

## Misuse of use cases

- Tim Korson: Object Magazine, May 98, page 18
- Important for white-box testing:  
Requirements are often expressed in the form of use cases which are source of test requirements

## Bad example

- Large project
- Framework team asked application developers: “send us your use cases”
- 12,386 use cases were received
  - were too detailed and full of errors
  - at wrong level of abstraction

## Requirements should be organized hierarchically

- Levels of abstraction are also needed for the requirements: use cases need to be organized into layers. Reasons:
  - manage complexity of requirements
    - top-level requirements should be expressed in no more than 12 use cases
    - a layer of use cases should have at most 5-10 times the number of use cases of previous layer

## Requirements should be organized hierarchically

- Why layers of uses cases?
  - Complete set of requirements for “testing” at appropriate level of abstraction. Test domain, application, architectural and detailed design models.

## Business requirements/ Development deliverables

Requirements Artifacts	Development Artifacts
Business Requirements –first few levels of use cases	Domain Models
Interface Specifications	Application Models
	Architectures
More details	Detailed designs
Complete detailed specifications	Source code

## Use case layers

- Each level of use cases should be complete
- No new categories of requirements in lower levels
- Develop use cases iteratively and incrementally

## Hierarchical classification and functional decomposition

- Are different!
- Use case 1.1 is not the first step of use case 1.
- Use case 1.1 is a specific, more detailed, use case within the category of use cases defined by use case 1

## Business requirements/ Interface specifications

- Keep them separate.
- First express business requirements in interface-neutral terms
- First level of requirements should not jump directly to interface specifications, otherwise
  - harder to identify other interfaces
  - designers not prompted to add extensibility

## Example

- “deposit coin”
- Is interface binding for “accept payment”
- Could consider other interface bindings, such as electronic cash

## Design and use cases

- Do not derive designs directly from use cases
- Use cases stop at the system interface boundary. Use cases describe sequences that actors follow in using the system
- Use cases never specify what steps the system takes internally to respond to a stimulus

## Architecture

- A software system is a specific instantiation of an architecture customized to satisfy a specific set of requirements. Architecture was chosen because of
  - standard domain relationships
  - other system requirements: time, space, reliability, extendability, etc.and not so much because of functional reqs

## Architecture instantiation

- Instantiation of an architecture to implement a set of functional requirements is documented by object interaction diagrams, and not by use cases
- [www.software-architects.com](http://www.software-architects.com)