

You can turn in handwritten solutions to this part of the assignment. Please write clearly and use standard-sized (8.5 by 11in) paper. Solutions should be submitted at my office (328 WVH) by 1pm on the due date.

Problem 2: Type Soundness We saw that the simply-typed λ -calculus (λ^{\rightarrow}) has a sound type system because it preserves types and guarantees progress of well-typed terms. Thus, well-typed terms do not get stuck (i.e., evaluation is *safe*). Let us add pairs to the call-by-value simply-typed λ -calculus.

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Types } \tau ::= \dots \mid \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \\ \text{Terms } e ::= \dots \mid (e_1, e_2) \mid \mathbf{fst} \ e \mid \mathbf{snd} \ e \\ \text{Values } v ::= \dots \mid (v_1, v_2) \end{array}$$

New evaluation rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{e_1 \longrightarrow e'_1}{(e_1, e_2) \longrightarrow (e'_1, e_2)} \text{ (E-PAIR1)} \qquad \frac{e_2 \longrightarrow e'_2}{(v_1, e_2) \longrightarrow (v_1, e'_2)} \text{ (E-PAIR2)} \\ \\ \frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{\mathbf{fst} \ e \longrightarrow \mathbf{fst} \ e'} \text{ (E-FST)} \qquad \frac{e \longrightarrow e'}{\mathbf{snd} \ e \longrightarrow \mathbf{snd} \ e'} \text{ (E-SND)} \\ \\ \frac{}{\mathbf{fst} \ (v_1, v_2) \longrightarrow v_1} \text{ (E-FSTPAIR)} \qquad \frac{}{\mathbf{snd} \ (v_1, v_2) \longrightarrow v_2} \text{ (E-SNDPAIR)} \end{array}$$

New typing rules:

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash (e_1, e_2) : \tau_1 \times \tau_2} \text{ (T-PAIR)} \\ \\ \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fst} \ e : \tau_1} \text{ (T-FST)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{snd} \ e : \tau_2} \text{ (T-SND)} \end{array}$$

For this problem, you must extend the proofs of progress and preservation for STLC (λ^{\rightarrow})—as well as the proofs of lemmas that these rely on—to demonstrate type soundness for this extended language ($\lambda^{\rightarrow \times}$).

- State the inversion lemma.
- State and prove the canonical forms lemma.
- State the permutation and weakening lemmas.
- State and prove the substitution lemma.
- Prove the progress and preservation lemmas; their statements are as follows:

Lemma (Progress): If $\vdash e : \tau$ then *either* e is a value *or* there exists some e' such that $e \longrightarrow e'$.

Lemma (Preservation): If $\vdash e : \tau$ and $e \longrightarrow e'$, then $\vdash e' : \tau$.

Note: When proving preservation, use induction on the derivation of $e \longrightarrow e'$.

Note: For the proof portions only of parts (b), (d), and (e), you do not need to show the cases involving functions, application, and function types.