## CS1800

Day 21

## Admin:

- exam2, hw6 \& hw7 results: week we get back

Content:

- function growth
- big-o, big-theta, big-omega notation


## In Class Activity

Which gift will produce more value in one's lifetime?

- a magic penny which doubles it value every 3 years
- \$10 a day

1. write first impressions (before computing) what do you think?
2. explicitly label your assumptions
3. compute \& explain

## In Class Activity:

Which gift will produce more value over an infinite amount of time?

- a magic penny which doubles its value every 100000 years
- \$100000000000000 a second

1. write first impressions (before computing) what do you think?
2. explicitly label your assumptions
3. explain (maybe don't compute ...)

## Punchline: some functions grow faster than others

"doubling" (exponential) is eventually larger than "constant" (linear) growth

- no matter how small initial value of doubling is
- no matter how large initial value of linear growth is
- no matter how often the doubling occurs
- no matter how steep the linear growth occurs


Why do we care that some functions grow faster than others?
Suppose we have two algorithms (i.e. computer programs) which accomplish the same task on an input of size $n$.

## Algorithm 1 takes $2^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}$ computations (exponential)

Algorithm 2 takes $99999999+99999999 n$ computations (linear)

 will take fewer computations
(intuition from previous example: exponential functions grows faster than linear)

## Objective:

Create a taxonomy of functions which allows us to organize them based on how quickly they grow.

Taxonomy (organization) of life:


Big-O Notation (First Intuition): Big-O notation is kind of like "less than"


Big-O Notation (Intution): $f(n)=O(g(n))$ means $g(n)$ grows faster than $f(n)$


Big-O Notation (Intution): $f(n)=O(g(n))$ means $g(n)$ grows faster than $f(n)$


$$
f(n)=O(g(n))
$$

Means
There Exists values no and $C$ withal

$$
n_{0} \leq n \rightarrow 0 \leq f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)
$$

Big-O Notation: Showing that one function is big-O (bounded above) by another
How do we show $f(n)=O(g(n))$ ? Choose $n \_0$ and $c$ to satisfy the definition
Example: Show that $5 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{\wedge} 2\right)$


$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=5 \\
& n_{0}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
5 n-0\left(n^{2}\right)
$$

Means
There Exists values
no and $C$ withal

$$
n_{0} \leq n \rightarrow 0 \leq 5 n \leq 5 n^{2}
$$

## Proving Big-O notation: FAQ

Aren't there many choices for $n \_0$ and $c$ ?
There are!
So why do you choose these particular ones?
Remember, our purpose in writing a proof is to be compelling. For this reason, choose the $\mathrm{n} \_0$ and c which are as simple as possible.

How will I know if my values are the simplest? Will credit be taken if I don't get the absolute simplest values?

There are many n_0, c pairs which are equally compelling. Avoid blindly choosing really large values (even if they "work" they're hard to understand)

In Class Activity: Proving Big-O relations
Prove each true statement below. If a statement is false, give a justification of why it is false (sketching a graph is often a good idea here).


$$
\begin{aligned}
& c=1 \\
& n_{0}=0 \\
& 0 \leqslant n \rightarrow 0 \leq x^{2} \leq x^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

A final inturtion of $B_{10-O}$
$f(n)=O(g(n))$ MEANS $g(n)$ Gnows at Least as Quicuyas $f(n)$
" $f(n) \leq g(n)$ "

Critiquing the Big-O definition: Why do we only care about large $n$ ?


$$
f(n)=O(g(n))
$$

Means
There exists values no and $C$ withal

$$
n_{0} \leq n \rightarrow 0 \leq f(n) \leq \operatorname{cog}(n)
$$

In our context ( $\mathrm{n}=$ input size, $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{n}$ ) = compute time) we don't care about small n , they're easily computed anyways!

Critiquing the Big-O definition: why allow a multiplicative constant c ?

From in class Activity

$$
20_{x}=O(x) \text { and } x=0(20 x)
$$

$x$ at least $\partial O_{x}$ at least
As Fast as $\partial 0 x$ As Fast as $x$
SO $x$ AND $20 x$ Grow Equally Purely

Inclusion of c allows a notion of functions which grow equally quickly.

Useful insight 1:
Ignore constant multipliers in a function when considering Big-O

Motivation:
Simplifies how we define function growth (there are many functions in the same "growth bucket", all grow equally quickly)

Function Growth Buckets:


## Function Growth: Why do we care again? (taken from Fell / Aslam's "Discrete Structures")

|  | $n$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 10 | 50 | 100 | 1,000 |
| $\lg n$ | 0.0003 sec | 0.0006 sec | 0.0007 sec | 0.0010 sec |
| $n^{1 / 2}$ | 0.0003 sec | 0.0007 sec | 0.0010 sec | 0.0032 sec |
| $n$ | 0.0010 sec | 0.0050 sec | 0.0100 sec | 0.1000 sec |
| $n \lg n$ | 0.0033 sec | 0.0282 sec | 0.0664 sec | 0.9966 sec |
| $n^{2}$ | 0.0100 sec | 0.2500 sec | 1.0000 sec | 100.00 sec |
| $n^{3}$ | 0.1000 sec | 12.500 sec | 100.00 sec | 1.1574 day |
| $n^{4}$ | 1.0000 sec | 10.427 min | 2.7778 hrs | 3.1710 yrs |
| $n^{6}$ | 1.6667 min | 18.102 day | 3.1710 yrs | 3171.0 cen |
| $2^{n}$ | 0.1024 sec | 35.702 cen | $4 \times 10^{16} \mathrm{cen}$ | $1 \times 10^{166} \mathrm{cen}$ |
| $n!$ | 362.88 sec | $1 \times 10^{51}$ cen | $3 \times 10^{144} \mathrm{cen}$ | $1 \times 10^{2554} \mathrm{cen}$ |

Table 14.1: Time required to process $n$ items at a speed of 10,000 operations/sec using ten different algorithms. Note: The units above are seconds (sec), minutes (min), hours (hrs), days (day), years (yrs), and centuries (cen)!

Useful insight 2: When assessing functions growth, slower growing terms don't impact Big-O


If a GRows Faster than $b$ THEN $a+b$ GRows $a$ S Qucervy As $a$

$$
=
$$

if $\quad b(n)=0(a(n))$
THEN $\quad a(n)+b(n)=O(a(n))$

Quickly Assessing (but not proving) Function Growth:
$1|\operatorname{LOCN}| N|N L \operatorname{Los}| N^{D}\left|N^{3}\right| N^{4}|\cdots| \partial^{N}\left|3^{N}\right| \cdots \mid N!$
Insight1: Ignore constant multipliers
Insight2: discard slower growing terms

$$
x+\log 10^{2}+145+\pi k^{3}+.006 T \cdot 2^{2}=o\left(2^{\circ}\right)
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(n)=2 n+3 p_{n}^{2}=O\left(n^{2}\right) \\
& f_{3}(n)=1,34+N 206 n+x^{4}+y^{4}+\overline{3}+y^{106}+1.01^{n} \\
& =O\left(1.0^{\circ}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Bis 0

$$
f(n)=O(g(n))
$$

There Exists values no AND $C$ WITH

$$
n_{0} \leq n \rightarrow 0 \leq f(n) \leq \operatorname{cog}(n)
$$

$g(n)$ is viper Bound on $f(n)$

Big Omega

$$
f(n)=\Omega(g(n))
$$

there Exists vales no ANO C NTH

$$
n_{0} \leq n \rightarrow 0 \leq \operatorname{cog}(n) \leq f(n)
$$

$g(n)$ is Lower Bound on $f(n)$

Bib Theta

$$
f(n)=\theta(g(n))
$$

Thence Easts $C_{1} C_{a}$ no with


$$
n_{0} \leq n \rightarrow 0 \leq c_{1} g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_{2} g(n)
$$

Big-O and Bio omega $=B_{i g}$ thEta

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f(n)=O(g(n)) \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { AND } \\
f(n)=\Omega(g(n))
\end{array} \longleftrightarrow f(n)=\theta(g(n))
\end{aligned}
$$

