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## Outline

- RSA encryption in practice
- Transform RSA trapdoor into CCA secure encryption
- PKCS standard and attacks
- OAEP standard
- ElGamal encryption
- Based on Diffie-Hellman key exchange
- Proof of security based on DDH assumption
- Digital signatures
- Integrity in public-key world
- Equivalent of MACs
- Public verifiability


## Trapdoor functions

Def: a trapdoor function $X \longrightarrow Y$ is a triple of efficient algorithms (Gen, F, $\mathrm{F}^{-1}$ )

- Gen(): randomized alg. outputs a key pair (pk, sk)
- $F(p k, \cdot)$ : deterministic alg. that defines a function $X \rightarrow Y$
- $\mathrm{F}^{-1}(\mathrm{sk}, \cdot \cdot)$ : defines a function $\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{X}$ that inverts $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{pk}, \cdot)$

Correctness: $\forall(\mathrm{pk}, \mathrm{sk})$ output by $G$

$$
\forall x \in X: \quad F^{-1}(s k, F(p k, x))=x
$$

Trapdoor permutation $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{X} \longrightarrow \mathrm{X}, \mathrm{F}^{-1}: \mathrm{X} \longrightarrow \mathrm{X}$

## The RSA trapdoor permutation

Gen(): Choose random primes $p, q \approx 1024$ bits.
Set $\mathbf{N}=$ pq. $\quad$ RSA modulus
Choose integers $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d}$ s.t. $\mathbf{e} \cdot \mathbf{d}=1(\bmod \varphi(N))$
Output $\mathrm{pk}=(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{e}), \quad \mathrm{sk}=(\mathrm{d})$
$\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{pk}, \mathrm{x}): \mathbb{Z}_{N}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{N}^{*} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{pk}, \mathrm{x})=\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{e}} \bmod \mathbf{N}$
$F^{-1}(s k, y)=y^{d} \bmod N$
$y^{d}=\operatorname{RSA}(x)^{d}=x^{e d}=x^{k \varphi(N)+1}=\left(x^{\varphi(N)}\right)^{k} \cdot x=x$

## The RSA assumption

RSA assumption: RSA is trapdoor permutation

For all PPT algorithms A:

$$
\operatorname{Pr}\left[A(N, e, y)=y^{1 / e}\right]<\text { negligible }
$$

where $\quad \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q} \leftarrow^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{n}$-bit primes, $\quad \mathrm{N} \leftarrow \mathrm{pq}, \quad \mathrm{y} \leftarrow^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{Z}_{N}{ }^{*}$

## RSA public-key encryption

( $E, D$ ): authenticated encryption scheme $H: Z_{N} \rightarrow K$ where $K$ is key space of $\left(E_{s}, D_{s}\right)$

- Gen(): generate RSA parameters:

$$
\mathrm{pk}=(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{e}), \quad \mathrm{sk}=(\mathrm{d})
$$

- Enc(pk, m): (1) choose random $x$ in $Z_{N}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (2) } y \leftarrow \operatorname{RSA}(x)=x^{e}, \quad k \leftarrow H(x) \\
& \text { (3) output } \quad(y, E(k, m)) \longrightarrow \text { Randomized }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Dec(sk, $(y, c))$ : output $D\left(H\left(\operatorname{RSA}^{-1}(y)\right), ~ c\right)$

> CCA secure ISO Standard

## RSA encryption in practice

Never use textbook RSA.
RSA in practice (since ISO standard is not often used) :


Main questions:

- How should the preprocessing be done?
- Can we argue about security of resulting system?


## PKCS1 v1.5

PKCS1 mode 2: (encryption)


- Resulting value is RSA encrypted
- Widely deployed, e.g. in HTTPS


## Attack on PKCS1 v1.5

PKCS1 used in HTTPS:

$\Rightarrow$ attacker can test if 16 MSBs of plaintext = '02'

Chosen-ciphertext attack: to decrypt a given ciphertext c do:

- Choose $r \in Z_{N}$. Compute $c^{\prime} \leftarrow r^{e} . c=(r \cdot \operatorname{PKCS1}(\mathrm{~m}))^{e}$
- Send $c^{\prime}$ to web server and use response


## Simple example - Bleichenbacher

compute $\mathrm{x} \longleftarrow \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{d}}$ in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$


Suppose $N$ is $N=2^{n}$ (an invalid RSA modulus). Then:

- Sending $c$ reveals $m s b(x)$
- Sending $\mathbf{2}^{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \mathbf{c}=(\mathbf{2 x})^{\mathrm{e}}$ in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ reveals $\operatorname{msb}(2 x \bmod N)=\operatorname{msb}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{x})$
- Sending $4^{e} \cdot \mathbf{c}=(4 x)^{e}$ in $Z_{N}$ reveals $\operatorname{msb}(4 x \bmod N)=\operatorname{msb}_{\mathbf{3}}(x)$
- ... and so on to reveal all of $x$


## HTTPS Defense

Attacks discovered by Bleichenbacher resulted in the following change:

1. Decrypt the message to recover plaintext $m$
2. If the PKCS\#1 padding is not correct
3. Generate a string R of 46 random bytes
4. pre_master_secret $=R$

## Still no proof of security

## PKCS1 v2.0: OAEP

New preprocessing function: OAEP [B894]
check pad on decryption. reject CT if invalid.


Theorem ${ }_{\left[\text {Fops } S_{01]}\right.}$ : RSA is a trapdoor permutation $\Rightarrow$ RSA-OAEP is CCA secure when $H, G$ are random functions
in practice: use SHA-256 for H and G

## Review: the Diffie-Hellman protocol

Fix a finite cyclic group $G$ (e.g $\left.G=\left(Z_{p}\right)^{*}\right)$ of order $q$
Fix a generator $g$ in $G$ (i.e. $G=\left\{1, g, g^{2}, g^{3}, \ldots, g^{q-1}\right\}$ )

Alice
choose random $\mathbf{X}$ in $\{1, \ldots, q\}$

Bob
choose random $\mathbf{y}$ in $\{1, \ldots, q\}$

$$
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{x}}
$$

$$
\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{y}}
$$

$$
B^{x}=\left(g^{y}\right)^{x}=\quad k_{A B}=g^{x y} \quad=\left(g^{x}\right)^{y}=A^{y}
$$

## ElGamal: converting to pub-key enc. (1984)

Fix a finite cyclic group $G$ (e.g $\left.G=\left(Z_{p}\right)^{*}\right)$ of order $q$ Fix a generator $g$ in $G$ (i.e. $G=\left\{1, g, g^{2}, g^{3}, \ldots, g^{q-1}\right\}$ )

Alice
choose random $\mathbf{X}$ in $\{1, \ldots, \mathrm{q}\}$

$$
\mathrm{h}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{x}}
$$

## Bob

choose random $\mathbf{y}$ in $\{1, \ldots, \mathrm{q}\}$
compute $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{xy}}=\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{y}}$
$\operatorname{Enc}(m)=\left[u=g^{y}, c=k \cdot m\right]$

## ElGamal: converting to pub-key enc.

Fix a finite cyclic group $G$ (e.g $G=\left(Z_{p}\right)^{*}$ ) of order $q$ Fix a generator $g$ in $G$ (i.e. $G=\left\{1, g, g^{2}, g^{3}, \ldots, g^{q-1}\right\}$ )

## Alice

choose random $\mathbf{X}$ in $\{1, \ldots, q\}$

$$
h=g^{x}
$$

To decrypt (u,c):
compute $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{x}}$ and decrypt $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{k}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{c}$
$\operatorname{Enc}(\mathrm{m})=\left[\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{m}\right]$
compute $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{xy}}=\mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{y}}$

## The ElGamal system (a modern view)

G: finite cyclic group of order q
We construct a pub-key enc. system (Gen, Enc, Dec):

- Key generation Gen:
- choose random generator $g$ in $G$ and random $x$ in $Z_{q}$
- output $\mathrm{sk}=\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{pk}=(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}=\mathrm{g} \mathrm{x})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Enc }(\mathrm{pk}=(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{~h}), \mathrm{m}): \\
& \mathrm{y} \longleftarrow \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{u} \leftarrow \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{k} \leftarrow \mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{y}} \\
& \mathrm{c} \leftarrow \mathrm{k} \cdot \mathrm{~m} \\
& \quad \text { output }(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{c})
\end{aligned}
$$

$\operatorname{Dec}(\mathbf{s k}=\mathbf{x},(\mathbf{u}, \mathrm{c})):$
$\mathrm{k} \leftarrow \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{x}}$
$\mathrm{m} \longleftarrow \mathrm{k}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{c}$
output m

## ElGamal performance

Enc( $\mathrm{pk}=(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}), \mathrm{m})$ :
$\mathrm{y} \leftarrow \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{u} \leftarrow \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{v} \leftarrow \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{y}}$

## Dec( sk=x, $(u, c))$ : $\mathrm{k} \leftarrow \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{x}}$

Encryption: $2 \exp . \quad$ (fixed basis)

- Can pre-compute $\quad\left[g^{\left(2^{\wedge} i\right)}, h^{\left(2^{\wedge}\right)}\right.$ for $\left.i=1, \ldots, \log _{2} n\right]$
- $3 x$ speed-up (or more)

Decryption: 1 exp. (variable basis)

## Decisional Diffie-Hellman

Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a finite cyclic group and $\mathbf{g}$ generator of $\mathbf{G}$

$$
\mathrm{G}=\left\{1, \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~g}^{2}, \mathrm{~g}^{3}, \ldots, \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{q}-1}\right\}
$$

$q$ is the order of $G$
Definition: We say that DDH is hard in G if for all PPT adversaries D:

$$
\left|\operatorname{Pr}\left[D\left(g^{x}, g^{y}, g^{x y}\right)=1\right]-\operatorname{Pr}\left[D\left(g^{x}, g^{y}, g^{z}\right)=1\right]\right|
$$

< negligible
G, $q$ and $g$ are public and known to D
$x, y, z$ are chosen uniformly at random in $\{1, \ldots q-1\}$

## Security

Theorem: Let G be a cyclic group of order q. Assuming that the DDH problem is hard, then El-Gamal encryption is CPA secure.

In particular, for every PPT adversary A attacking the CPA security of El-Gamal:

$$
\left.\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]=1 / 2+\text { negligible( } \mathrm{n}\right)
$$

## Proof of security - Intuition

$\Pi \quad \operatorname{Enc}(\mathrm{pk}=(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}), \mathrm{m})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{y} \leftarrow \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{u} \leftarrow \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{y}} \\
& \mathrm{c} \leftarrow \mathrm{~h}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~m}\left(=\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{y}} \cdot \mathrm{~m}\right) \\
& \text { output }(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{c})
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Success of adversary to break $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ in CPA game is similar

Under the assumption that DDH is hard !

П' Enc' $^{\prime}(\mathrm{pk}=(\mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}), \mathrm{m})$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{y} \leftarrow \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{q}}, \mathrm{u} \leftarrow \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{z} \leftarrow \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{q}} \\
& \mathrm{c} \leftarrow \mathrm{~g}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { output }(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{c})
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Success of adversary to break $\Pi^{\prime}$ in CPA game is negligible

## Proof of security - step 1

1. Success of adversary to break $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ in CPA game is similar

Assume that DDH is hard.
For any PPT adversary A:

$$
\left|\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]-\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi \prime, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]\right| \leq \operatorname{negl}(\mathrm{n})
$$

- Let A be a PPT adversary in CPA game
- We build D a distinguisher for DDH
- D knows ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{g}$ ) and is given input ( $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{w}$ )
- World 1: w = gxy
- World 0: w = g ${ }^{2}$


## Proof of security - step 1

1. Success of adversary to break $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ in CPA game is similar

Assume that DDH is hard.
Then for any PPT adversary A:

$$
\left|\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]-\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi^{\prime}, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]\right| \leq \operatorname{negl}(\mathrm{n})
$$

- D runs A. A chooses two messages $m_{0}$ and $m_{1}$
- D picks a bit $b$ at random and send $c=w \cdot m_{b}$
- World 1: $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{g}^{x y} \cdot \mathrm{~m}$; D simulates exactly scheme $\Pi$
- World 0: c = g².m ; D simulates exactly scheme $\Pi^{\prime}$
- D outputs what A outputs


## Proof of security - step 1

1. Success of adversary to break $\Pi$ and $\Pi^{\prime}$ in CPA game is similar

Assume that DDH is hard.
Then for any PPT adversary A:

$$
\left|\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]-\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi^{\prime}, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]\right| \leq \operatorname{negl}(\mathrm{n})
$$

- D runs A.
- D outputs what A outputs
- $\left|\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]-\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi^{\prime}, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]\right|=$ $\operatorname{Pr}\left[D\left(g^{x}, g^{y}, g^{x y}\right)=1\right]-\operatorname{Pr}\left[D\left(g^{x}, g^{y}, g^{z}\right)=1\right] \mid$, which is negligible(n)


## Proof of security - step 2

## 2. Success of adversary to break $\Pi^{\prime}$ in CPA game is negligible

For any PPT adversary A:
Compute $\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi^{\prime}, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]$

- Let A be an adversary in CPA game for $\Pi^{\prime}$
- A chooses two messages $m_{0}$ and $m_{1}$
- A receives ( $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{g}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{b}}$ )
- First part is independent on message
- If z is random, then $\mathrm{g}^{2}$ is random in G - For any $v$ in $G, \operatorname{Pr}\left[g^{z} \cdot m_{b}=v\right]=\operatorname{Pr}\left[g^{z}=\left(m_{b}\right)^{-1} \cdot v\right]=1 / q$
$-g^{2} \cdot m_{b}$ does not reveal any information about $m_{b}$


## Conclusion

- For any PPT adversary A:
$\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right] \leq \mid \operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi, A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]$
$-\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi, \prime A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right] \mid+\operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Exp}_{\Pi, \prime A}^{\mathrm{CPA}}(n)=1\right]$
$=1 / 2+$ negligible( $n$ )
- El-Gamal encryption is CPA secure under DDH assumption


## Key insights

- Trapdoor permutations (e.g., RSA) are not a secure encryption method
- They are deterministic
- Secure public-key encryption can be constructed from trapdoor permutations
- ISO standard - CCA secure
- PKCS1 v1.5 (susceptible to padding oracles)
- OAEP - CCA secure
- Discrete log based schemes
- El Gamal encryption constructed from Diffie-Hellman
- CPA security based on hardness of DDH
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