks} \quad 0.3
\text{P} \rightarrow \text{with} \quad 1.0

\text{prob}=\text{score[begin][split][B]}*\text{score[split][end][C]}*P(\text{A} \rightarrow \text{BC})
\text{if (prob > score[begin][end][A])}
\text{score[begin][end][A] = prob}
\text{back[begin][end][A] = new Triple(split,B,C)}
while added
  added = false
  for A, B in nonterms
    prob = P(A->B)*score[begin][end][B];
    if prob > score[begin][end][A]
      score[begin][end][A] = prob
      back[begin][end][A] = B
      added = true
  added = true
//handle unaries
boolean added = true
while added
  added = false
  for A, B in nonterms
    prob = P(A->B)*score[begin][end][B];
    if prob > score[begin][end][A]
      score[begin][end][A] = prob
      back[begin][end][A] = B
      added = true
  added = true
for split = begin+1 to end-1
for A,B,C in nonterms
    prob = score[begin][split][B] * score[split][end][C] * P(A→BC) 
    if prob > score[begin][end][A]
        score[begin][end][A] = prob
        back[begin][end][A] = new Triple(split, B, C)
for split = begin+1 to end-1
for A,B,C in nonterms
    prob = score[begin][split][B] * score[split][end][C] * P(A->BC)
    if prob > score[begin][end][A]
        score[begin][end][A] = prob
        back[begin][end][A] = new Triple(split,B,C)
for split = begin+1 to end-1
   for A,B,C in nonterms
      prob = score[begin][split][B]*score[split][end][C]*P(A>B,C)
      if prob > score[begin][end][A]
         score[begin][end][A] = prob
         back[begin][end][A] = new Triple(split,B,C)
```plaintext
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>fish 1</th>
<th>people 2</th>
<th>fish 3</th>
<th>tanks 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>N → fish 0.2 V → fish 0.6 NP → N 0.14 VP → V 0.06 S → VP 0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>N → people 0.5 V → people 0.1 NP → N 0.35 VP → V 0.01 S → VP 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>N → fish 0.2 V → fish 0.6 NP → N 0.14 VP → V 0.06 S → VP 0.006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>N → tanks 0.2 V → tanks 0.3 NP → N 0.14 VP → V 0.03 S → VP 0.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

Call `buildTree(score, back)` to get the best parse.
Extended CKY parsing

• CKY parsing is usually done after binarization
• Unaries can be incorporated into the algorithm
  • Messy, but doesn’t increase algorithmic complexity
• Empties can be incorporated
  • Doesn’t increase complexity; essentially like unaries
• Binarization is vital
  • Without binarization, you don’t get parsing cubic in the length of the sentence and in the number of nonterminals in the grammar
Where to learn the probabilities: Treebanks

• **English Penn Treebank**: Standard corpus for testing syntactic parsing consists of 1.2 M words of text from the Wall Street Journal (WSJ).

• Typical to train on about 40,000 parsed sentences and test on an additional standard disjoint test set of 2,416 sentences.

• **Chinese Penn Treebank**: 100K words from the Xinhua news service.

• Other corpora existing in many languages, see the Wikipedia article “Treebank”
Computing Evaluation Metrics

Correct Tree $T$

Computed Tree $P$

# Constituents: 12

# Correct Constituents: 10

Recall = 10/12 = 83.3%
Precision = 10/12 = 83.3%
$F_1 = 83.3\%$
Evaluating constituency parsing

Gold standard brackets: $S-(0:11), NP-(0:2), VP-(2:9), VP-(3:9), NP-(4:6), PP-(6:9), NP-(7,9), NP-(9:10)$

Candidate brackets: $S-(0:11), NP-(0:2), VP-(2:10), VP-(3:10), NP-(4:6), PP-(6:10), NP-(7,10)$
Evaluating constituency parsing

Gold standard brackets:
S-(0:11), NP-(0:2), VP-(2:9), VP-(3:9), NP-(4:6), PP-(6-9), NP-(7,9), NP-(9:10)

Candidate brackets:
S-(0:11), NP-(0:2), VP-(2:10), VP-(3:10), NP-(4:6), PP-(6-10), NP-(7,10)

Labeled Precision  3/7 = 42.9%
Labeled Recall 3/8 = 37.5%
LP/LR F1 40.0%
POS Tagging Accuracy 11/11 = 100.0%
How good are PCFGs?

• Penn WSJ parsing accuracy: about 73% LP/LR F1 with feature-based models; state-of-the-art neural model is 91-92% F1
I ate the spaghetti with chopsticks. I ate the spaghetti with meatballs.
(Head) Lexicalization of PCFGs

[Magerman 1995, Collins 1997; Charniak 1997]

- The **head word** of a phrase gives a good representation of the phrase’s structure and meaning (*head words are decided by rules, the most important word in a constituent*)
- Puts the properties of words back into a PCFG
Head Words

• Syntactic phrases usually have a word in them that is most “central” to the phrase.

• Linguists have defined the concept of a lexical head of a phrase.

• Simple rules can identify the head of any phrase by percolating head words up the parse tree.
  • Head of a VP is the main verb
  • Head of an NP is the main noun
  • Head of a PP is the preposition
  • Head of a sentence is the head of its VP
(Head) Lexicalization of PCFGs

[Magerman 1995, Collins 1997; Charniak 1997]

• The head word of a phrase gives a good representation of the phrase’s structure and meaning

• Puts the properties of words back into a PCFG
(Head) Lexicalization of PCFGs

[Magerman 1995, Collins 1997; Charniak 1997]

• The head word of a phrase gives a good representation of the phrase’s structure and meaning

• Puts the properties of words back into a PCFG
(Head) Lexicalization of PCFGs

[Magerman 1995, Collins 1997; Charniak 1997]

- Word-to-word affinities are useful for certain ambiguities
  - PP attachment is now (partly) captured in a local PCFG rule.
Lexicalized parsing was seen as the parsing breakthrough of the late 1990s

• Eugene Charniak, 2000 JHU workshop: “To do better, it is necessary to condition probabilities on the actual words of the sentence. This makes the probabilities much tighter:

  • \( p(\text{VP} \rightarrow \text{V NP NP}) \) = 0.00151
  • \( p(\text{VP} \rightarrow \text{V NP NP} | \text{said}) \) = 0.00001
  • \( p(\text{VP} \rightarrow \text{V NP NP} | \text{gave}) \) = 0.01980   " \( p(\text{rule} | \text{head word}) \)

• Michael Collins, 2003 COLT tutorial: “Lexicalized Probabilistic Context-Free Grammars ... perform vastly better than PCFGs (88% vs. 73% accuracy)”
Lexicalization models argument selection by sharpening rule expansion probabilities

- The probability of different verbal complement frames (i.e., “subcategorizations”) depends on the verb:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Tree</th>
<th>come</th>
<th>take</th>
<th>think</th>
<th>want</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VP → V</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V NP</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td><strong>32.1%</strong></td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>13.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V PP</td>
<td><strong>34.5%</strong></td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V SBAR</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td><strong>73.0%</strong></td>
<td>0.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V S</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td><strong>70.8%</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V NP S</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V PRT NP</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>5.8%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP → V PRT PP</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Human Parsing

• Computational parsers can be used to predict human reading time as measured by tracking the time taken to read each word in a sentence.

• Psycholinguistic studies show that words that are more probable given the preceding lexical and syntactic context are read faster.
  • John put the dog in the pen with a lock.
  • John put the dog in the pen with a bone.

• Modeling these effects requires an *incremental* statistical parser that incorporates one word at a time into a continuously growing parse tree.
Garden Path Sentences

• People are confused by sentences that seem to have a particular syntactic structure but then suddenly violate this structure, so the listener is “lead down the garden path”.
  • The horse raced past the barn fell.
    • vs. The horse raced past the barn broke his leg.
  • The complex houses married students.
  • The old man the sea.
    • While Anna dressed the baby spit up on the bed.

• Incremental computational parsers can try to predict and explain the problems encountered parsing such sentences.
Center Embedding

• Nested expressions are hard for humans to process beyond 1 or 2 levels of nesting.
  • The rat the cat chased died.
  • The rat the cat the dog bit chased died.
  • The rat the cat the dog the boy owned bit chased died.

• Requires remembering and popping incomplete constituents from a stack and strains human short-term memory.

• Equivalent “tail embedded” (tail recursive) versions are easier to understand since no stack is required.
  • The boy owned a dog that bit a cat that chased a rat that died.
Dependency Grammar and Dependency Structure

Dependency syntax postulates that syntactic structure consists of lexical items linked by binary asymmetric relations ("arrows") called dependencies.

The arrows are commonly typed with the name of grammatical relations (subject, prepositional object, apposition, etc.).

Bills on ports and immigration were submitted by Senator Brownback, Republican of Kansas.
Dependency Grammar and Dependency Structure

Dependency syntax postulates that syntactic structure consists of lexical items linked by binary asymmetric relations ("arrows") called dependencies.

The arrow connects a head (governor, superior, regent) with a dependent (modifier, inferior, subordinate).

Usually, dependencies form a tree (connected, acyclic, single-head).
A dependency grammar has a notion of a head. Officially, CFGs don’t.

But modern linguistic theory and all modern statistical parsers (Charniak, Collins, Stanford, …) do, via hand-written phrasal “head rules”:

- The head of a Noun Phrase is a noun/number/adj/…
- The head of a Verb Phrase is a verb/modal/….

The head rules can be used to extract a dependency parse from a CFG parse.
Dependency Graph from Parse Tree

- Can convert a phrase structure parse to a dependency tree by making the head of each non-head child of a node depend on the head of the head child.