Structure and Interpretation of an Aspect Language for Datatype Karl Lieberherr

2 Lectures

- The motivation and theory behind "Aspect Language for Datatype".
 - datatypes and class graphs
 - Semantics (// A B) (navig-object graphs.*)
 - Visitors and type checking
- Interpreter implementation

Motivation

- Build on foundations that Matthias presented.
- Connections to templates: stressing the importance of structural recursion.
- Not only an interpreter but also a compiler (works, because traversals are sufficiently simple).
- Very useful application of foundations that is in itself a foundation.
- Demonstration that simple languages can be full of surprises.

Homework

- Simple aspect-oriented language.
- Leads to a radically different way of programming: programming without knowing details of data structures. Write programs for a family of related data structures.
- Northeastern SAIC project ca. 1990.

Homework evolution

- Initial motivation: make EOPL datatype style programming easier by adding a traverse function.
- Visitors written in full Scheme: AdaptiveScheme = Scheme + EOPL datatype + traversal strategies + visitors.
- You get a simplified form (thanks Matthias).

Interpretation

- Interpret a traversal on an object tree.
- (join (//A B) (//B C)): starting at an A-node, traverse entire object tree, return C-nodes that are contained in B-nodes that are in turn contained in A-nodes.
- Not interesting enough. Can meta information about object trees make it more interesting?

Interpretation with meta information

- Use a graph to express meta information.
- Many applications:
 - data type / data trees
 - class graph / object trees
 - schema / documents (XML)
 - programs / execution trees

Class graphs (simplified UML class diagrams)

- nodes and edges
- nodes: concrete and abstract
- edges: has-a (triples) and is-a (pairs)
- concrete nodes: no incoming is-a
- supports inheritance
- flat: a class graph is flat if no abstract node has an outgoing has-a edge



Plan

- (M s cg og) = ?

 (M1(M2 s cg) og) = ?
 og satisfies cg!
- Not only traverse!
- (Mv s cg og V)
 - (Mv1 (M1 (M2 s cg) og) V)
 - visitor V: before / after applications to node / edge. Local storage. Visitor functions are activated by traversal.

Sample visitor

- (visitor PersonCountVisitor
 - 0 // initial value
 - PersonCountVisitor // return
 - before (host Person)
 - (+ PersonCountVisitor 1)

count all persons waiting at any bus stop on a bus route **Example**

- (Mv s cg og PersonCountVisitor)
- cg : class graph for bus routes
- og: object graph for bus routes
- s = (join (// BusRoute BusStop) (// BusStop Person))

Class Graph

count all persons waiting at any bus stop on a bus route



Object Graph

(Mv s cg og PersonCountVisitor) = ??

C=0





count all persons waiting at any bus stop on a bus route **Robustness**

Aspects

- Aspects as program enhancers
- Here we enhance traversal programs with before and after advice defined in aspects called visitors
- General AOP enhances any kind of program
- This is a special case with good software engineering properties

Develop a sequence of semantics

- (M s cg og) = ?
 og satisfies cg!
- s, cg, og: are graphs. Graphs are relations. Use terminology of relations.
- Restrict to s = (// A B).

Object level semantics

- (M s cg og), where s = (// A B).
- The key is to find a set FIRST(A,B) of edges such that e in FIRST(A, B) iff it is possible for an object of class A to reach an object of type B by a path beginning with an edge e.
- (M s cg og) is the FIRST(A,B) sets.

Homework class graphs

HW class graph to class graph transformation: A CG is: (DD+) TypeName -- abstract class AlternativeName - concrete class (AlternativeName, FieldName, TypeName) – has-a (AlternativeName, TypeName) – is-a

(datatype TypeName Alternative+)

An Alternative is: (AlternativeName (FieldName TypeName)+)

A DD is:

Homework class graphs

CD = PL(DD).DD = "(datatype" TypeName L(Alternative) ")". Alternative = "(" AlternativeName L(TypedField) ")". TypedField = "(" FieldName TypeName ")". FieldName = Ident. TypeName = Ident. AlternativeName = Ident. $L(S) \sim \{S\}.$ PL(S) ~ "(" {S} ")".

Class graph example

(datatype Container (a_Container (contents ItemList) (capacity Number) (total_weight Number))) (datatype Item (Cont (c Container)) (Simple (name String) (weight Weight)))

(datatype Weight (a_Weight (v Number)))

(datatype ItemList (Empty)

HW class graph to class graph transformation: TypeName -- abstract class AlternativeName - concrete class (AlternativeName, FieldName, TypeName) – has-a (AlternativeName, TypeName) – is-a

traversal strategy:

(// a_Container a_Weight)

(NonEmpty (first Item) (rest ItemList))))

As traditional class graph



Another class graph example

(datatype P (CP (q Q))) (datatype Q (CQ (p P)))

Because we only allow trees for object graphs, we should disallow such class graphs? P and Q are useless.









Separate Viewgraphs

- Difference between homework class graphs and class graphs.
- No inheritance in homework class graphs.
- Flat class graphs can easily be modeled by home work class graph. A class graph is flat if abstract classes have no outgoing has-a edges. Quadratic growth in size.

Apply class graph knowledge to homework class graphs

- Only consider flat class graph (flattening is an object preserving transformation).
- In flat class graph the rules are simpler.

HW class graph to class graph transformation: TypeName -- abstract class AlternativeName - concrete class (AlternativeName, FieldName, TypeName) - has-a (AlternativeName, enclosing TypeName) - is-a

Meaning of strategies and visitors

- (// A B) (only this in hw)
 - A:AlternativeName B:AlternativeName
 - starts at A-object and ends at B-object
- (// A B)
 - A:TypeName B:TypeName
 - starts at an AlternativeName-object of A
 - ends at an AlternativeName-object of B

From Semantics to Interpreter

- From object-level semantics to class-level semantics
- (M1(M2 s cg) og)

- M2: FIRST sets at class level

SWITCH to navig-object-graphs

From Interpreter to Compiler

- Connect to Structural Recursion
- Consider the strategy (// A *) (everything reachable from A)
- (M1(M2 s cg) og): we want M1 to be apply
- M2 must return a function that we apply to og
- Primitives: functions with one argument: the data traversed, no other arguments.

Code generation: should produce something useful

(define-datatype BusRoute BusRoute?

(a-BusRoute

(name symbol?)

(buses (list-of Bus?))

(towns (list-of Town?))))

Style 1: display

(define (trav br) (cases BusRoute br (a-BusRoute (name buses towns) (list name (trav-buses buses) (trav-towns towns))))) (define (trav-buses lob) (map trav-bus lob))

Style 2: copy

- (define (cp br)
- (cases BusRoute br
- (a-BusRoute (name buses towns) (apply a-BusRoute (list name (cp-buses buses) (cp-towns towns))))))
- (define (cp-buses lob)
- (map cp-bus lob))

Summary phase 1

- Language: strategies: A // B, class graphs, object graphs
- Semantics: FIRST: there exists object
- Interpreter: FIRST: there exists path in class graph
- Compiler: generated code is equivalent to a subgraph of class graph

Visitors

Visitors

- Several kinds:
 - Think of strategy as making a list out of an og. Fold on that list.
 - (cg og s) -> list of target objects of s. (gather cg og s). (// CContainer CWeight)
 - (+ (+ ... (+ w2 (+ w1 0)) ...)
 - Think of visitor as having a suit case of variables in which they store data from their trip. Available as argument.
 - functions for nodes and edges.
 - multiple visitors.

Type checking of hw programs

- check: Program =(Strategy x Visitor).
 (Program x ClassGraph) -> Bool
- Fundamental question: Given a program, with respect to which class graphs is it type correct.
 - Type checking: Given a class graph, is the program type correct?
 - Typability: Does there exist a class graph such that the program is type correct?

Reference

 Class-graph Inference for Adaptive Programs, Jdens Palsberg, TAPOS 3 (2), 75-85, 1997.