# DS 4400

#### Machine Learning and Data Mining I

Alina Oprea Associate Professor, CCIS Northeastern University

November 13 2018

# Review

- To train neural networks, need to decide first on architecture
  - Number of layers, number of hidden units, connections between neurons, activation functions
- Randomly initialize parameters
- For each training example, use forward propagation to compute prediction
- Use backpropagation to propagate the error from last layer back into the network
- Stochastic Gradient Descent with mini-batch update is the default optimization method

# **Neural Network Architectures**

#### Feed-Forward Networks

 Neurons from each layer connect to neurons from next layer Deep Feed Forward (DFF)



Deep Convolutional Network (DCN)



- Includes convolution layer for feature reduction
- Learns hierarchical representations

#### **Recurrent Networks**

- Keep hidden state
- Have cycles in computational graph





### Feed-Forward Neural Network



## **Convolutional Nets**



# Mini-batch Gradient Descent

#### Initialization

- For all layers  $\ell$ 
  - Set  $W^{[\ell]}$ ,  $b^{[\ell]}$  at random
- Backpropagation
  - Fix learning rate  $\alpha$
  - For all layers  $\ell$  (starting backwards)
    - For all batches b of size B with training examples  $x^{(ib)}$ ,  $y^{(ib)}$

$$-W^{[\ell]} = W^{[\ell]} - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{B} \frac{\partial L(\hat{y}^{(ib)}, y^{(ib)})}{\partial W^{[\ell]}}$$
$$-b^{[\ell]} = b^{[\ell]} - \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{B} \frac{\partial L(\hat{y}^{(ib)}, y^{(ib)})}{\partial b^{[\ell]}}$$

# Training NN with Backpropagation

Given training set  $(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_N, y_N)$ Initialize all parameters  $W^{[\ell]}, b^{[\ell]}$  randomly, for all layers  $\ell$ Loop

Set  $\Delta_{ij}^{(l)} = 0 \quad \forall l, i, j$  (Used to accumulate gradient) For each training instance  $(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)})$ Set  $\mathbf{a}^{(1)} = \mathbf{x}_i$ Compute  $\{\mathbf{a}^{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{a}^{(L)}\}$  via forward propagation **EPOCH** Compute  $\delta^{(L)} = \mathbf{a}^{(L)} - y^{(i)}$ Compute errors  $\{\delta^{(L-1)}, \dots, \delta^{(2)}\}$ Compute gradients  $\Delta_{ij}^{(l)} = \Delta_{ij}^{(l)} + a_j^{(l)} \delta_i^{(l+1)}$ 

Update weights via gradient step

• 
$$W_{ij}^{[\ell]} = W_{ij}^{[\ell]} - \alpha \frac{\Delta_{ij}^{[\ell]}}{N}$$

• Similar for  $b_{ij}^{[\ell]}$ 

Until weights converge or maximum number of epochs is reached

# Outline

- Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)
  - One-to-one, one-to-many, many-to-one, many-tomany
  - Blog by Andrej Karpathy
    - http://karpathy.github.io/2015/05/21/rnneffectiveness/
- Unsupervised learning
- Dimensionality reduction
  - PCA

# **RNN** Architectures

#### **Recurrent Neural Networks: Process Sequences**



# **RNN** Architectures

#### **Recurrent Neural Networks: Process Sequences**



e.g. Sentiment Classification sequence of words -> sentiment

# **RNN** Architectures

#### **Recurrent Neural Networks: Process Sequences**



11

#### **Recurrent Neural Networks: Process Sequences**



### **Recurrent Neural Network**

We can process a sequence of vectors **x** by applying a **recurrence formula** at every time step:  $\begin{array}{c} h_t = f_W(h_{t-1}, x_t) \\ \text{new state} & \text{old state input vector at} \\ \text{some time step} \\ \text{some function} \\ \text{with parameters W} \end{array}$ 

> Notice: the same function and the same set of parameters are used at every time step.

### **RNN: Computational Graph**



# **RNN: Computational Graph**

Re-use the same weight matrix at every time-step



#### **One-to-Many**



### Many-to-Many



#### Many-to-One



# Example: Language Model

Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence: "hello"



# Example: Language Model

Example: Character-level Language Model

Example training sequence: "hello"

$$h_t = anh(W_{hh}h_{t-1}+W_{xh}x_t)$$



# Example: Language Model

Example: Character-level Language Model

Vocabulary: [h,e,l,o]

Example training sequence: "hello"



# **Training RNNs**



# **Training RNNs**

#### Truncated Backpropagation through time



Carry hidden states forward in time forever, but only backpropagate for some smaller number of steps

# Writing poetry

#### THE SONNETS

#### by William Shakespeare

From fairest creatures we desire increase, That thereby beauty's rose might never die, But as the riper should by time decease, His tender heir might bear his memory: But thou, contracted to thine own bright eyes, Feed'st thy light's flame with self-substantial fuel, Making a famine where abundance lies, Thyself thy foe, to thy sweet self too cruel: Thou that art now the world's fresh ornament, And only herald to the gaudy spring, Within thine own bud buriest thy content, And tender churl mak'st waste in niggarding: Pity the world, or else this glutton be, To eat the world's due, by the grave and thee.

When forty winters shall besiege thy brow, And dig deep trenches in thy beauty's field, Thy youth's proud livery so gazed on now, Will be a tatter'd weed of small worth held: Then being asked, where all thy beauty lies, Where all the treasure of thy lusty days; To say, within thine own deep sunken eyes, Were an all-eating shame, and thriftless praise. How much more praise deserv'd thy beauty's use, If thou couldst answer 'This fair child of mine Shall sum my count, and make my old excuse,' Proving his beauty by succession thine!

This were to be new made when thou art old, And see thy blood warm when thou feel'st it cold.



# Writing poetry

at first:

tyntd-iafhatawiaoihrdemot lytdws e ,tfti, astai f ogoh eoase rrranbyne 'nhthnee e plia tklrgd t o idoe ns,smtt h ne etie h,hregtrs nigtike,aoaenns lng

#### train more

"Tmont thithey" fomesscerliund Keushey. Thom here sheulke, anmerenith ol sivh I lalterthend Bleipile shuwy fil on aseterlome coaniogennc Phe lism thond hon at. MeiDimorotion in ther thize."

#### train more

Aftair fall unsuch that the hall for Prince Velzonski's that me of her hearly, and behs to so arwage fiving were to it beloge, pavu say falling misfort how, and Gogition is so overelical and ofter.

#### train more

"Why do what that day," replied Natasha, and wishing to himself the fact the princess, Princess Mary was easier, fed in had oftened him. Pierre aking his soul came to the packs and drove up his father-in-law women.

# Writing geometry proofs

#### The Stacks Project: open source algebraic geometry textbook

ωт	he Sta	cks Project						
home	about	tags explained	tag lookup	browse	search	bibliography	recent comments	s blog add slogans
Brows	e chapte	rs	Parts					
Part	minaries	Chapter ies 1. Introduction 2. Conventions 3. Set Theory 4. Categories 5. Topology 6. Sheaves on Spaces 7. Sites and Sheaves 8. Stacks			online	TeX source	view pdf	1. <u>Preliminaries</u> 2. <u>Schemes</u> 3. Topics in Scheme Theory
Trem	initia i i co				online online	texO pdf > texO pdf >	pdf ≽ pdf ≽	4. Algebraic Spaces 5. Topics in Geometry 6. Deformation Theory
					online	tex	pdf >	7. <u>Algebraic Stacks</u> 8. <u>Miscellany</u>
					online online		pdf >	Statistics
					online	tex O	<u>pdf</u> ≽	The Stacks project now consists of
	9. Fields		tative Algebra	ve Algebra		texO	pdf >	<ul> <li>14221 tags (56 inactive tags)</li> <li>2366 sections</li> </ul>



Latex source

http://stacks.math.columbia.edu/

The stacks project is licensed under the GNU Free Documentation License

# Writing geometry proofs

Proof. Omitted.

**Lemma 0.1.** Let C be a set of the construction.

Let C be a gerber covering. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaves of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules. We have to show that

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{O}_X} = \mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{L})$ 

*Proof.* This is an algebraic space with the composition of sheaves  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X_{\acute{e}tale}$  we have

 $\mathcal{O}_X(\mathcal{F}) = \{morph_1 \times_{\mathcal{O}_X} (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F})\}$ 

where  $\mathcal{G}$  defines an isomorphism  $\mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{O}$ -modules.

Lemma 0.2. This is an integer Z is injective.

Proof. See Spaces, Lemma ??.

**Lemma 0.3.** Let S be a scheme. Let X be a scheme and X is an affine open covering. Let  $U \subset X$  be a canonical and locally of finite type. Let X be a scheme. Let X be a scheme which is equal to the formal complex.

The following to the construction of the lemma follows.

Let X be a scheme. Let X be a scheme covering. Let

 $b: X \to Y' \to Y \to Y \to Y' \times_X Y \to X.$ 

be a morphism of algebraic spaces over S and Y.

*Proof.* Let X be a nonzero scheme of X. Let X be an algebraic space. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules. The following are equivalent

(1)  $\mathcal{F}$  is an algebraic space over S.

(2) If X is an affine open covering.

Consider a common structure on X and X the functor  $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$  which is locally of finite type.



*Proof.* We have see that X = Spec(R) and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite type representable by algebraic space. The property  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite morphism of algebraic stacks. Then the cohomology of X is an open neighbourhood of U.

Proof. This is clear that G is a finite presentation, see Lemmas ??. A reduced above we conclude that U is an open covering of C. The functor F is a "field

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\overline{x}} -1(\mathcal{O}_{X_{trafs}}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_s}^{-1}\mathcal{O}_{X_\lambda}(\mathcal{O}_{X_s}^{\overline{v}})$$

is an isomorphism of covering of  $\mathcal{O}_{X_i}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the unique element of  $\mathcal{F}$  such that X is an isomorphism.

The property  $\mathcal{F}$  is a disjoint union of Proposition ?? and we can filtered set of presentations of a scheme  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra with  $\mathcal{F}$  are opens of finite type over S. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a scheme theoretic image points.

If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a finite direct sum  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\lambda}}$  is a closed immersion, see Lemma ??. This is a sequence of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a similar morphism.

# Example RNN: LSTM



Capture long-term dependencies by using "memory cells"

# LSTM



# Summary RNNs

- RNNS maintain state and have flexible design
   One-to-many, many-to-one, many-to-many
- Applicable to sequential data
- LSTM is one example RNN architecture
- Better and simpler architectures are a topic of active research

# **Unsupervised Learning**

- Supervised learning used labeled data pairs  $({\bf x},\,{\bf y})$  to learn a function  $f\colon {\bf X}{\rightarrow}{\bf Y}$ 
  - But, what if we don't have labels?
- No labels = unsupervised learning
- Only some points are labeled = semi-supervised learning
  - Labels may be expensive to obtain, so we only get a few

# **Unsupervised Learning**

- Different learning tasks
- Dimensionality reduction
  - Project the data to lower dimensional space
  - Example: PCA (Principal Component Analysis)
- Feature learning
  - Find feature representations
  - Example: Autoencoders
- Clustering
  - Group similar data points into clusters
  - Example: k-means, hierarchical clustering

#### Supervised vs Unsupervised Learning

#### Supervised Learning

**Data**: (x, y) x is data, y is label

**Goal**: Learn a *function* to map x -> y

**Examples**: Classification, regression, object detection, semantic segmentation, image captioning, etc.

Standard metrics for evaluation

#### **Unsupervised Learning**

Data: x Just data, no labels!

**Goal**: Learn some underlying hidden *structure* of the data

**Examples**: Clustering, dimensionality reduction, feature learning, density estimation, etc.

Difficult to evaluate

# How Can we Visualize High-Dimensional Data?

		H-WBC	H-RBC	H-Hgb	H-Hct	H-MCV	H-MCH	H-MCHC
Instances	A1	8.0000	4.8200	14.1000	41.0000	85.0000	29.0000	34.0000
	A2	7.3000	5.0200	14.7000	43.0000	86.0000	29.0000	34.0000
	A3	4.3000	4.4800	14.1000	41.0000	91.0000	32.0000	35.0000
	A4	7.5000	4.4700	14.9000	45.0000	101.0000	33.0000	33.0000
	A5	7.3000	5.5200	15.4000	46.0000	84.0000	28.0000	33.0000
	A6	6.9000	4.8600	16.0000	47.0000	97.0000	33.0000	34.0000
	A7	7.8000	4.6800	14.7000	43.0000	92.0000	31.0000	34.0000
	A8	8.6000	4.8200	15.8000	42.0000	88.0000	33.0000	37.0000
	A9	5.1000	4.7100	14.0000	43.0000	92.0000	30.0000	32.0000

#### Features

Difficult to see the correlations between the features...

# **Data Visualization**

- Is there a representation better than the raw features?
  - Is it really necessary to show all the 53 dimensions?
  - ... what if there are strong correlations between the features?

Could we find the *smallest* subspace of the 53-D space that keeps the *most information* about the original data?

One solution: Principal Component Analysis

# **Principal Component Analysis**



Orthogonal projection of data onto lower-dimension linear space that...

- maximizes variance of projected data (purple line)
- minimizes mean squared distance between data point and projections (sum of blue lines)

# The Principal Components

- Vectors originating from the center of mass
- Principal component #1 points in the direction of the largest variance
- Each subsequent principal component...
  - is **orthogonal** to the previous ones, and
  - points in the directions of the largest variance of the residual subspace

#### 2D Gaussian Data



### 1st PCA Axis



# 2<sup>nd</sup> PCA Axis



### PCA Example



# Eigenvectors

- Let A be a matrix and consider  $Ax = \lambda x$
- Terminology
  - Eigenvalue of matrix:  $\lambda$
  - Eigenvector: x for which  $Ax = \lambda x$
- Connection to PCA
  - First principal component is largest eigenvector of covariance matrix  $\Sigma = X^T X$
  - Second principal component is orthogonal to first and second eigenvector of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$

# **Dimensionality Reduction**

Can ignore the components of lesser significance



- You do lose some information, but if the eigenvalues are small, you don't lose much
  - choose only the first k eigenvectors, based on their eigenvalues
  - final data set has only k dimensions

# PCA Algorithm

- Given data  $\{\mathbf{x}_1, ..., \mathbf{x}_n\}$ , compute covariance matrix  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ 
  - X is the n x d data matrix
  - Compute data mean (average over all rows of X)
  - Subtract mean from each row of  ${\rm X}$  (centering the data)
  - Compute covariance matrix  $\Sigma = X^T X$  ( $\Sigma$  is  $d \ge d$ )
- PCA basis vectors are given by the eigenvectors of  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ 
  - $\bullet \ Q, \Lambda = \mathsf{numpy.linalg.eig}(\Sigma)$
  - $\{\mathbf{q}_i, \lambda_i\}_{i=1..n}$  are the eigenvectors/eigenvalues of  $\Sigma$ ...  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge ... \ge \lambda_n$
- Larger eigenvalue ⇒ more important eigenvectors

#### PCA

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$
  
X has d columns  
$$\bigcup$$
  
Q is the eigenvectors of  $\Sigma$ ;  
columns are ordered by importance! Q is  $d \ge d$   
Q is  $d \ge d$   
$$\bigcup$$
  
Q is  $d \ge d \ge d$   
$$\bigcup$$
  
Q is  $d \ge d$   
$$\bigcup$$
  
Q is  $d \ge d$   
$$\bigcup$$
  
D is  $d \ge d$   
$$\bigcup$$
  

### PCA

• Each column of Q gives weights for a linear combination of the original features



= 0.34 feature1 + 0.04 feature2 – 0.64 feature3 + ...

#### PCA

• We can apply these formulas to get the new representation for each instance  ${\bf x}$ 



• The new 2D representation for  $\mathbf{x}_3$  is given by:

 $\hat{x}_{31} = 0.34(0) + 0.04(0) - 0.64(1) + \dots$  $\hat{x}_{32} = 0.23(0) + 0.13(0) + 0.93(1) + \dots$ 

- The re-projected data matrix is given by  $\hat{X}=X\hat{Q}$ 

# Visualizing data



# Summary: PCA

- PCA creates a lower-dimensional feature representation
  - Linear transformation
- Can be used for visualization
- Can be used with supervised on unsupervised learning
  - Very common to use classification after PCA transformation
- Main drawback
  - No interpretability of resulting features

# **Unsupervised Learning**

- Different learning tasks
- Dimensionality reduction
  - Project the data to lower dimensional space
  - Example: PCA (Principal Component Analysis)
- Feature learning
  - Find feature representations
  - Example: Autoencoders
- Clustering
  - Group similar data points into clusters
  - Example: k-means, hierarchical clustering

Unsupervised approach for learning a lowerdimensional feature representation from unlabeled training data





Unsupervised approach for learning a lower-dimensional feature representation from unlabeled training data



Unsupervised approach for learning a lower-dimensional feature representation from unlabeled training data



How to learn this feature representation?

Train such that features can be used to reconstruct original data "Autoencoding" - encoding itself





.





# **Training Autoencoders**

#### Doesn't use labels!



## Decoders

- Decoders are only useful in training
- Reconstruct original data



# **Using Features for Classification**



# Summary Autoencoders

- Autoencoders can be used to learn new features
- Applicable to sparse data
- Minimize reconstruction error by using encoder and decoder

- Unsupervised (no labels on data)

 Encoder can be used as standalone with classification model

# Acknowledgements

- Slides made using resources from:
  - Yann LeCun
  - Andrew Ng
  - Eric Eaton
  - David Sontag
  - Andrew Moore
  - Fei-Fei Li
  - Andrej Karpathy
- Thanks!