DS 4400

Machine Learning and Data Mining I

Alina Oprea Associate Professor, CCIS Northeastern University

September 27 2018

Logistics

- HW1 due tomorrow, Friday, Oct 28, at 11:59pm
- Midterm exam has been scheduled
 - Oct 16 during class
- Project proposal: due Oct 22
 - 1 page description of problem you will solve, dataset, and ML algorithms
 - Individual project
 - Project template and potential ideas will be shared soon
- Project milestone: due Nov 13
 - 2 page description on progress
- Project report at the end of semester and project presentations in class (10 minute per project)

Review

- Classification is a supervised learning problem
 - Prediction is binary or multi-class
- Classification techniques
 - Linear classifiers (perceptron): compact, fast to evaluate
 - Can run in online or batch mode
 - Instance learners (kNN): need to store entire training data, fast to evaluate
- Cross-validation should be used for parameter selection and estimation of model error
 - Improves model generalization

Supervised learning

Problem Setting

- Set of possible instances \mathcal{X}
- Set of possible labels ${\mathcal Y}$
- Unknown target function $f: \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$
- Set of function hypotheses $H = \{h \mid h : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}\}$

Input: Training examples of unknown target function f $\{x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}\}$, for i = 1, ..., n

Output: Hypothesis $\hat{f} \in H$ that best approximates f

$$\hat{f}(x^{(i)}) \approx y^{(i)}$$

4

Classification



$$f(x^{(i)}) = y^{(i)}$$

Online Perceptron

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Let } \boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow [0, 0, \dots, 0] \\ \text{Repeat:} \\ \text{Receive training example } (\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \\ \text{if } \boldsymbol{y}^{(i)} \boldsymbol{\theta}^T \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \leq 0 \\ \boldsymbol{\theta} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta} + y^{(i)} \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \end{array} // \text{ prediction is incorrect}$

Online learning – the learning mode where the model update is performed each time a single observation is received

Batch learning – the learning mode where the model update is performed after observing the entire training set



$$h(x) = \operatorname{sign}(\theta^{\mathsf{T}} x)$$

 $\theta^{\mathsf{T}} x > 0 \implies y = +1$
 $\theta^{\mathsf{T}} x < 0 \implies y = -1$

Linear classifier

kNN



- Algorithm (to classify point x)
 - Find k nearest points to x (according to distance metric)
 - Perform majority voting to predict class of x
- Properties
 - Does not learn any model in training!
 - Instance learner (needs all data at testing time)

Outline

- Evaluation of classification algorithms
 - Metrics: accuracy, precision, recall
- Cross validation
 - K-fold CV or LOOCV
- Logistic regression
 - Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) of model parameters
- Gradient descent for logistic regression

– Cross-entropy loss

Evaluation of classifiers

Given: labeled training data $X, Y = \{ x^{(i)}, y^{(i)} \}_{i=1}^{n}$

• Assumes each $x^{(i)} \sim \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{X})$

Train the model: model ← classifier.train(X, Y)



Apply the model to new data:

Given: new unlabeled instance *x* ∼ D(X)
 y_{prediction} ← model.predict(x)

Classification Metrics

 $accuracy = \frac{\# \text{ correct predictions}}{\# \text{ test instances}}$

error = $1 - accuracy = \frac{\# \text{ incorrect predictions}}{\# \text{ test instances}}$

Confusion Matrix

• Given a dataset of P positive instances and N negative instances:



$$\operatorname{accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{P + N}$$

 Imagine using classifier to identify positive cases (i.e., for information retrieval)

$$\text{precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$$

Probability that classifier predicts positive correctly

Probability that actual class is predicted correctly

 $\text{recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$

Goals of classification

- Produce models with high accuracy / low error
- Generalize well
 - Avoid overfitting (perform well on training set, but poorly on testing data)
- Find the simplest model that produces reasonable accuracy
 - Occam's Razor
- Reduce both bias and variance!

Overfitting



13

How Overfitting Affects Prediction



Cross Validation

- Data: labeled instances, e.g. emails marked spam/ham
 - Training set
 - Test set
 - Randomly split training set into training and validation, e.g., 66% 33%
- Features: attribute-value pairs which characterize each x
- Experimentation cycle
 - Select a hypothesis *f* (Tune hyperparameters on held-out or *validation* set)
 - Estimate and reduce average error during multiple runs by randomly choosing validation set
 - Compute final error on testing set
- Evaluation
 - Accuracy: fraction of instances predicted correctly
 - Use other metrics as appropriate (precision, recall)
 - Improves model generalization
 - Avoids overfitting



Cross-validation for kNN

As K increases:

- Classification boundary becomes smoother
- Training error can increase

Choose (learn) K by cross-validation

- Split training data into training and validation
- · Hold out validation data and measure error on this



Cross Validation



• k-fold CV

- Split data into k partitions of equal size

- Leave-one-out CV (LOOCV)
 - k=n (validation set only one point)

Outline

- Evaluation of classification algorithms
 - Metrics: accuracy, precision, recall
- Cross validation
 - K-fold CV or LOOCV
- Logistic regression
 - Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) of model parameters
- Gradient descent for logistic regression
 - Cross-entropy loss

Classification based on Probability

- Instead of just predicting the class, give the probability of the instance being that class
 - i.e., learn $p(y \mid x)$
- Comparison to perceptron:
 - Perceptron doesn't produce probability estimate





Example



FIGURE 4.1. The Default data set. Left: The annual incomes and monthly credit card balances of a number of individuals. The individuals who defaulted on their credit card payments are shown in orange, and those who did not are shown in blue. Center: Boxplots of balance as a function of default status. Right: Boxplots of income as a function of default status.

Why not linear regression?



FIGURE 4.2. Classification using the Default data. Left: Estimated probability of default using linear regression. Some estimated probabilities are negative! The orange ticks indicate the 0/1 values coded for default(No or Yes). Right: Predicted probabilities of default using logistic regression. All probabilities lie between 0 and 1.

Logistic regression

- Takes a probabilistic approach to learning discriminative functions (i.e., a classifier)
- $h_{\theta}(x)$ should give $p(y = 1 \mid x; \theta)$ Can't just use linear - Want $0 \le h_{\theta}(x) \le 1$ regression with a threshold
- Logistic regression model:

$$h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}) = g\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{x}\right)$$
$$g(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$$
$$h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^{\mathsf{T}}\boldsymbol{x}}}$$



Interpretation of Model Output

 $h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \text{estimated } p(y = 1 \mid \boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$

Example: Cancer diagnosis from tumor size $\boldsymbol{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \text{tumorSize} \end{bmatrix}$ $h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0.7$

 \rightarrow Tell patient that 70% chance of tumor being malignant

Note that:
$$p(y = 0 | \boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) + p(y = 1 | \boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = 1$$

Therefore, $p(y = 0 | \boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) = 1 - p(y = 1 | \boldsymbol{x}; \boldsymbol{\theta})$

LR is a Linear Classifier!

• Predict
$$y = 1$$
 if:

$$P[y = 1|x; \theta] > P[y = 0|x; \theta]$$

$$P[y = 1|x; \theta] > \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{1 + e^{-\theta^T x}} > \frac{1}{2}$$

• Equivalent to:

•
$$e^{\theta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \theta_j x_j} > 1$$

•
$$\theta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \theta_j x_j > 0$$

Logistic Regression is a linear classifier!



- Assume a threshold and...
 - Predict y = 1 if $h_{\theta}(x) \ge 0.5$
 - Predict y = 0 if $h_{m{ heta}}(m{x}) < 0.5$



Logistic Regression is a linear classifier!

Logistic Regression

- Given $\left\{ \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}, y^{(1)} \right), \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}, y^{(2)} \right), \dots, \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(n)}, y^{(n)} \right) \right\}$ where $\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \ y^{(i)} \in \{0, 1\}$
- Model: $h_{\theta}(x) = g(\theta^{\mathsf{T}}x)$ $g(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$ $\begin{bmatrix} \theta_0 \\ \theta_1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\pi^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix}$

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \theta_d \end{bmatrix} \qquad \boldsymbol{x}^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_d \end{bmatrix}$$

Logistic Regression Objective

• Can't just use squared loss as in linear regression:

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \left(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)} \right) - y^{(i)} \right)^2$$

Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE)

Given training data
$$X = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)}\}$$
 with labels $Y = \{y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(n)}\}$

What is the likelihood of training data for parameter θ ?

Define likelihood function

$$Max_{\theta} L(\theta) = P[Y|X;\theta]$$

Assumption: training points are independent

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} P[y^{(i)}|x^{(i)};\theta]$$

Log Likelihood

 Max likelihood is equivalent to maximizing log of likelihood

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{\substack{i=1\\n}}^{n} P[y^{(i)}|x^{(i)},\theta]$$
$$\log L(\theta) = \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i=1}}^{n} \log P[y^{(i)}|x^{(i)},\theta]$$

• They both have the same maximum θ_{MLE}

MLE for Logistic Regression

$$p(y|x,\theta) = h_{\theta}(x)^{y} \left(1 - h_{\theta}(x)\right)^{1-y}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\text{MLE}} &= \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log p(y^{(i)} \mid \boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}; \boldsymbol{\theta}) \\ &= \arg \max_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y^{(i)} \log h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) + (1 - y^{(i)}) \log (1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x})) \end{aligned}$$

Substitute in model, and take negative to yield

Logistic regression objective:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y^{(i)} \log h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) + \left(1 - y^{(i)}\right) \log \left(1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})\right) \right]$$

Objective for Logistic Regression

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y^{(i)} \log h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) + \left(1 - y^{(i)}\right) \log \left(1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})\right) \right]$$

Cost of a single instance:

$$\cot(h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}), y) = \begin{cases} -\log(h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})) & \text{if } y = 1\\ -\log(1 - h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})) & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

Can re-write objective function as

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{cost} \left(h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}), y^{(i)} \right)$$

Cross-entropy loss

Intuition

$$\cot(h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}), y) = \begin{cases} -\log(h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})) & \text{if } y = 1\\ -\log(1 - h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})) & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$



Intuition

$$\operatorname{cost}(h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}), y) = \begin{cases} -\log(h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})) & \text{if } y = 1\\ -\log(1 - h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})) & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

If y = 1

• Cost = 0 if prediction is correct

• As
$$h_{oldsymbol{ heta}}(oldsymbol{x}) o 0, \mathrm{cost} o \infty$$

 Captures intuition that larger mistakes should get larger penalties

– e.g., predict
$$h_{oldsymbol{ heta}}(oldsymbol{x})=0$$
 , but y = 1



Intuition

$$\operatorname{cost}(h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x}), y) = \begin{cases} -\log(h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})) & \text{if } y = 1\\ -\log(1 - h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})) & \text{if } y = 0 \end{cases}$$

If y = 0

• Cost = 0 if prediction is correct

• As
$$(1 - h_{\theta}(\boldsymbol{x})) \rightarrow 0, \text{cost} \rightarrow$$

 Captures intuition that larger mistakes should get larger penalties



 ∞

Gradient Descent for Logistic Regression

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y^{(i)} \log h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) + \left(1 - y^{(i)}\right) \log \left(1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})\right) \right]$$

Want $\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} J(\boldsymbol{\theta})$

- Initialize heta
- Repeat until convergence

$$\theta_j \leftarrow \theta_j - \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} J(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

simultaneous update for $j = 0 \dots d$

Regularized Logistic Regression

$$J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[y^{(i)} \log h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)}) + \left(1 - y^{(i)}\right) \log \left(1 - h_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(i)})\right) \right]$$

• We can regularize logistic regression exactly as before:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{regularized}}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) &= J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{d} \theta_j^2 \\ &= J(\boldsymbol{\theta}) + \lambda \|\boldsymbol{\theta}_{[1:d]}\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

L2 regularization

Review

- Cross-validation should be used to avoid over-fitting
 K-fold or LOOCV
- Evaluating fit of a model using different metrics
 - Accuracy, precision, recall
- Logistic regression
 - Estimates Pr[Y = 1 | X = x] using sigmoid
 - Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) for objective
 - Can use gradient descent for training
 - Very interpretable

Acknowledgements

- Slides made using resources from:
 - Andrew Ng
 - Eric Eaton
 - David Sontag
- Thanks!