Super-resolution for MAX-SAT

November 17, 2006

We use N to keep track of the best assignment so far. N is a complete assignment, and is assigned arbitrarily at the beginning.

1. Unit-Propagation:

```
M||F,C+k||N\Rightarrow Mk||F,C+k||N if C+k is a super-resolvent, and M\vdash \neg C, and k is undefined in M.

or if C+k is not a super-resolvent, and M\vdash \neg C, and
```

 $unsat(M\neg k; F, C+k) \geq unsat(N; F, C+k).$ 2. Semi-Super-resolution:

k is undefined in M, and

 $I||F||N \Rightarrow I||F$, (the disjunction of the negated decision literals in I)||N

if
$$R = Contradiction(I, F) \neq \emptyset$$
, and $unsat(IR; F) \geq unsat(N; F)$.

Informal: Intuitively, since R is driven by I through unit-propagation, thus, the fact that " $unsat(IR;F) \geq unsat(N;F)$ " implies that we have made a mistake by setting the partial interpretation to I, which is the reason why we add the negated decision literals in I to F so that we won't make the same mistake again.

Contradiction(I, F): there has been a sequence of transitions from the state I||F||N by Unit-Propagation to a state IR||F||N at this point where some clause(s) is unsatisfied by (IR). Contradiction returns R if there is a contradiction and \emptyset otherwise.

3. Decide:

$$M||F||N \Rightarrow Mk^*||F||N$$

if k is undefined in M, and k and $\neg k$ occur in some clause(s) of F.

4. Finale:

$$M||F||N \Rightarrow M||F||N$$

if unsat(M; F) = unsat(N; F), and M is complete, and M contains no decision literals.

5. Restart:

$$M||F||N \Rightarrow \emptyset||F||N$$

6. Update:

$$M||F||N\Rightarrow M||F||M$$

if M is complete, and unsat(M;F) < unsat(N;F). Check whether unsat(M;F) = 0, if so, we terminate.

7. Subsumption:

$$M||F, SR_1, SR_2||N \Rightarrow M||F, SR_2||N$$

if SR_1 and SR_2 are super-resolvents, and SR_2 is a subset of SR_1 .