Data Mining Techniques

CS 6220 - Section 3 - Fall 2016

Lecture 10

Jan-Willem van de Meent (*credit*: Yijun Zhao, Chris Bishop, Andrew Moore, Hastie et al.)



Evaluation of Clustering

Clusters in Random Data



Clustering Criteria

• External Quality Criteria

- Precision-Recall Measure
- Mutual Information

- Internal Quality Criteria
 Measure compactness of clusters
 - Sum of Squared Error (SSE)
 - Scatter Criteria

 $I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} p(a,b) \log \frac{p(a,b)}{p(a)p(b)}$

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} p(a,b) \log \frac{p(a,b)}{p(a)p(b)}$$

Uncorrelated Variables

p(a,b) = p(a)p(b)

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} p(a,b) \log \frac{p(a,b)}{p(a)p(b)}$$

Uncorrelated Variables

p(a,b) = p(a)p(b)

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} p(a)p(b)\log \frac{p(a)p(b)}{p(a)p(b)} = 0$$

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} p(a,b) \log \frac{p(a,b)}{p(a)p(b)}$$

$$p(A = a, B = b) = \delta(a, b)p(B = b)$$

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} p(a,b) \log \frac{p(a,b)}{p(a)p(b)}$$

Perfectly Correlated Variables

$$p(A = a, B = b) = \delta(a, b)p(B = b)$$

 $I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, B \in B} p(A = a, B = b) \log \frac{p(A = a, B = b)}{p(A = a)p(B = b)}$

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} p(a,b) \log \frac{p(a,b)}{p(a)p(b)}$$

$$p(A = a, B = b) = \delta(a, b)p(B = b)$$

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{b \in B} p(B=b) \log \frac{p(B=b)}{p(A=b)p(B=b)}$$

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} p(a,b) \log \frac{p(a,b)}{p(a)p(b)}$$

$$P(A=k) = \sum_{l \in B} \delta(k,l)p(B=l) = p(B=k)$$

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{b \in B} p(B=b) \log \frac{p(B=b)}{p(A=b)p(B=b)}$$

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} p(a,b) \log \frac{p(a,b)}{p(a)p(b)}$$

$$P(A=k) = \sum_{l \in B} \delta(k,l)p(B=l) = p(B=k)$$

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{b \in B} p(B=b) \log \frac{p(B=b)}{p(B=b)p(B=b)}$$

$$I(A;B) = \sum_{a \in A, b \in B} p(a,b) \log \frac{p(a,b)}{p(a)p(b)}$$

$$P(A=k) = \sum_{l \in B} \delta(k,l)p(B=l) = p(B=k)$$

$$I(A;B) = -\sum_{b \in B} p(b) \log p(b) = H(B)$$

 $I(Y;Z) = \sum_{y,z} p(y,z) \log \frac{p(y,z)}{p(y)p(z)}$

y_n: True class label for example *n z_n*: Clustering label for example *n*

$$I(Y;Z) = \sum_{y,z} p(y,z) \log \frac{p(y,z)}{p(y)p(z)}$$

y_n: True class label for example *n z_n*: Clustering label for example *n*

$$p(Y = k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} I(y_n = k)$$
 $p(Z = l) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} I(z_n = l)$

$$p(Y = k, Z = l) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} I(y_n = k \land z_n = l)$$

 $I(Y;Z) = \sum_{y,z} p(y,z) \log \frac{p(y,z)}{p(y)p(z)}$

p(y,z)	1	2	3	<i>p</i> (<i>y</i>)
cat	0.39	0.08	0.02	0.49
dog	0.06	0.31	0.01	0.38
parrot	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.13
p(z)	0.46	0.40	0.14	





What happens to I(Y;Z) if we swap cluster labels?

 $I(Y;Z) = \sum_{y,z} p(y,z) \log \frac{p(y,z)}{p(y)p(z)}$

p(y,z)	1	2	3	<i>p</i> (<i>y</i>)
cat	0.08	0.39	0.02	0.49
dog	0.31	0.06	0.01	0.38
parrot	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.13
p(z)	0.40	0.46	0.14	

What happens to I(Y;Z) if we swap cluster labels?

 $I(Y;Z) = \sum_{y,z} p(y,z) \log \frac{p(y,z)}{p(y)p(z)}$

p(y,z)	1	2	3	p(y)
cat	0.08	0.39	0.02	0.49
dog	0.31	0.06	0.01	0.38
parrot	0.01	0.01	0.11	0.13
p(z)	0.40	0.46	0.14	

Mutual Information is *invariant* under label permutations

Scatter Criteria (Internal)

Let

Define

- $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)^T$ $C_1, \dots, C_K \text{ be a clustering of } \{\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N\}$
- Size of each cluster:

$$N_i = |C_i| \qquad i = 1, 2, \ldots, K$$

• Mean for each cluster:

$$\mu_i = \frac{1}{N_i} \sum_{x \in C_i} \mathbf{x} \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, K$$

• Total mean : $\mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbf{x}_{i} \quad \text{OR} \quad \mu = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{K} N_{i} \mu_{i}$

Scatter Criteria (Internal)

• Scatter matrix for the *i*th cluster:

$$S_i = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in C_i} (\mathbf{x} - \mu_i) (\mathbf{x} - \mu_i)^T$$
 (outer product)

- Within cluster scatter matrix : $S_W = \sum_{i=1}^{K} S_i$
- Between cluster scatter matrix : $S_B = \sum_{i=1}^{K} N_i (\mu_i - \mu) (\mu_i - \mu)^T$ (outer product)

Scatter Criteria (Internal)

- The trace criteria: sum of the diagonal elements of a matrix
- A good partition of the data should have:
 Low tr(S_W): similar to minimizing SSE
 - High $tr(S_B)$

• High
$$\frac{tr(S_B)}{tr(S_W)}$$

Mixture Models

Classify using posterior

$$y^* = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(y = k | x, \theta)$$

Generative Model

$$y_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_n | y_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$

$$(for n = 1, ..., N)$$



Classify using posterior

$$y^* = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(y = k | x, \theta)$$

Generative Model

$$y_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_n | y_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$



Joint Probability $\theta := \{\mu, \Sigma, \pi\}$ $X := (x_1^\top, \dots, x_N^\top)$ $y := (y_1, \dots, y_N)$

 $p(X, y | \theta) = p(X | y, \theta)p(y | \theta)$

Classify using posterior

$$y^* = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(y = k | x, \theta)$$

Generative Model

$$y_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_n | y_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$



Joint Probability $\theta := \{\mu, \Sigma, \pi\}$ $X := (x_1^\top, \dots, x_N^\top)$ $y := (y_1, \dots, y_N)$

 $p(X, y | \theta) = p(X | y, \theta)p(y | \theta)$ $= p(X | y, \mu, \Sigma)p(y | \pi)$

Classify using posterior / joint

$$y^* = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(y = k, x | \theta)$$



Generative Model

$$y_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_n | y_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$

Joint Probability

$$\theta := \{\mu, \Sigma, \pi\}$$

$$X := (x_1^{+}, \dots, x_N^{+})$$

 $y := (y_1, \dots, y_N)$

 $p(X, y | \theta) = p(X | y, \theta)p(y | \theta)$ $= p(X | y, \mu, \Sigma)p(y | \pi)$

Classify using posterior / joint

$$y^* = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(y = k, x \mid \theta^*)$$



Generative Model

$$y_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_n | y_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$

Use maximum likelihood params

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} p(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$p(X, y | \theta) = p(X | y, \theta)p(y | \theta)$$
$$= p(X | y, \mu, \Sigma)p(y | \pi)$$

Classify using posterior / joint

$$y^* = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(y = k, x \mid \theta^*)$$

Generative Model

$$y_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_n | y_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$

Maximum Likelihood Parameters

$$\mu_{k}^{*} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[y_{n} = k] x_{n}$$

$$\Sigma_{k}^{*} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[y_{n} = k] |x_{n} - \mu_{k}|^{2}$$

$$\pi^{*} = (N_{1}/N, \dots, N_{K}/N)$$

$$N_{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[y_{n} = k]$$

Maximum posterior clustering

$$z_n = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(z_n = k \,|\, \boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Generative Model

$$z_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$
$$\mathbf{x}_n | z_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$



Maximum posterior clustering

$$z_n = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(z_n = k \,|\, \boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$



Generative Model

$$z_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_n | z_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$

Maximum Likelihood Parameters

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[\boldsymbol{z}_{n} = k] \boldsymbol{x}_{n}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[\boldsymbol{z}_{n} = k] |\boldsymbol{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}|^{2}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = (N_{1}/N, \dots, N_{K}/N)$$
$$N_{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[\boldsymbol{z}_{n} = k]$$



Algorithm

Initialize parameters to θ^0 Repeat until convergence 1. Update cluster assignments $z^i = \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(X, z \mid \theta^{i-1})$ 2. Update parameters $\theta^i = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(X, z^i \mid \theta)$



Algorithm

Initialize parameters to θ^0 Repeat until convergence 1. Update cluster assignments $z^i = \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(X, z \mid \theta^{i-1})$ 2. Update parameters $\theta^i = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(X, z^i \mid \theta)$

How does this algorithm relate to K-means?



Algorithm

Initialize parameters to θ^0 Repeat until convergence 1. Update cluster assignments $z^i = \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(X, z \mid \theta^{i-1})$ 2. Update parameters $\theta^i = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(X, z^i \mid \theta)$

How can we deal with overlapping clusters in a better way?



Algorithm

Initialize parameters to θ^0 Repeat until convergence 1. Update cluster assignments $z^i = \underset{z}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(X, z \mid \theta^{i-1})$ 2. Update parameters $\theta^i = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(X, z^i \mid \theta)$

How can we deal with overlapping clusters in a better way? *Idea*: Perform *soft* clustering using weighted assignments

Maximum posterior clustering

$$z_n = \underset{k}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(z_n = k | \boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$



Generative Model

$$z_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$
$$\mathbf{x}_n | z_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$

Maximum Likelihood Parameters

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[\boldsymbol{z}_{n} = k] \boldsymbol{y}_{n}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[\boldsymbol{z}_{n} = k] |\boldsymbol{y}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}|^{2}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = (N_{1}/N, \dots, N_{K}/N)$$
$$N_{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} I[\boldsymbol{z}_{n} = k]$$
Gaussian Soft Clustering

Posterior weights

$$\gamma_{nk} := p(z_n = k \,|\, \boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Generative Model

$$z_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$
$$\mathbf{x}_n | z_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$

Parameter Estimates



$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk} (\boldsymbol{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}) (\boldsymbol{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k})^{\top}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = (N_{1}/N, \dots, N_{K}/N)$$
$$N_{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk}$$

Gaussian Soft Clustering

Posterior weights

$$\gamma_{nk} := p(z_n = k \,|\, \boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Generative Model

$$z_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$
$$\mathbf{x}_n | z_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$$

Parameter Estimates

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{k} = \frac{1}{N_{k}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk} (\boldsymbol{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k}) (\boldsymbol{x}_{n} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{k})^{\top}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\pi} = (N_{1}/N, \dots, N_{K}/N)$$
$$N_{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \gamma_{nk}$$



Gaussian Mixture Model

Generative Model

$$z_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$

 $x_n | z_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_k, \Sigma_k)$



Expectation Maximization (*sketch*)

Initialize **0**

Repeat until convergence

- 1. Expectation Step
 - "calculate **y** from **0**"
- 2. Maximization Step

"calculate *θ* from *y*"















Expectation Maximization

Supervised (e.g. QDA)

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} p(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Unsupervised (e.g. GMM)
$$\theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{z} p(X, z | \theta)$$

Supervised (e.g. QDA)

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \log p(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Unsupervised (e.g. GMM)
$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{z}} p(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Supervised (e.g. QDA)

$$\theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log p(X, y | \theta)$$

 $= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p(x_n, y_n | \theta)$

Unsupervised (e.g. GMM)

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{z}} p(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$
$$= \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{z}_n} p(\boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{z}_n \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Supervised (e.g. QDA) $\theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log p(X, y | \theta)$ $= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p(x_n, y_n | \theta)$

Solve for zero gradient to find maximum

Unsupervised (e.g. GMM)

$$\theta^* = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \log \sum_{z} p(X, z \mid \theta)$$
$$= \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \sum_{z_n} p(x_n, z_n \mid \theta)$$

Supervised (e.g. QDA) $\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \operatorname{argmax} \log p(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{y} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$ θ $= \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \log p(\boldsymbol{x}_n, \boldsymbol{y}_n | \boldsymbol{\theta})$ Solve for zero gradient to find maximum Unsupervised (e.g. GMM) $\boldsymbol{\theta}^* = \operatorname{argmax} \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{z}} p(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$ θ $= \underset{\boldsymbol{\rho}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \left[\sum_{n=1}^{N} \log \sum_{z_n} p(\boldsymbol{x}_n, z_n | \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right]$ Not so easy here,

because of sum inside logarithm

$$\log p(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{z}} q(\boldsymbol{z}) \log p(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

(multiplication by 1)

$$\log p(X | \theta) = \sum_{z} q(z) \log p(X | \theta) \qquad (\text{multiplication by 1})$$
$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[p(X | \theta) \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right] \qquad (\text{multiplication by 1})$$

$$\log p(X \mid \theta) = \sum_{z} q(z) \log p(X \mid \theta) \qquad (\text{multiplication by 1})$$
$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[p(X \mid \theta) \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right] \qquad (\text{multiplication by 1})$$
$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{p(X, z \mid \theta)}{p(z \mid X, \theta)} \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right] \qquad (\text{Bayes rule})$$

$$\log p(X \mid \theta) = \sum_{z} q(z) \log p(X \mid \theta) \qquad (\text{multiplication by 1})$$
$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[p(X \mid \theta) \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right] \qquad (\text{multiplication by 1})$$
$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{p(X, z \mid \theta)}{p(z \mid X, \theta)} \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right] \qquad (\text{Bayes rule})$$
$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{p(X, z \mid \theta)}{q(z)} \right] + \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{q(z)}{p(z \mid X, \theta)} \right]$$

$$\log p(X \mid \theta) = \sum_{z} q(z) \log p(X \mid \theta)$$

$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[p(X \mid \theta) \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{p(X, z \mid \theta)}{p(z \mid X, \theta)} \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{p(X, z \mid \theta)}{q(z)} \right] + \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{q(z)}{p(z \mid X, \theta)} \right]$$
Lower bound: $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta)$
KL divergence: $KL(q \mid p)$

$$\log p(X | \theta) = \sum_{z} q(z) \log p(X | \theta)$$

=
$$\sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[p(X | \theta) \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right]$$

=
$$\sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{p(X, z | \theta)}{p(z | X, \theta)} \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right]$$

=
$$\sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{p(X, z | \theta)}{q(z)} \right] + \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{q(z)}{p(z | X, \theta)} \right]$$

Lower bound: $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta)$
KL divergence: $KL(q || p)$

Claim: $\mathscr{L}(q, \theta) \leq \log p(X | \theta)$

Intermezzo: KL Divergence

KL Divergence

$$KL(q || p) = \sum_{x} q(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}$$

Properties

- KL(q $|| p) \ge 0$
- If KL(q || p) = 0, then q = p
- $KL(q || p) \neq KL(p || q)$

Intermezzo: Information Theory

KL Divergence

$$KL(q || p) = \sum_{x} q(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}$$

Properties

- $KL(q || p) \ge 0$
- If KL(q || p) = 0, then q = p
- KL(q || p) \neq KL(p || q)

Proof

$$D(p||q) = -\sum_{x \in A} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)}$$
$$= \sum_{x \in A} p(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}$$
$$\leq \log \sum_{x \in A} p(x) \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}$$
$$= \log \sum_{x \in A} q(x)$$
$$\leq \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} q(x)$$
$$= \log 1$$

Intermezzo: Information Theory

KL Divergence

$$KL(q || p) = \sum_{x} q(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}$$

Entropy

$$H(X) = -\sum_{x} p(x) \log p(x)$$

Mutual Information

$$I(X;Y) = \sum_{x,y} p(x,y) \log \frac{p(x,y)}{p(x)p(y)}$$

I(X;Y) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)I(X;Y) = KL(p(x,y)||p(x)p(y))

$$\log p(X | \theta) = \sum_{z} q(z) \log p(X | \theta)$$

$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[p(X | \theta) \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{p(X, z | \theta)}{p(z | X, \theta)} \frac{q(z)}{q(z)} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{p(X, z | \theta)}{q(z)} \right] + \sum_{z} q(z) \log \left[\frac{q(z)}{p(z | X, \theta)} \right]$$
Lower bound: $\mathcal{L}(q, \theta)$
KL divergence: $KL(q||p)$

Claim: $\mathscr{L}(q, \theta) \leq \log p(X | \theta)$



1. Lower bound is sum over log, not log of sum

$$\mathscr{L}(q(\boldsymbol{z}),\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{z}} q(\boldsymbol{z}) \log \frac{p(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\boldsymbol{z})} \leq \log \sum_{\boldsymbol{z}} p(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$



1. Lower bound is sum over log, not log of sum

$$\mathscr{L}(q(\boldsymbol{z}),\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{K} q(z_n = k) \log \frac{p(\boldsymbol{x}_n, z_n = k \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(z_n = k)}$$



2. Bound is tight when $q(z) = p(z | X, \theta)$

 $\log p(X \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathscr{L}(q(\boldsymbol{z}), \boldsymbol{\theta}) + KL(q(\boldsymbol{z}) \mid \mid p(\boldsymbol{z} \mid X, \boldsymbol{\theta}))$



E-step: maximize with respect to q(z)

$$q^{i}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \operatorname{argmax} \mathcal{L}(q(\boldsymbol{z}), \boldsymbol{\theta}^{i-1}) = p(\boldsymbol{z} | \boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^{i-1})$$

$$q(\boldsymbol{z})$$



M-step: maximize with respect to θ

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{i} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathscr{L}(q^{i}(\boldsymbol{z}), \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Gaussian Mixture Model

Generative Model

$$z_n \sim \text{Discrete}(\pi)$$

 $\mathbf{x}_n | z_n = k \sim \mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k)$



Expectation Maximization

Initialize **0**

Repeat until convergence

Expectation Step

 qⁱ(z) = argmax L(q(z), θⁱ⁻¹)
 q(z)

 Maximization Step

 Qi
 Qi
 Qi
 Qi
 Qi
 Qi
 Qi
 Qi

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\iota} = \operatorname*{argmax}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathscr{L}(q^{\iota}(\boldsymbol{z}), \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

$$\mathscr{L}(q(\boldsymbol{z}),\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{z}} q(\boldsymbol{z}) \log \frac{p(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\boldsymbol{z})}$$

GMM Advantages / Disadvantages



- + Works with overlapping clusters
- + Works with clusters of different densities
- + Same complexity as K-means
- Can get stuck in local maximum
- Need to set number of components

GMM Advantages / Disadvantages



- + Works with overlapping clusters
- + Works with clusters of different densities
- + Same complexity as K-means
- Can get stuck in local maximum
- Need to set number of components

Model Selection

$$p(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{z} \mid \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \prod_{n=1}^{N} p(\boldsymbol{x}_n | \boldsymbol{z}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{z}_n \mid \boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Need to specify two components

- 1. Likelihood $p(\boldsymbol{x}_n | \boldsymbol{z}_n, \boldsymbol{\theta})$
- 2. Mixture distribution $p(z_n | \boldsymbol{\theta})$

How do we know that we have made "good" choices?

Model Selection



Strategy 1: Cross-validation

Split data in to K folds.

For each fold k

- Perform EM to learn θ from training set X^{train}
- Calculate test set likelihood
 p(*X*^{test} | θ)
Model Selection



Strategy 2: Model Evidence

Define a prior $p(\theta)$ and evaluate the marginal likelihood

$$p(\mathbf{X}) = \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} p(\mathbf{X} | \boldsymbol{\theta}) p(\boldsymbol{\theta})$$

Two families of methods

- Variational Inference
- Importance Sampling

Variational Inference (Sketch)

Lower bound on Log Evidence

$$\mathcal{L}(q(\boldsymbol{z}), q(\boldsymbol{\theta})) = \int d\boldsymbol{\theta} \sum_{\boldsymbol{z}} q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) q(\boldsymbol{z}) \log \frac{p(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta})}{q(\boldsymbol{\theta}) q(\boldsymbol{z})}$$
$$= \log p(\boldsymbol{X}) - KL(q(\boldsymbol{\theta})q(\boldsymbol{z}) || p(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{\theta} | \boldsymbol{X}))$$

Variational E-step

$$q^{i}(\boldsymbol{z}) = \underset{q(\boldsymbol{z})}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathcal{L}(q(\boldsymbol{z}), q^{i-1}(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

Variational M-step

$$q^{i}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \underset{q(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\operatorname{argmax}} \mathcal{L}(q^{i}(\boldsymbol{z}), q(\boldsymbol{\theta}))$$

Variational Inference (Sketch)



Can use lower bound on evidence to select best model



Variational inference for often assigns zero weight to superfluous components