Machine Translation

Natural Language Processing CS 4120/6120—Fall 2016 Northeastern University

David Smith some slides from Charles Schafer & Philip Koehn

Translation and NLP

- Translation is one of the oldest language tasks tried on a computer
 - Just look at that archaic name: "Machine Translation"!
- Translation involves many linguistic systems
- "Apollo program" dual-use argument:
 - Translation models of alignment and transfer are useful in question answering, paraphrase, information retrieval, etc.



Overview

- What problems does MT address? What does it (currently) not address?
- Models: What makes a good translation?
- Alignment: Learning dictionaries from parallel text
- Next: non-parallel text, translation decoding and training

The Translation Problem and Translation Data

মানব পরিবারের সকল সদস্যের সমান ও অবিচ্ছেদ্য অধিকারসমূহ এবং সহজাত মর্যাদার স্বীকৃতিই হচ্ছে বিশ্বে শান্তি, স্বাধীনতা এবং ন্যায়বিচারের ডিন্তি মানব পরিবারের সকল সদস্যের সমান ও অবিচ্ছেদ্য অধিকারসমূহ এবং সহজাত মর্যাদার স্বীকৃতিই হচ্ছে বিশ্বে শান্তি, স্বাধীনতা এবং ন্যায়বিচারের ডিন্তি

মানব পরিবারের সকল সদস্যের সমান ও অবিচ্ছেদ্য অধিকারসমূহ এবং সহজাত মর্যাদার স্বীকৃতিই হচ্ছে বিশ্বে শান্তি, স্বাধীনতা এবং ন্যায়বিচারের ডিন্তি

Whereas recognition of the inherent dignity and of the equal and inalienable rights of all members of the human family is the foundation of freedom, justice and peace in the world

Why Machine Translation?

* Cheap, universal access to world's online information regardless of original language. (That's the goal)

Why Statistical (or at least Empirical) Machine Translation?

* We want to translate real-world documents. Thus, we should model real-world documents.

* A nice property: design the system once, and extend to new languages automatically by training on existing data.

F(training data, model) -> parameterized MT system

Ideas that cut across empirical language processing problems and methods

Real-world: don't be (too) prescriptive. Be able to process (translate/summarize/identify/paraphrase) relevant bits of human language as they are, not as they "should be". For instance, genre is important: translating French blogs into English is different from translating French novels into English.

Model: a fully described procedure, generally having variable parameters, that performs some interesting task (for example, translation).

Training data: a set of observed data instances which can be used to find good parameters for a model via a training procedure.

Training procedure: a method that takes observed data and refines the parameters of a model, such that the model is improved according to some objective function.

Most of this lecture

Most statistical machine translation (SMT) research has focused on a few "high-resource" languages(European, Chinese, Japanese, Arabic).

Some other work: translation for the rest of the world's languages found on the web.

Most statistical machine translation research has focused on a few high-resource languages (European, Chinese, Japanese, Arabic).



Most statistical machine translation (SMT) research has focused on a few "high-resource" languages(European, Chinese, Japanese, Arabic).

Some other work: translation for the rest of the world's languages found on the web.

Romanian Catalan Serbian Slovenian Macedonian Uzbek Turkmen Kyrgyz Uighur Pashto Tajikh Dari Kurdish Azeri Bengali Punjabi Gujarati Nepali Urdu Marathi Konkani Oriya Telugu Malayalam Kannada Cebuano

We'll discuss this briefly

The Translation Problem

Document translation? <u>Sentence</u> translation? <u>Word</u> translation?

What to translate? The most common use case is probably <u>document</u> translation.

Most MT work focuses on sentence translation.

What does sentence translation ignore?

- Discourse properties/structure.
- Inter-sentence coreference.

- SMT has generally ignored extra-sentence structure (good future work direction for the community).

 Instead, we've concentrated on translating individual sentences as well as possible.
 This is a very hard problem in itself.

- Word translation (knowing the possible English translations of a French word) is not, by itself, sufficient for building readable/useful automatic document translations - though it is an important component in end-to-end SMT systems.

Sentence translation using only a word translation dictionary is called "glossing" or "gisting".

We'll come back to this later ...

and address learning the word translation component (dictionary) of MT systems without using parallel text.

(For languages having little parallel text, this is the best we can do right now) - Training resource: parallel text (bitext).

Parallel text (with English) on the order
 of 20M-200M words (roughly, 1M-10M sentences)
 is available for a number of languages.

Parallel text is expensive to generate: human translators are expensive (\$0.05-\$0.25 per word). Millions of words training data needed for high quality SMT results. So we take what is available.
This is often of less than optimal genre (laws, parliamentary proceedings, religious texts).

Sentence Translation: examples of more and less literal translations in bitext

French, English from Bitext

Le débat est clos . The debate is closed . Closely Literal English Translation

The debate is closed.

Accepteriez - vous ce principe ? Would you accept that principle ?

Accept-you that principle?

Merci, chère collègue. Thank you, Mrs Marinucci.

Thank you, dear colleague.

Avez - vous donc une autre proposition ? Can you explain ? Have you therefore another proposal?

(from French-English European Parliament proceedings)





Accepteriez - vous ce principe ?

Would you accept that principle ?

Merci, chère collègue.

Le débat est clos.

The debate is closed.

Thank you, Mrs Marinucci.

Avez - vous donc une autre proposition ?

Can you explain ?

Translation and Alignment

- As mentioned, translations are expensive to commission and generally SMT research relies on already existing translations

- These typically come in the form of aligned documents.

- A sentence alignment, using pre-existing document boundaries, is performed automatically. Low-scoring or non-one-to-one sentence alignments are discarded. The resulting aligned sentences constitute the training bitext.

- For many modern SMT systems, induction of word alignments between aligned sentences, using algorithms based on the IBM word-based translation models, is one of the first stages of processing. Such induced word alignments are generally treated as part of the observed data and are used to extract aligned phrases or subtrees.

Modeling What Makes a Good Translation?

Modeling

- Translation models
 - -"Adequacy"
 - Assign better scores to accurate (and complete) translations
- Language models
 - -"Fluency"
 - Assign better scores to natural target language text







- Usually directed: each word in the target generated by one word in the source
- Many-many and null-many links allowed
- Classic IBM models of Brown et al.
- Used now mostly for word alignment, not translation













- Capture translations in context
 - -en Amerique: to America
 - -en anglais: in English
- State-of-the-art for several years
- Each source/target phrase pair is scored by several weighted features.
- The weighted sum of model features is the whole translation's score.
- Phrases don't overlap (cf. language models) but have "reordering" features.

Finite State Models



Finite State Models



Finite State Models

- Natural composition with other finite state processes, e.g. Chinese word segmentation
- Standard algorithms and widely available tools (e.g. AT&T fsm toolkit)
- Limit reordering to finite offset
- Often impractical to compose all finite state machines offline

Single-Tree Translation Models



Parse trees with deeper structure have also been used.
Single-Tree Translation Models

- Either source or target has a hidden tree/parse structure
 - –Also known as "tree-to-string" or "tree-transducer" models
- The side with the tree generates words/phrases in tree, not string, order.
- Nodes in the tree also generate words/phrases on the other side.
- English side is often parsed, whether it's source or target, since English parsing is more advanced.



Tree-Tree Translation Models

- Both sides have hidden tree structure
 –Can be represented with a "synchronous" grammar
- Some models assume isomorphic trees, where parent-child relations are preserved; others do not.
- Trees can be fixed in advance by monolingual parsers or induced from data (e.g. Hiero).
- Cheap trees: project from one side to the other

Latent Seq-Seq Models



- Various methods for building source representation
 - Recurrent NN, LSTM, ConvNN, Neural attention
- Representation replicated at each output position
 - Integrated LM, or combined in beam search

Learning Word Translations from Parallel Text

The "IBM Models"

Lexical translation

• How to translate a word \rightarrow look up in dictionary

Haus — house, building, home, household, shell.

- Multiple translations
 - some more frequent than others
 - for instance: *house*, and *building* most common
 - special cases: *Haus* of a *snail* is its *shell*
- Note: During all the lectures, we will translate from a foreign language into English

Collect statistics

• Look at a *parallel corpus* (German text along with English translation)

Translation of <i>Haus</i>	Count		
house	8,000		
building	1,600		
home	200		
household	150		
shell	50		

Estimate translation probabilities

• Maximum likelihood estimation

$$p_f(e) = \begin{cases} 0.8 & \text{if } e = \text{house}, \\ 0.16 & \text{if } e = \text{building}, \\ 0.02 & \text{if } e = \text{home}, \\ 0.015 & \text{if } e = \text{household}, \\ 0.005 & \text{if } e = \text{shell}. \end{cases}$$

Philipp Koehn	
---------------	--

JHU SS

Alignment

• In a parallel text (or when we translate), we align words in one language with the words in the other



• Word *positions* are numbered 1–4

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Alignment function

- Formalizing *alignment* with an **alignment function**
- Mapping an English target word at position i to a German source word at position j with a function $a:i\to j$
- Example

$$a: \{1 \rightarrow 1, 2 \rightarrow 2, 3 \rightarrow 3, 4 \rightarrow 4\}$$

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Reordering

• Words may be **reordered** during translation



і шпрр Коепп	Phi	lipp	Koehn
--------------	-----	------	-------

JHU SS

One-to-many translation

• A source word may translate into **multiple** target words



Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Dropping words

- Words may be **dropped** when translated
 - The German article *das* is dropped



Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Inserting words

- Words may be **added** during translation
 - The English *just* does not have an equivalent in German
 - We still need to map it to something: special $\ensuremath{\operatorname{NULL}}$ token



Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

IBM Model 1

- *Generative model*: break up translation process into smaller steps
 - IBM Model 1 only uses *lexical translation*
- Translation probability
 - for a foreign sentence $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, ..., f_{l_f})$ of length l_f
 - to an English sentence $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, ..., e_{l_e})$ of length l_e
 - with an alignment of each English word e_j to a foreign word f_i according to the alignment function $a:j\to i$

$$p(\mathbf{e}, a | \mathbf{f}) = \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j | f_{a(j)})$$

– parameter ϵ is a *normalization constant*

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Example

d	as	Haus		ist			klein		
e	t(e f)	e	t(e f)	e	t(e f)		e	t(e f)	
the	0.7	house	0.8	is	0.8		small	0.4	
that	0.15	building	0.16	's	0.16		little	0.4	
which	0.075	home	0.02	exists	0.02		short	0.1	
who	0.05	household	0.015	has	0.015		minor	0.06	
this	0.025	shell	0.005	are	0.005		petty	0.04	

$$\begin{split} p(e,a|f) &= \frac{\epsilon}{4^3} \times t(\text{the}|\text{das}) \times t(\text{house}|\text{Haus}) \times t(\text{is}|\text{ist}) \times t(\text{small}|\text{klein}) \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{4^3} \times 0.7 \times 0.8 \times 0.8 \times 0.4 \\ &= 0.0028\epsilon \end{split}$$

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Learning lexical translation models

- \bullet We would like to estimate the lexical translation probabilities t(e|f) from a parallel corpus
- ... but we do not have the alignments
- Chicken and egg problem
 - if we had the *alignments*,
 - \rightarrow we could estimate the parameters of our generative model
 - if we had the *parameters*,
 - \rightarrow we could estimate the *alignments*

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

EM algorithm

• Incomplete data

- if we had *complete data*, would could estimate *model*
- if we had *model*, we could fill in the *gaps in the data*
- Expectation Maximization (EM) in a nutshell
 - initialize model parameters (e.g. uniform)
 - assign probabilities to the missing data
 - estimate model parameters from completed data
 - iterate

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS



- Initial step: all alignments equally likely
- Model learns that, e.g., *la* is often aligned with *the*

Philipp	Koehn

JHU SS



- After one iteration
- Alignments, e.g., between *la* and *the* are more likely

JHU SS



- After another iteration
- It becomes apparent that alignments, e.g., between *fleur* and *flower* are more likely (**pigeon hole principle**)

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS



- Convergence
- \bullet Inherent hidden structure revealed by EM

JHU SS



• Parameter estimation from the aligned corpus

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

- EM Algorithm consists of two steps
- **Expectation-Step**: Apply model to the data
 - parts of the model are hidden (here: alignments)
 - using the model, assign probabilities to possible values
- Maximization-Step: Estimate model from data
 - take assign values as fact
 - collect counts (weighted by probabilities)
 - estimate model from counts
- Iterate these steps until convergence

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

- We need to be able to compute:
 - Expectation-Step: probability of alignments
 - Maximization-Step: count collection

JHU SS

- $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet \mbox{ Probabilities } & p({\rm the}|{\rm la})=0.7 & p({\rm house}|{\rm la})=0.05 \\ p({\rm the}|{\rm maison})=0.1 & p({\rm house}|{\rm maison})=0.8 \end{array}$
- Alignments
 - la ← the la ← the la the la the maison + house + house

Philipp Koehn	
---------------	--

JHU SS

- $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet \mbox{ Probabilities } & p(\mathsf{the}|\mathsf{la}) = 0.7 & p(\mathsf{house}|\mathsf{la}) = 0.05 \\ p(\mathsf{the}|\mathsf{maison}) = 0.1 & p(\mathsf{house}|\mathsf{maison}) = 0.8 \end{array}$
- Alignments

 $\begin{array}{cccc} & \mathbf{la} \bullet \bullet & \mathbf{the} & & \mathbf{maison} \bullet & \mathbf{the} & &$

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

 $\begin{array}{ll} \bullet \ \ {\rm Probabilities} \end{array} & \begin{array}{ll} p({\rm the}|{\rm la})=0.7 & p({\rm house}|{\rm la})=0.05 \\ p({\rm the}|{\rm maison})=0.1 & p({\rm house}|{\rm maison})=0.8 \end{array} \end{array}$

• Alignments

la maison	● the ● ● house	la ● the maison● ● house	la ● ● the maison● ● house	la ● the maison● house
$p(\mathbf{e}, a)$	(f) = 0.56	$p(\mathbf{e}, a \mathbf{f}) = 0.035$	$p(\mathbf{e}, a \mathbf{f}) = 0.08$	$p(\mathbf{e}, a \mathbf{f}) = 0.005$
$p(a \mathbf{e},\mathbf{f})$	f) = 0.824	$p(a \mathbf{e},\mathbf{f}) = 0.052$	$p(a \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = 0.118$	$p(a \mathbf{e},\mathbf{f}) = 0.007$
• Counts	$c({\sf the} {\sf la})$	0) = 0.824 + 0.052 son $) = 0.118 + 0.007$	c(house la) = 7 $c(house maison)$	$\begin{array}{l} 0.052 + 0.007 \\ = 0.824 + 0.118 \end{array}$
Philipp Koehn		JHU SS	5	6 July 2006

- \bullet We need to compute $p(a|\mathbf{e},\mathbf{f})$
- Applying the *chain rule*:

$$p(a|\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{e}, a|\mathbf{f})}{p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f})}$$

• We already have the formula for $p(\mathbf{e},\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{f})$ (definition of Model 1)

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

- We need to compute $p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f})$

$$p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(\mathbf{e}, a|\mathbf{f})$$

= $\sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_f} \dots \sum_{a(l_e)=0}^{l_f} p(\mathbf{e}, a|\mathbf{f})$
= $\sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_f} \dots \sum_{a(l_e)=0}^{l_f} \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f+1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j|f_{a(j)})$

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

$$p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_f} \dots \sum_{a(l_e)=0}^{l_f} \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f+1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j|f_{a(j)})$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f+1)^{l_e}} \sum_{a(1)=0}^{l_f} \dots \sum_{a(l_e)=0}^{l_f} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j|f_{a(j)})$$
$$= \frac{\epsilon}{(l_f+1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} \sum_{i=0}^{l_f} t(e_j|f_i)$$

- Note the trick in the last line
 - removes the need for an *exponential* number of products
 - \rightarrow this makes IBM Model 1 estimation tractable

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

• Combine what we have:

$$p(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = p(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{a}|\mathbf{f}) / p(\mathbf{e}|\mathbf{f})$$

$$= \frac{\frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e_j|f_{a(j)})}{\frac{\epsilon}{(l_f + 1)^{l_e}} \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} \sum_{i=0}^{l_f} t(e_j|f_i)}$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{l_e} \frac{t(e_j|f_{a(j)})}{\sum_{i=0}^{l_f} t(e_j|f_i)}$$

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

IBM Model 1 and EM: Maximization Step

- Now we have to *collect counts*
- Evidence from a sentence pair e, f that word e is a translation of word f:

$$c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \sum_{a} p(a|\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) \sum_{j=1}^{l_e} \delta(e, e_j) \delta(f, f_{a(j)})$$

• With the same simplication as before:

$$c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{t(e|f)}{\sum_{j=1}^{l_e} t(e|f_{a(j)})} \sum_{j=1}^{l_e} \delta(e, e_j) \sum_{i=0}^{l_f} \delta(f, f_i)$$

Philipp Koehn

IBM Model 1 and EM: Maximization Step

• After collecting these counts over a corpus, we can estimate the model:

$$t(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}) = \frac{\sum_{(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f})} c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}))}{\sum_{f} \sum_{(\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f})} c(e|f; \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{f}))}$$

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

IBM Model 1 and EM: Pseudocode

```
initialize t(e|f) uniformly
do
  set count(e|f) to 0 for all e,f
  set total(f) to 0 for all f
 for all sentence pairs (e_s,f_s)
    for all words e in e_s
     total_s = 0
     for all words f in f_s
        total_s += t(e|f)
    for all words e in e_s
     for all words f in f_s
        count(e|f) += t(e|f) / total_s
        total(f) += t(e|f) / total_s
 for all f in domain( total(.) )
    for all e in domain( count(.|f) )
     t(e|f) = count(e|f) / total(f)
until convergence
```

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Higher IBM Models

IBM Model 1	lexical translation
IBM Model 2	adds absolute reordering model
IBM Model 3	adds fertility model
IBM Model 4	relative reordering model
IBM Model 5	fixes deficiency

- Only IBM Model 1 has *global maximum*
 - training of a higher IBM model builds on previous model
- Computtionally biggest change in Model 3
 - trick to simplify estimation does not work anymore
 - $\rightarrow~exhaustive$ count collection becomes computationally too expensive
 - sampling over high probability alignments is used instead

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS
IBM Model 4



Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Word alignment

- Notion of **word alignment** valuable
- Shared task at NAACL 2003 and ACL 2005 workshops



Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Word alignment with IBM models

- IBM Models create a *many-to-one* mapping
 - words are aligned using an alignment function
 - a function may return the same value for different input (one-to-many mapping)
 - a function can not return multiple values for one input (no many-to-one mapping)
- But we need *many-to-many* mappings

JHU SS



Symmetrizing word alignments

• *Intersection* of GIZA++ bidirectional alignments

Philipp	Koehn
Philipp	Koehn

JHU SS



Symmetrizing word alignments

• *Grow* additional alignment points [Och and Ney, CompLing2003]

Philipp Koehn	
---------------	--

JHU SS

Growing heuristic

```
GROW-DIAG-FINAL(e2f,f2e):
    neighboring = ((-1,0),(0,-1),(1,0),(0,1),(-1,-1),(-1,1),(1,-1),(1,1))
    alignment = intersect(e2f,f2e);
    GROW-DIAG(); FINAL(e2f); FINAL(f2e);
```

```
GROW-DIAG():
```

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Synchronous Grammars: Inversion Transduction Grammar

Syntactically-Motivated Distortion

The Authority will be accountable to the Financial Secretary. 管理局將會向財政司負責。

(Authority will to Financial Secretary accountable.)

Syntactically-Motivated Distortion



ITG Overview

- Special case of synchronous CFG
- One, joint nonterminal per bilingual node
- Children are translated monotonically, or reversed
- Binarized normal form
- Mostly used for exact, polytime alignment

ITG Rules

S	\rightarrow	[SP Stop]
SP	\rightarrow	[NP VP] [NP VV] [NP V]
PP	>	[Prep NP]
NP	\rightarrow	[Det NN] [Det N] [Pro] [NP Conj NP]
NN	\rightarrow	[A N] [NN PP]
VP	\rightarrow	[Aux VP] [Aux VV] [VV PP]
VV	\rightarrow	[V NP] [Cop A]
Det	\rightarrow	the/ ϵ
Prep	\rightarrow	to/向
Pro	\rightarrow	I/我 you/你
Ν	\rightarrow	authority/管理局 secretary/司
А	\rightarrow	accountable/負責 financial/財政
Conj	\rightarrow	and/和
Aux	\rightarrow	will/ 將會
Cop	~~~>	be/e
Stop	\rightarrow	./。
VP	\rightarrow	(VV PP)

ITG Alignment



Legal ITG Alignments



Bracketing ITG

$$\begin{array}{cccc} A & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & [A \ A] \\ A & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & \langle A \ A \rangle \\ A & \stackrel{b_{ij}}{\longrightarrow} & u_i / v_j & \text{for} \\ A & \stackrel{b_{i\epsilon}}{\longrightarrow} & u_i / \epsilon & \text{for} \\ A & \stackrel{b_{\epsilon j}}{\longrightarrow} & \epsilon / v_j & \text{for} \end{array}$$

for all *i*, *j* English-Chinese lexical translations

for all *i* English vocabulary

٠

for all *j* Chinese vocabulary

Removing Spurious Ambiguity

$$\begin{array}{cccc}
A & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & [A B] \\
A & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & [B B] \\
A & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & [C B] \\
A & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & [A C] \\
A & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & [A C] \\
B & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & (A A) \\
B & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & \langle A A \rangle \\
B & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & \langle C A \rangle \\
B & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & \langle A C \rangle \\
B & \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} & \langle B C \rangle \\
C & \stackrel{b_{ij}}{\longrightarrow} & u_i / v_j \\
C & \stackrel{b_{i\epsilon}}{\longrightarrow} & u_i / \epsilon \\
C & \stackrel{b_{\epsilon j}}{\longrightarrow} & \epsilon / v_i
\end{array}$$

- for all *i*, *j* English-Chinese lexical translations
- for all *i* English vocabulary
- C $\xrightarrow{v_{\epsilon_j}} \epsilon/v_j$ for all *j* Chinese vocabulary

Specialized Translation Models: Named Entities

Translating Words in a Sentence

- Models will automatically learn entries in probabilistic translation dictionaries, for instance p(elle|she), from co-occurrences in aligned sentences of a parallel text.

- For some kinds of words/phrases, this is less effective. For example:

numbers

dates

named entities (NE)

The reason: these constitute a large open class of words that will not all occur even in the largest bitext. Plus, there are regularities in translation of numbers/dates/ NE.

Handling Named Entities

- For many language pairs, and particularly those which do not share an alphabet, transliteration of person and place names is the desired method of translation.

- General Method:
 - 1. Identify NE's via classifier
 - 2. Transliterate name
 - 3. Translate/reorder honorifics

- Also useful for alignment. Consider the case of Inuktitut-English alignment, where Inuktitut renderings of European names are highly nondeterministic.

Transliteration

Inuktitut rendering of English names changes the string significantly but not deterministically

Williams	McLean
ailiams	makalain
uialims	makkalain
uilialums	maklaain
uiliam	maklain
uiliammas	maklainn
uiliams	maklait
uilians	makli
uliams	maklii
viliams	makliik
	makliin
Campbell	maklin
kaampu	malain
kaampul	matliin
kaamvul	miklain
kamvul	mikliin
	miklin

Transliteration

Inuktitut rendering of English names changes the string significantly but not deterministically

Train a **probabilistic finite-state transducer** to model this ambiguous transformation

McLean
makalain
makkalain
maklaain
maklain
maklainn
maklait
makli
maklii
makliik
makliin
maklin
malain
matliin
miklain
mikliin
miklin

Transliteration

Inuktitut rendering of English names changes the string significantly but not deterministically

Williams McLean ailiams makalain makkalain uialims uilialums uiliam maklain uiliammas uiliams maklait uilians makli maklii uliams viliams makliik makliin Campbell maklin malain kaampu kaampul matliin kaamvul miklain mikliin kamvul miklin

maklaain maklainn

... Mr. Williams ...

... mista uialims ...

Useful Types of Word Analysis

- Number/Date Handling
- Named Entity Tagging/Transliteration
- Morphological Analysis
 - Analyze a word to its root form
 (at least for word alignment)
 was -> is
 believing -> believe
 ruminerai -> ruminer ruminiez -> ruminer
 - As a dimensionality reduction technique
 - To allow lookup in existing dictionary

Learning Word Translation Dictionaries Using Minimal Resources

Learning Translation Lexicons for Low-Resource Languages

Problem: Scarce resources . . .

- -Large parallel texts are very helpful, but often unavailable
- -Often, no "seed" translation lexicon is available
- -Neither are resources such as parsers, taggers, thesauri

Solution: Use only monolingual corpora in source, target languages

 But use many information sources to propose and rank translation candidates





* Constructing translation candidate sets

Cognate Selection



some cognates

Spanish-Italian	homogenizar omogeneizzare
Polish-Serbian	befsztyk biftek
German-Dutch	gefestigt gevestigd

Spanish Word		Italian Word	Cognate?
	electron	elettrone	
	aventurero	avventuriero	
	perífrasis	perifrasi	
	divulgar	divulgare	
	triada	triade	
	agresivo	aggressivo	
	insertar	inserto	
	esprint	sprint	
	trópico	tropico	
	altimetro	altimetro	
	alegato	lista	No
	variado	variato	
	cepillar	piallare	
	confusin	confusione	
	fortificacion	fortificazione	
	conjuncion	congiunzione	
	encantador	incantatore	
	heredero	erede	
	vidrio	vetro	
	vaciar	variare	No
	talisman	talismano	
	sólido	solido	
	criptografia	crittografia	
	carencia	carenza	
	cortesania	cortesia	No
	sadico	sadico	
	concentracion	concentrazione	
	venida	venuta	
	agonizante	agonizzante	
	extinguir	estinguere	

The Transliteration Problem

Arabic

Piedade	BEH YEH YEH DAL ALEF DAL YEH
Bolivia	BEH WAW LAM YEH FEH YEH ALEF
Luxembourg	LAM KAF SEEN MEEM BEH WAW REH GHAIN
Zanzibar	ZAIN NOON JEEM YEH BEH ALEF REH

Inuktitut

Williams: uialims uilialums uiliammas viliams Campbell: kaampu kaampul kamvul kaamvul McLean: makalain maklainn makliin makkalain

Memoryless Transducer



Two-State Transducer ("Weak Memory")



Unigram Interlingua Transducer



Examples: Possible Cognates Ranked by Various String Models

String Transduction Models Ranking Spanish Bridge Words for Romanian Source Word inghiti									
C1	C2	C3	R&Y	2STEF	UIT	SN	AI	CDUI	JDCO
S:ingrato	S:ingrato	S:ingrato	S:ingrato	S:ingrato	S:ingrato	S:ingrato	S:ingrato	S:ingrato	S:ingrato
S:ingerir	S:ingerir	S:engaste	S:grito	S:negrito	S:ingerir	S:ingente	S:negrito	S:infarto	S:engaste
S:engaste	S:engaste	S:ingerir	S:gaita	S:grito	S:grito	S:ingerir	S:negrita	S:engaste	S:anguila
S:ingreso	S:ingreso	S:inglete	S:grita	S:ingerir	S:grita	S:ingle	S:ingerir	S:ingreso	S:infarto
S:ingerido	S:ingerido	S:ingreso	S:negrito	S:negrita	S:inglete	S:angra	S:grito	S:introito	S:aguita
S:inglete	S:grito	S:ingerido	S:infarto	S:grita	S:gaita	S:ingerido	S:grita	S:negrito	S:ingreso
S:grito	S:inglete	S:infarto	S:negrita	S:gaita	S:negrito	S:ingenio	S:gaita	S:ingerido	S:intriga
S:infarto	S:infarto	S:grito	S:ingerir	S:ingerido	S:infarto	S:engan	S:ingenito	S:negrita	S:intuir
S:grita	S:negrito	S:introito	S:engaste	S:ingreso	S:introito	S:engatado	S:inglete	S:ingerir	S:indulto
S:introito	S:grita	S:engreir	S:haiti	S:haiti	S:engreir	S:invita	S:tahiti	S:inglete	S:inglete

String Transduction Models Ranking Turkish Bridge Words for Uzbek Source Word аввалги									
C1	C2	C3	R&Y	2STEF	UIT	SN	AI	CDUI	JDCO
T:evvelki	T:evvelki	T:evvelki	T:evvelki	T:vali	T:evvelki	T:edilgi	T:evvelki	T:evvelki	T:evvelki
T:evvelce	T:evvelce	T:evvelce	T:evveli	T:veli	T:evvelce	T:dalga	T:evveli	T:evvelce	T:evvelce
T:kalga	T:evvelkí	T:kalga	T:evvela	T:vals	T:edilgi	T:delgi	T:aval	T:evveli	T:evvelkí
T:evvelkí	T:kalga	T:salgi	T:evvel	T:delgi	T:algi	T:kalga	T:algi	T:evvela	T:ilkelci
T:vals	T:salgi	T:vals	T:algi	T:evvelki	T:salgi	T:evel	T:evvel	T:ilkelci	T:sivilce
T:salgi	T:vals	T:evvelkí	T:evvelce	T:kalga	T:vals	T:dalgl	T:evvela	T:eksilti	T:ilkelce
T:villa	T:villa	T:delgi	T:edilgi	T:dalga	T:delgi	T:evvelki	T:salgi	T:zavalli	T:akilci
T:silgi	T:silgi	T:villa	T:aval	T:villa	T:silgi	T:evlat	T:vali	T:evvelkí	T:eksilti
T:edilgi	T:ilkelci	T:evveli	T:evel	T:vale	T:kalga	T:dolgu	T:evvelce	T:evvel	T:asilce
T:volta	T:akilci	T:silgi	T:delgi	T: yilgi	T:dalga	T:veli	T:evvelkí	T:ilkelce	T:otelci

Romanian *inghiti* (ingest) Uzbek *avvalgi* (previous/former)

* Effectiveness of cognate models



* Multi-family bridge languages

Similarity Measures

for re-ranking cognate/transliteration hypotheses

- 1. Probabilistic string transducers
- 2. Context similarity
- 3. Date distribution similarity
- 4. Similarities based on monolingual word properties

Similarity Measures

- 1. Probabilistic string transducers
- 2. Context similarity
- 3. Date distribution similarity

4. Similarities based on monolingual word properties

Compare Vectors



Compute cosine similarity between <u>nezavisnost</u> and "independence"

... and between <u>nezavisnost</u> and "freedom"
Similarity Measures

- 1. Probabilistic string transducers
- 2. Context similarity

3. Date distribution similarity

4. Similarities based on monolingual word properties

Date Distribution Similarity

- Topical words associated with real-world events appear within news articles in bursts following the date of the event
- Synonymous topical words in different languages, then, display similar distributions across dates in news text: this can be measured
- We use cosine similarity on date term vectors, with term values p(word|date), to quantify this notion of similarity

Date Distribution Similarity - Example



Similarity Measures

- 1. Probabilistic string transducers
- 2. Context similarity
- 3. Date distribution similarity

4. Similarities based on monolingual word properties



Combining Similarities: Uzbek

Individual Bridge Language Results For Uzbek Using Combined Similarity Measures						
Rank	k Turkish Russian Farsi Kyrgyz					
1	0.04	0.12	0.03	0.06		
5	0.10	0.23	0.05	0.08		
10	0.13	0.26	0.07	0.10		
20	0.16	0.28	0.08	0.11		
50	0.21	0.30	0.12	0.13		
100	0.24	0.31	0.15	0.16		
200	0.26	0.32	0.19	0.19		

Multiple Bridge Language Results For Uzbek							
Using Combined Similarity Measures							
Rank	Tur+Rus	ıs Tur+Rus Tur+Rus Tur+Rus Tur+Rus					
		+Farsi	+Eng	+Farsi	+Farsi		
				+Kaz+Kyr	+Kaz+Kyr+Eng		
1	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14		
5	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.28	0.29		
10	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.34	0.34		
20	0.35	0.37	0.35	0.39	0.39		
50	0.39	0.41	0.39	0.42	0.43		
100	0.41	0.43	0.41	0.46	0.45		
200	0.43	0.45	0.42	0.48	0.46		

<u>Combining Similarities:</u> Romanian, Serbian, & Bengali

Multiple Bridge Language Results For Romanian						
Using Combined Similarity Measures						
Rank	Spanish Spanish Spanish Spanish					
		+Russian	+English	+Russian		
				+English		
1	0.17	0.18	0.19	0.19		
5	0.31	0.35	0.34	0.37		
10	0.37	0.41	0.41	0.43		
20	0.43	0.46	0.46	0.48		
50	0.51	0.53	0.53	0.55		
100	0.57	0.60	0.58	0.61		
200	0.60	0.62	0.59	0.62		

Multiple Bridge Language Results For Serbian						
Using Combined Similarity Measures						
Rank	Cz	Rus Bulg Cz Cz+Slovak Cz+Slova				
				+English	+Rus+Bulg	+Rus+Bulg
						+English
1	0.13	0.15	0.19	0.13	0.19	0.19
5	0.24	0.24	0.31	0.25	0.38	0.38
10	0.29	0.28	0.35	0.30	0.42	0.43
20	0.32	0.31	0.40	0.34	0.48	0.48
50	0.38	0.36	0.44	0.39	0.54	0.55
100	0.40	0.40	0.48	0.42	0.59	0.59
200	0.41	0.42	0.50	0.43	0.60	0.60

Bridge Language Results for Bengali			
Using Combined Similarity Measures			
Rank	Rank Hindi Hindi		
		+English	
1	0.03	0.05	
5	0.11	0.14	
10	0.13	0.17	
20	0.16	0.21	
50	0.19	0.25	
100	0.22	0.28	
200	0.23	0.29	

Observations

* With <u>no Uzbek-specific supervision</u>, we can produce an Uzbek-English dictionary which is 14% exact-match correct

* Or, we can put a correct translation in the top-10 list 34% of the time (useful for end-to-end machine translation or cross-language information retrieval)

* Adding more bridge languages helps

Multiple Bridge Language Results For Uzbek							
Using Combined Similarity Measures							
Rank	Tur+Rus	r+Rus Tur+Rus Tur+Rus Tur+Rus Tur+Rus					
		+Farsi	+Eng	+Farsi	+Farsi		
				+Kaz+Kyr	+Kaz+Kyr+Eng		
1	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.14		
5	0.26	0.27	0.26	0.28	0.29		
10	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.34	0.34		
20	0.35	0.37	0.35	0.39	0.39		
50	0.39	0.41	0.39	0.42	0.43		
100	0.41	0.43	0.41	0.46	0.45		
200	0.43	0.45	0.42	0.48	0.46		

Topic Models

Text Reuse

Jobless rate at 3-year low as payrolls surge

🕰 Recommend 🛛 🛃 1,328 people recommend this.



By Lucia Mutikani WASHINGTON | Fri Feb 3, 2012 5:35pm EST

(Reuters) - The United States created jobs at the fastest pace in nine months in January and the unemployment rate unexpectedly dropped to a near three-year low, giving a boost to President Barack Obama.

Tweet

Tweet

Tweet

The share

The share
The share

The share

The share

The share

The share

The share
The share

The share

The share
The share
The share

The share

The share

The

Analysis &

Only 45,000 created in J

TrimTabs

Jobless rate at 3-year low as payrolls surge

STweet (19)

🔿 REUTERS By Lucia Mutikani | Reuters – 4 hrs ago

Email Recommend 81

in Share < 5 🔛 Print

RELATED CONTENT



Job seekers stand in line to speak with an employer at a job fair in San Francisco, ...

- Article: Instant view: January nonfarm payrolls rose by 243,000 15 hrs ago
- Article: Snap analysis: Job creation accelerates broadly 15 hrs ago

POLITICS SLIDESHOWS

Manning faces

WASHINGTON (Reuters) - The United States created jobs at the fastest pace in nine months in January and the unemployment rate unexpectedly dropped to a near three-year low, giving a boost to President Barack Obama.

Nonfarm payrolls jumped 243,000, the Labor Department said on Friday, as factory jobs grew by the most in a year. The jobless rate fell to 8.3 percent - the lowest since February 2009 - from 8.5 percent in December.

The gain in employment was the largest since April and it far outstripped the 150,000 predicted in a Reuters poll of economists. It hinted at underlying economic strength and lessened chances of further stimulus from the Federal Reserve.

"More pistons in the economic engine have begun to fire, pointing to accelerating economic growth. One of the happiest persons reading this job report is President Obama," said Sung Won Sohn, an economics professor at California State University Channel Islands.

The payroll gains were widespread - from retail to temporary help, and from construction to manufacturing - an indication the recovery was becoming more durable.

Topical Similarity

Job Gains Reflect Hope a Recovery Is Blooming



A job applicant received assistance at an employment fair in Modesto, Calif., this week.

By MOTOKO RICH Published: February 3, 2012

The front wheels have lifted off the runway. Now, Americans are waiting to see if the economy can truly get aloft.

f the runway. Now, Americans are	RECOMMEND
an truly get aloft.	S TWITTER
With the government reporting that	in LINKEDIN
the unemployment rate and the	COMMENTS (576)
number of jobless fell in January to the lowest levels since early 2009, the	SIGN IN TO E- MAIL
recovery seems finally to be reaching	⊟ PRINT
American workers.	REPRINTS
The Labor Department's latest	 SHARE

Jobless rate at 3-year low as payrolls surge

STweet (19)

REUTERS By Lucia Mutikani | Reuters - 4 hrs ago

Email Recommend <81

in Share < 5 🛄 Print

RELATED CONTENT



Job seekers stand in line to speak with an employer at a job fair in San Francisco, ...

- Article: Instant view: January nonfarm payrolls rose by 243,000 15 hrs ago
- Article: Snap analysis: Job creation accelerates broadly 15 hrs ago

POLITICS SLIDESHOWS

Manning faces

WASHINGTON (Reuters) - The United States created jobs at the fastest pace in nine months in January and the unemployment rate unexpectedly dropped to a near three-year low, giving a boost to President Barack Obama.

Nonfarm payrolls jumped 243,000, the Labor Department said on Friday, as factory jobs grew by the most in a year. The jobless rate fell to 8.3 percent - the lowest since February 2009 - from 8.5 percent in December.

The gain in employment was the largest since April and it far outstripped the 150,000 predicted in a Reuters poll of economists. It hinted at underlying economic strength and lessened chances of further stimulus from the Federal Reserve.

"More pistons in the economic engine have begun to fire, pointing to accelerating economic growth. One of the happiest persons reading this job report is President Obama," said Sung Won Sohn, an economics professor at California State University Channel Islands.

The payroll gains were widespread - from retail to temporary help, and from construction to manufacturing - an indication the recovery was becoming more durable.

Multimedia

Private Rate

> Change in jobs, in thousands

Parallel Bitext

Genehmigung des Protokolls

Das Protokoll der Sitzung vom Donnerstag, den 28. März 1996 wurde verteilt.

Gibt es Einwände?

Die Punkte 3 und 4 widersprechen sich jetzt, obwohl es bei der Abstimmung anders aussah.

Das muß ich erst einmal klären, Frau Oomen-Ruijten. Approval of the minutes

The minutes of the sitting of Thursday, 28 March 1996 have been distributed.

Are there any comments?

Points 3 and 4 now contradict one another whereas the voting showed otherwise.

I will have to look into that, Mrs Oomen-Ruijten.

Koehn (2005): European Parliament corpus

Multilingual Topical Similarity

Abraham Lincoln

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

This article is about the American president. For other uses, see Abraham Lincoln (disambiguation).

Abraham Lincoln o¹/erbrehæm 'Inken/ (February 12, 1809 – April 15, 1865) was the 16th President of the United States, serving from March 1861 until his assassination in April 1865. He successfully led his country through a great constitutional, military and moral crisis – the American Civil War – preserving the Union, while ending slavery, and promoting economic and financial modernization. Reared in a poor family on the western frontier, Lincoln was mostly self-educated. He became a country lawyer, an Illinois state legislator, and a one-term member of the United States House of Representatives, but failed in two attempts to be elected to the United States Senate.

Abraham Lincoln

Abraham Lincoln ['eɪbrəhæm 'liŋkən] (* 12. Februar 1809 bei Hodgenville, Hardin County, heute: LaRue County, Kentucky; † 15. April 1865 in Washington, D.C.) amtierte von 1861 bis 1865 als 16. Präsident der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika. Er war der erste aus den Reihen der Republikanischen Partei und der erste, der einem Attentat zum Opfer fiel. 1860 gewählt, gelang ihm 1864 die Wiederwahl.

Seine Präsidentschaft gilt als eine der bedeutendsten in der Geschichte der Vereinigten Staaten: Die Wahl des Sklavereigegners veranlasste zunächst sieben, später weitere vier der sklavenhaltenden Südstaaten zur Sezession. Lincoln führte die verbliebenen Nordstaaten durch den daraus entstandenen Bürgerkrieg, setzte die Wiederherstellung der Union durch und betrieb erfolgreich die Abschaffung der Sklaverei in den Vereinigten Staaten. Unter seiner Regierung schlugen die USA den Weg zum zentral regierten, modernen Industriestaat ein und schufen so die Basis für ihren Aufstieg zur Weltmacht im 20. Jahrhundert.

• Bag of words, n-grams, etc.?

- Bag of words, n-grams, etc.?
 - Vocabulary mismatch within language:

- Bag of words, n-grams, etc.?
 - Vocabulary mismatch within language:
 - Jobless vs. unemployed

- Bag of words, n-grams, etc.?
 - Vocabulary mismatch within language:
 - Jobless vs. unemployed
 - What about between languages?

- Bag of words, n-grams, etc.?
 - Vocabulary mismatch within language:
 - Jobless vs. unemployed
 - What about between languages?
 - Translate everything into English?

- Bag of words, n-grams, etc.?
 - Vocabulary mismatch within language:
 - Jobless vs. unemployed
 - What about between languages?
 - Translate everything into English?
- Represent documents/passages as probability distributions over hidden "topics"

Plate Notation



Plate Notation





Modeling Text with Naive Bayes

- Let the text talk about T topics
- Each topic is a probability dist'n over all words
- For D documents each with N_D words:



Latent Dirichlet Allocation (Blei, Ng, Jordan 2003)

• Let the text talk about T topics



- Let the text talk about T topics
- Each topic is a probability dist'n over all words



- Let the text talk about T topics
- Each topic is a probability dist'n over all words
- For D documents each with N_D words:



- Let the text talk about T topics
- Each topic is a probability dist'n over all words
- For D documents each with N_D words:



- Let the text talk about T topics
- Each topic is a probability dist'n over all words
- For D documents each with N_D words:



- Let the text talk about T topics
- Each topic is a probability dist'n over all words
- For D documents each with N_D words:



- Let the text talk about T topics
- Each topic is a probability dist'n over all words
- For D documents each with N_D words:



- Let the text talk about T topics
- Each topic is a probability dist'n over all words
- For D documents each with N_D words:



- Let the text talk about T topics
- Each topic is a probability dist'n over all words
- For D documents each with N_D words:





Multinomials as Histograms



Dirichlet Priors on Histograms


Top Words by Topic

Topics \rightarrow

I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
DISEASE	WATER	MIND	STORY	FIELD	SCIENCE	BALL	JOB
BACTERIA	FISH	WORLD	STORIES	MAGNETIC	STUDY	GAME	WORK
DISEASES	SEA	DREAM	TELL	MAGNET	SCIENTISTS	TEAM	JOBS
GERMS	SWIM	DREAMS	CHARACTER	WIRE	SCIENTIFIC	FOOTBALL	CAREER
FEVER	SWIMMING	THOUGHT	CHARACTERS	NEEDLE	KNOWLEDGE	BASEBALL	EXPERIENCE
CAUSE	POOL	IMAGINATION	AUTHOR	CURRENT	WORK	PLAYERS	EMPLOYMENT
CAUSED	LIKE	MOMENT	READ	COIL	RESEARCH	PLAY	OPPORTUNITIES
SPREAD	SHELL	THOUGHTS	TOLD	POLES	CHEMISTRY	FIELD	WORKING
VIRUSES	SHARK	OWN	SETTING	IRON	TECHNOLOGY	PLAYER	TRAINING
INFECTION	TANK	REAL	TALES	COMPASS	MANY I	BASKETBALI	SKILLS
VIRUS	SHELLS	LIFE	PLOT	LINES	MATHEMATICS	COACH	CAREERS
MICROORGANISMS	SHARKS	IMAGINE	TELLING	CORE	BIOLOGY	PLAYED	POSITIONS
PERSON	DIVING	SENSE	SHORT	ELECTRIC	FIELD	PLAYING	FIND
INFECTIOUS	DOLPHINS	CONSCIOUSNESS	S FICTION	DIRECTION	PHYSICS	HIT	POSITION
COMMON	SWAM	STRANGE	ACTION	FORCE	LABORATORY	TENNIS	FIELD
CAUSING	LONG	FEELING	TRUE	MAGNETS	STUDIES	TEAMS	OCCUPATIONS
SMALLPOX	SEAL	WHOLE	EVENTS	BE	WORLD	GAMES	REQUIRE
BODY	DIVE	BEING	TELLS	MAGNETISM	SCIENTIST	SPORTS	OPPORTUNITY
INFECTIONS	DOLPHIN	MIGHT	TALE	POLE	STUDYING	BAT	EARN
CERTAIN	UNDERWATER	HOPE	NOVEL	INDUCED	SCIENCES	TERRY	ABLE

Griffiths et al.

Top Words by Topic

Topics \rightarrow

I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
DISEASE	WATER	MIND	STORY	FIELD	SCIENCE	BALL	JOB
BACTERIA	FISH	WORLD	STORIES	MAGNETIC	STUDY	GAME	WORK
DISEASES	SEA	DREAM	TELL	MAGNET	SCIENTISTS	TEAM	JOBS
GERMS	SWIM	DREAMS	CHARACTER	WIRE	SCIENTIFIC	FOOTBALL	CAREER
FEVER	SWIMMING	THOUGHT	CHARACTERS	NEEDLE	KNOWLEDGE	BASEBALL	EXPERIENCE
CAUSE		IMAGINATION	AUTHOR	CURRENT	WORK	PLAYERS	EMPLOYMENT
CAUSED	LIKE	MOMENT	READ	COIL	RESEARCH	PLAY	OPPORTUNITIES
SPREAD	SHELL	THOUGHTS	TOLD	POLES	CHEMISTRY	FIELD	WORKING
VIRUSES	SHARK	OWN	SETTING	IRON	TECHNOLOGY	PLAYER	TRAINING
INFECTION	TANK	REAL	TALES	COMPASS	MANY I	BASKETBALL	SKILLS
VIRUS	SHELLS	LIFE	PLOT	LINES	MATHEMATICS	COACH	CAREERS
MICROORGANISM	S SHARKS	IMAGINE	TELLING	CORE	BIOLOGY	PLAYED	POSITIONS
PERSON	DIVING	SENSE	SHORT	ELECTRIC	FIELD	PLAYING	FIND
INFECTIOUS	DOLPHINS	CONSCIOUSNES	S FICTION	DIRECTION	PHYSICS	HIT	POSITION
COMMON	SWAM	STRANGE	ACTION	FORCE	LABORATORY	TENNIS	FIELD
CAUSING	LONG	FEELING	TRUE	MAGNETS	STUDIES	TEAMS	OCCUPATIONS
SMALLPOX	SEAL	WHOLE	EVENTS	BE	WORLD	GAMES	REQUIRE
BODY	DIVE	BEING	TELLS	MAGNETISM	1 SCIENTIST	SPORTS	OPPORTUNITY
INFECTIONS	DOLPHIN	MIGHT	TALE	POLE	STUDYING	BAT	EARN
CERTAIN	UNDERWATER	HOPE	NOVEL	INDUCED	SCIENCES	TERRY	ABLE

Griffiths et al.

Hierarchical Document Models





Blazars are an intriguing class of active galactic nuclei (AGNs), dominated by non-thermal radiation over the entire electromagnetic spectrum. Their emission extends from radio to TeV energies with a broadband spectral energy distribution (SED) typically described by two main components, the first peaking from IR to X=ray energy range in which blazars are the most commonly detected extragalactic sources...

Rank Topic = 32		Topic = 48	Topic = 18	
1	spectral	measured	aperture	
2	amplification	uncertainties	measured	
3	isotropic	catalog	total	
4	dropout	matching	exposure	
5	competition	estimated	position	
6	caustic	respectively	ratio	
7	detected	final	selected	
8	antenna	cathode	color	
9 function		function total		
10	color	limit	objects	

We have presented the infrared characterization of a sample of blazars detected in the γ -ray. In order to perform our selection, we considered all the blazars in the ROMA-BZCAT catalog (Massaro et al. 2010) that are associated with a γ -ray source in the 2FGL (The Fermi-LAT Collaboration 2011). Then, we searched for infrared counterparts in the WISE archive adopting the same criteria described...

Rank	Topic = 20	Topic = 48	Topic = 90	
1	entanglement	measured	ferroelectric	
2	color	uncertainties	population	
3	distance	catalog	rational	
4	magnitude	matching	fraction	
5	accretion	estimated	starburst	
6	similar	respectively	shielding	
7	modulus	final	similar	
8	objects	cathode	emitting	
9	right	total	reputation	
10	parameters	limit	respectively	

Modeling Text with Topics

Latent Dirichlet Allocation (Blei, Ng, Jordan 2003)



Modeling Text with Topics

Latent Dirichlet Allocation (Blei, Ng, Jordan 2003)



Multiple languages?

Modeling Text with Topics

Latent Dirichlet Allocation (Blei, Ng, Jordan 2003)















Polylingual Topic Models (EMNLP 2009)



But...

- No phrase translations
- No distinction of parallel, comparable text
- No modeling of document features (e.g., length)

Parallel Bitext

Genehmigung des Protokolls

Das Protokoll der Sitzung vom Donnerstag, den 28. März 1996 wurde verteilt.

Gibt es Einwände?

Die Punkte 3 und 4 widersprechen sich jetzt, obwohl es bei der Abstimmung anders aussah.

Das muß ich erst einmal klären, Frau Oomen-Ruijten. Approval of the minutes

The minutes of the sitting of Thursday, 28 March 1996 have been distributed.

Are there any comments?

Points 3 and 4 now contradict one another whereas the voting showed otherwise.

I will have to look into that, Mrs Oomen-Ruijten.

Koehn (2005): European Parliament corpus

Example Europarl Topics

- DA centralbank europæiske ecb s lån centralbanks
- DE zentralbank ezb bank europäischen investitionsbank darlehen
- EL τράπεζα τράπεζας κεντρική εκτ κεντρικής τράπεζες
- EN bank central ecb banks european monetary
- ES banco central europeo bce bancos centrales
- FI keskuspankin ekp n euroopan keskuspankki eip
- FR banque centrale bce européenne banques monétaire
- IT banca centrale bce europea banche prestiti
- NL bank centrale ecb europese banken leningen
- PT banco central europeu bce bancos empréstimos
- SV centralbanken europeiska ecb centralbankens s lån

T = 400

Example Europarl Topics

- DA mål nå målsætninger målet målsætning opnå
- DE ziel ziele erreichen zielen erreicht zielsetzungen
- EL στόχους στόχο στόχος στόχων στόχοι επίτευξη
- EN objective objectives achieve aim ambitious set
- ES objetivo objetivos alcanzar conseguir lograr estos
- FI tavoite tavoitteet tavoitteena tavoitteiden tavoitteita tavoitteen
- FR objectif objectifs atteindre but cet ambitieux
- IT obiettivo obiettivi raggiungere degli scopo quello
- NL doelstellingen doel doelstelling bereiken bereikt doelen
- PT objectivo objectivos alcançar atingir ambicioso conseguir
- SV mål målet uppnå målen målsättningar målsättning

T = 400

Example Europarl Topics

- DA andre anden side ene andet øvrige
- DE anderen andere einen wie andererseits anderer
- EL άλλες άλλα άλλη άλλων άλλους όπως
- EN other one hand others another there
- ES otros otras otro otra parte demás
- FI muiden toisaalta muita muut muihin muun
- FR autres autre part côté ailleurs même
- IT altri altre altro altra dall parte
- NL andere anderzijds anderen ander als kant
- PT outros outras outro lado outra noutros
- SV andra sidan å annat ena annan



Multilingual Topical Similarity

Abraham Lincoln

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

This article is about the American president. For other uses, see Abraham Lincoln (disambiguation).

Abraham Lincoln o¹/erbrehæm 'Inken/ (February 12, 1809 – April 15, 1865) was the 16th President of the United States, serving from March 1861 until his assassination in April 1865. He successfully led his country through a great constitutional, military and moral crisis – the American Civil War – preserving the Union, while ending slavery, and promoting economic and financial modernization. Reared in a poor family on the western frontier, Lincoln was mostly self-educated. He became a country lawyer, an Illinois state legislator, and a one-term member of the United States House of Representatives, but failed in two attempts to be elected to the United States Senate.

Abraham Lincoln

Abraham Lincoln ['eɪbrəhæm 'liŋkən] (* 12. Februar 1809 bei Hodgenville, Hardin County, heute: LaRue County, Kentucky; † 15. April 1865 in Washington, D.C.) amtierte von 1861 bis 1865 als 16. Präsident der Vereinigten Staaten von Amerika. Er war der erste aus den Reihen der Republikanischen Partei und der erste, der einem Attentat zum Opfer fiel. 1860 gewählt, gelang ihm 1864 die Wiederwahl.

Seine Präsidentschaft gilt als eine der bedeutendsten in der Geschichte der Vereinigten Staaten: Die Wahl des Sklavereigegners veranlasste zunächst sieben, später weitere vier der sklavenhaltenden Südstaaten zur Sezession. Lincoln führte die verbliebenen Nordstaaten durch den daraus entstandenen Bürgerkrieg, setzte die Wiederherstellung der Union durch und betrieb erfolgreich die Abschaffung der Sklaverei in den Vereinigten Staaten. Unter seiner Regierung schlugen die USA den Weg zum zentral regierten, modernen Industriestaat ein und schufen so die Basis für ihren Aufstieg zur Weltmacht im 20. Jahrhundert.

Example Wikipedia Topics

- CY sadwrn blaned gallair at lloeren mytholeg
- DE space nasa sojus flug mission
- EL διαστημικό sts nasa αγγλ small
- EN space mission launch satellite nasa spacecraft
- فضایی ماموریت ناسا مدار فضانورد ماهواره FA
- FI sojuz nasa apollo ensimmäinen space lento
- FR spatiale mission orbite mars satellite spatial
- HE החלל הארץ חלל כדור א תוכנית
- IT spaziale missione programma space sojuz stazione
- PL misja kosmicznej stacji misji space nasa
- RU космический союз космического спутник станции
- TR uzay soyuz ay uzaya salyut sovyetler

T = 400

Example Wikipedia Topics

- CY sbaen madrid el la josé sbaeneg
- DE de spanischer spanischen spanien madrid la
- EL ισπανίας ισπανία de ισπανός ντε μαδρίτη
- EN de spanish spain la madrid y
- ترین de اسپانیا اسپانیایی کوبا مادرید FA
- FI espanja de espanjan madrid la real
- FR espagnol espagne madrid espagnole juan y
- HE ספרד ספרדית דה מדריד הספרדית קובה
- IT de spagna spagnolo spagnola madrid el
- PL de hiszpański hiszpanii la juan y
- RU де мадрид испании испания испанский de
- TR ispanya ispanyol madrid la küba real

Example Wikipedia Topics

- CY bardd gerddi iaith beirdd fardd gymraeg
- DE dichter schriftsteller literatur gedichte gedicht werk
- EL ποιητής ποίηση ποιητή έργο ποιητές ποιήματα
- EN poet poetry literature literary poems poem
- شاعر شعر ادبیات فارسی ادبی آثار FA
- FI runoilija kirjailija kirjallisuuden kirjoitti runo julkaisi
- FR poète écrivain littérature poésie littéraire ses
- משורר ספרות שירה סופר שירים המשורר HE
- IT poeta letteratura poesia opere versi poema
- PL poeta literatury poezji pisarz in jego
- RU поэт его писатель литературы поэзии драматург
- TR şair edebiyat şiir yazar edebiyatı adlı



world ski km won



world ski km won



actor role television actress



world ski km won





actor role television actress

ottoman empire khan byzantine

HE

Document Inference

Latent Dirichlet Allocation (LDA)



Polylingual Topic Model (PLTM)



Document Inference



Bootstrapping Translation Detection and Sentence Extraction

Extracted English-Spanish news stories from the Gigaword collection using PLTM trained on OCD output:

EN: WASHINGTON, URGENT: Treasury chief defends dollar as world reserve currency. US Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner said Wednesday that "the dollar remains the world's standard reserve currency", following China's call for a new global currency as an alternative to the greenback.

He(EN,ES)=0.055

ES: WASHINGTON, URGENTE: Washington quiere que el dólar se mantenga como la principal divisa de reserve. El secretario del Tesoro estadou-nidense Timothy Geithner declaró este miércoles que el dólar se mantiene como la principal moneda mundial de reserva y que Estados Unidos bregará porque se mantenga como tal.

He(EN,ES)=0.086

ES: Washington: EEUU quiere que el dólar se mantenga como la principal divisa de reserva. El secretario del Tesoro estadounidense Timothy Geithner declaró este miércoles que el dólar se mantiene como la principal moneda mundial de reserva y que Estados Unidos bregará porque se mantenga como tal. "Pienso que el dólar sigue siendo la moneda de reserva de referencia y pienso que debería continuar siéndolo durante largo tiempo", declaró Geithner ante el Consejo de Relaciones Exteriores en Nueva York. "Como país haremos lo necesario para conservar la confianza en nuestros mercados financieros" y en nuestra economía, agregó.

He(EN,ES)=0.153

ES: BUENOS AIRES: Peso argentino estable a 3,70 por dólar. La moneda argentina se mantuvo estable este miércoles a 3,70 pesos por dólar, según el promedio de bancos y casas de cambio. El Banco Central viene interviniendo en el mercado para administrar una devaluación gradual de la moneda con respecto al dólar estadounidense.

He(EN,ES)=0.172

ES: WASHINGTON: Obama defiende derecho a la expansión de la OTAN. El presidente estadou-nidense Barack Obama dijo este miércoles que Estados Unidos quería "reiniciar" las relaciones con Rusia pero añadió que la OTAN debería de todos modos estar abierta a los países que aspiren a unirse a esa alianza. "Mi gobierno busca reiniciar las relaciones con Rusia", dijo Obama al cabo de una reunión en la Casa Blanca con el secretario general de la OTAN, Jaap de Hoop Scheffer. Pero dijo que los renovados vínculos con Moscú deben ser "consistentes con la membresía de la OTAN y consistentes con la necesidad de enviar una clara señal en Europa de que vamos a atenernos (...)

Training MT from Comparable Corpora

- MT system performance parallel vs. extracted sentences
 - Parallel collection: News Commentary(all) & Europarl(all)
 - Extracted Sentences: Gigaword (4 years)

	Collect	tion Size	Test Set		
Training Source	Parallel	Extracted	News (WMT'11)	Europarl (WMT'09)	
News Commentary (NC)	131K	0	23.75	25.43	
Europarl (EU)	1.75M	0	23.91	32.06	
Gigaword Extracted (GE)	0	926K	24.25	23.88	
NC+GE	131K	926K	24.92	25.61	
EU+GE	1.75M	926K	25.90	31.59	

Krstovski, 2016

Bilingual Embeddings



Figure 2: Forms of supervision required by the four models compared in this paper. From left to right, the cost of the supervision required varies from expensive (BiSkip) to cheap (BiVCD). BiSkip requires a parallel corpus annotated with word alignments (Fig. 2a), BiCVM requires a sentence-aligned corpus (Fig. 2b), BiCCA only requires a bilingual lexicon (Fig. 2c) and BiVCD requires comparable documents (Fig. 2d).

Upadhyay et al. (2016)

Bilingual Embeddings



Search

What's the best translation (under our model)?

Search

 Even if we know the right words in a translation, there are n! permutations.

10! = 3,626,800 $20! \approx 2.43 \times 10^{18}$ $30! \approx 2.65 \times 10^{32}$

 We want the translation that gets the highest score under our model

–Or the best k translations

- -Or a random sample from the model's distribution
- But **not** in *n*! time!

Search in Phrase Models

Deshalb haben wir allen Grund , die Umwelt in die Agrarpolitik zu integrieren

Translate in target language order to ease language modeling.

Search in Phrase Models



Translate in target language order to ease language modeling.

Search in Phrase Models



Translate in target language order to ease language modeling.












One segmentation out of 4096 Deshalb die haben wir allen Grund die Umwelt in Agrarpolitik integrieren ZU • That is why we have agricultural policy the environment integrate the every reason to in





Deshalb	haben	wir	allen	Grund	,	die	Umwelt	in	die	Agrarpolitik	zu	integrieren
that is v	why we have		every	reason		the e	nvironment	in	the	agricultural policy	to	integrate
therefore	have	we	eve	ery reason		the	environment	in	the	agricultural policy		to integrate
that is why	we ha	ive	all	reason	,	which	environment	in	aį	gricultural policy		parliament
have the	refore	us	all the	reason		of the	environment	into	the	agricultural policy	suco	cessfully integrated
henc	e	, we	every	reason	to n	nake	environmental	on		the cap	be	e woven together
we ha	ve therefore		everyone	grounds fo	or tal	king the	the environment	to	o the	agricultural policy is	on	parliament
SO	, we	9	all of	cause	,	which	environment,	to		the cap ,	for	incorporated
he	ence our		any	why		that	outside	at	aį	gricultural policy	too	woven together
therefo	pre ,	it	of all	reason for		, the	completion	into	that	agricultural policy	be	

Deshalb	haben	wir	allen	Grund	,	die	Umwelt	in	die	Agrarpolitik	zu	integrieren
that is	why we have		every	reason		the e	nvironment	in	the 、	agricultural policy	to	integrate
therefore	have	we [eve	ery reason		the	environment) in	the	agricultural policy		to integrate
that is why	we ha	ive	all	reason	,	which	environment	in	aç	gricultural policy		parliament
have the	refore	us	all the	reason		of the	environment	into	the	agricultural policy	suco	cessfully integrated
henc	e	, we	every	reason	to n	nake	environmental	on		the cap	be	e woven together
we ha	ve therefore		everyone	grounds fo	or tal	king the	the environment	to	the	agricultural policy is	on	parliament
SO	, we	9	all of	cause		which	environment,	to		the cap ,	for	incorporated
he	ence our		any	why		that	outside	at	aç	gricultural policy	too	woven together
therefo	pre,	it	of all	reason for		, the	completion	into	that	agricultural policy	be	

Deshalb	haben	wir	allen	Grund	,	die	Umwelt	in	die	Agrarpolitik	zu	integrieren
that is v	why we have		every	reason		the e	nvironment	in	the	agricultural policy	to	integrate
therefore	have	we	eve	ery reason		the	environment	in	the	agricultural policy		to integrate
that is why	we ha	ive	all	reason	,	which	environment	in	aį	gricultural policy		parliament
have the	refore	us	all the	reason		of the	environment	into	the	agricultural policy	suco	cessfully integrated
henc	e	, we	every	reason	to n	nake	environmental	on		the cap	be	e woven together
we ha	ve therefore		everyone	grounds fo	or tal	king the	the environment	to	o the	agricultural policy is	on	parliament
SO	, we	9	all of	cause	,	which	environment,	to		the cap ,	for	incorporated
he	ence our		any	why		that	outside	at	aį	gricultural policy	too	woven together
therefo	pre ,	it	of all	reason for		, the	completion	into	that	agricultural policy	be	

Deshalb	haben	wir	allen	Grund	,	die	Umwelt	in	die	Agrarpolitik	zu	integrieren
that is v	why we have		every	reason		the er	nvironment	in	the	agricultural policy	to	integrate
therefore	have	we	eve	ery reason		the	environment	in	the	agricultural policy		to integrate
that is why	we ha	ive	all	reason	,	which	environment	in	aį	gricultural policy		parliament
have the	refore	us	all the	reason		of the	environment	into	the	agricultural policy	SUCO	cessfully integrated
henc	e	, we	every	reason	to n	nake	environmental	on		the cap	be	e woven together
we ha	ve therefore		everyone	grounds fo	or tal	king the	the environment	to	the	agricultural policy is	Von	parliament
SO	, W6	9	all of	cause		which	environment,	to		the cap ,	for	incorporated
he	ence our		any	why		that	outside	at	aį	gricultural policy	too	woven together
therefo	pre,	it	of all	reason for		, the	completion	into	that	agricultural policy	be	

Deshalb	haben	wir	allen	Grund	,	die	Umwelt	in	die	Agrarpolitik	zu	integrieren
that is v	why we have		every	reason		the e	nvironment	in	the	agricultural policy	to	integrate
therefore	have	we	eve	ery reason		the	environment	in	the	agricultural policy		to integrate
that is why	we ha	ive	all	reason	,	which	environment	in	aį	gricultural policy		parliament
have the	refore	us	all the	reason		of the	environment	into	the	agricultural policy	suco	cessfully integrated
henc	e	, we	every	reason	to n	nake	environmental	on		the cap	be	e woven together
we ha	ve therefore		everyone	grounds fo	or tal	king the	the environment	to	o the	agricultural policy is	on	parliament
SO	, we	9	all of	cause	,	which	environment,	to		the cap ,	for	incorporated
he	ence our		any	why		that	outside	at	aį	gricultural policy	too	woven together
therefo	pre ,	it	of all	reason for		, the	completion	into	that	agricultural policy	be	

		h	e	n	С	e)		

		h	e	n	С	e	ļ		

		V	Ve	<u>e</u>			

	h	e	n	С	e	,		

		۷	Ve	Э			

	have								

	h	e	n	С	e)		

		V	Ve	Э			

	h	a١	/6	Э		



	h	e	n	С	e	,		

		V	Ve	Э			





the												

Deshalb haben wir allen Grund , die Umwelt in die Agrarpolitik zu integrieren

hence	hence we
we	
have	

		tl	h	e			

in

Deshalb haben wir allen Grund , die Umwelt in die Agrarpolitik zu integrieren

hence	hence we
	→
we	we have

have											



the												

hence	hence we
	*
We	we have

have	we have

		i	n			

		tl	n	е			

Deshalb

haben wir allen Grund , die Umwelt in die Agrarpolitik zu

integrieren

hence	hence we
we	we have





		tl	n	e			

1	W	е	h	a١	/e	t	he	er	ef	0	re	ļ

Deshalb haben wir allen

Grund , die Umwelt in die Agrarpolitik zu

oolitik zu integrieren







		tl	n	е			

W	е	h	a١	/e	t	he	er	ef	0	re	;

\	N	е	h	a١	/e	t	he	er	ef	0	re	;





- Many ways of segmenting source
- Many ways of translating each segment
- Restrict model class: phrases >, e.g., 7 words, no long-distance reordering
- Recombine equivalent hypotheses
- Prune away unpromising partial translations or we'll run out of space and/or run too long
 - -How to compare partial translations?
 - -Some start with easy stuff: "in", "das", ...
 - -Some with hard stuff: "Agrarpolitik", "Entscheidungsproblem", ...

Different paths to the same partial translation



Different paths to the same partial translation

School of

- Combine paths
 - -Drop weaker path
 - –Keep backpointer to weaker path (for lattice or nbest generation)



- Recombined hypotheses do not have to match completely
- Weaker path can be dropped if
 - –Last n target words match (for n+1-gram lang. model)
 - -Source coverage vectors match (same best future)



Combining partially matching hypotheses





Pruning

• Hypothesis recombination is *not su cient*

Heuristically *discard* weak hypotheses early

- Organize Hypothesis in stacks, e.g. by
 - *same* foreign words covered
 - *same number* of foreign words covered
 - *same number* of English words produced
- Compare hypotheses in stacks, discard bad ones
 - histogram pruning: keep top n hypotheses in each stack (e.g., n=100)
 - threshold pruning: keep hypotheses that are at most times the cost of best hypothesis in stack (e.g., = 0.001)

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS



- Search graph can be easily converted into a word lattice
 - can be further mined for n-best lists enables reranking approaches enables discriminative training



Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Hypothesis Stacks



- Organization of hypothesis into stacks
 - here: based on *number of foreign words* translated
 - during translation all hypotheses from one stack are expanded
 - expanded Hypotheses are placed into stacks

Philipp Koehn

JHU SS

Limits on Reordering

- Reordering may be limited
 - Monotone Translation: No reordering at all
 - Only phrase movements of at most *n* words
- Reordering limits *speed* up search (polynomial instead of exponential)
- Current reordering models are weak, so limits *improve* translation quality

Phili	iрр	Koehn

JHU SS
Comparing Hypotheses

• Comparing hypotheses with *same number of foreign words* covered



 Hypothesis that covers *easy part* of sentence is preferred Need to consider future cost of uncovered parts or: have one hypothesis stack per coverage vector

Phil	ipp	Koehn	

JHU SS

6 July 2006

Synchronous Grammars

- Just like monolingual grammars except...
 - -Each rule involves pairs (tuples) of nonterminals
 - -Tuples of elementary trees for TAG, etc.
- First proposed for source-source translation in compilers
- Can be constituency, dependency, lexicalized, etc.
- Parsing speedups for monolingual grammar don't necessarily work

-E.g., no split-head trick for lexicalized parsing

Binarization less straightforward



	póll'	oîd'	alốpēx
the			
fox			NN/NN
knows		VB/VB	
many	JJ/JJ		
things			

A variant of CKY chart parsing.



	póll'	oîd'	alốpēx
the			NP/NP
fox			
knows		VP/VP	
many	NP/NP		
things			



	póll'	oîd'	alốpēx
the			
fox			
knows	VP/VP		
many			
things			



	póll'	oîd'	alốpēx
the			
fox			
knows		S/S	
many			
things			

MT as Parsing

- If we only have the source, parse it while recording all compatible target language trees.
- Runtime is also multiplied by a grammar constant: one string could be a noun and a verb phrase
- Continuing problem of multiple hidden configurations (trees, instead of phrases) for one translation.

Parsing as Deduction

$\forall A, B, C \in N, W \in V, 0 \le i, j, k \le m$

 $constit(B, i, j) \land constit(C, j, k) \land A \rightarrow BC \Rightarrow constit(A, i, k)$

$$word(W,i) \land A \to W \Rightarrow constit(A,i,i+1)$$

$$constit(A, i, k) = \bigvee_{B,C,j} constit(B, i, j) \wedge constit(C, j, k) \wedge A \to B C$$
$$constit(A, i, j) = \bigvee_{W} word(W, i, j) \wedge A \to W$$

Parsing as Deduction

 $constit(A, i, k) = \bigvee_{B,C,j} constit(B, i, j) \wedge constit(C, j, k) \wedge A \to B C$ $constit(A, i, j) = \bigvee_{W} word(W, i, j) \wedge A \to W$

 $score(constit(A, i, k)) = \max_{B,C,j} \ score(constit(B, i, j)) \\ \cdot \ score(constit(C, j, k)) \\ \cdot \ score(A \to B \ C) \\ score(constit(A, i, j)) = \max_{W} \ score(word(W, i, j)) \cdot score(A \to W)$

And how about the inside algorithm?

Bilingual Parsing: ITG

 $s(X, i, k, u, w) = \bigvee_{j, v, Y, Z} s(Y, i, j, u, v) \land s(Z, j, k, v, w) \land [X \to Y Z]$

 $s(X, i, k, u, w) = \bigvee_{j, v, Y, Z} s(Y, i, j, v, w) \land s(Z, j, k, u, v) \land \langle X \to Y Z \rangle$

$$\begin{split} s(X,i,j,u,v) &= w(S,i,j) \wedge w(T,u,v) \wedge X \to S/T \\ s(X,i,j,u,u) &= w(S,i,j) \wedge X \to S/\epsilon \\ s(X,i,i,u,v) &= w(T,u,v) \wedge X \to \epsilon/T \end{split}$$

Similar extensions for finding the best alignment or the expectations of particular alignments

What Makes Search Hard?

- What we really want: the best (highest-scoring) translation
- What we get: the best translation/phrase segmentation/alignment
 - Even summing over all ways of segmenting one translation is hard.
- Most common approaches:
 - -Ignore problem
 - –Sum over top *j* translation/segmentation/alignment triples to get top *k*<<j translations</p>

Redundancy in *n*-best Lists

Source: Da ich wenig Zeit habe, gehe ich sofort in medias res.

as i have little time, i am immediately in medias res. | 0-1,0-1 2-2,4-4 3-4,2-3 5-5,5-5 6-7,6-7 8-8,8-8 9-9,9-9 10-10,10-10 11-11,11-11 12-12,12-12 as i have little time, i am immediately in medias res. | 0-0,0-0 1-1,1-1 2-2,4-4 3-4,2-3 5-5,5-5 6-7,6-7 8-8,8-8 9-9,9-9 10-10,10-10 11-11,11-11 12-12,12-12 as i have little time, i am in medias res immediately. | 0-1,0-1 2-2,4-4 3-4,2-3 5-5,5-5 6-7,6-7 8-8,9-9 9-9,10-10 10-10,11-11 11-11,8-8 12-12,12-12 as i have little time, i am in medias res immediately. | 0-0,0-0 1-1,1-1 2-2,4-4 3-4,2-3 5-5,5-5 6-7,6-7 8-8,9-9 9-9,10-10 10-10,11-11 11-11,8-8 12-12,12-12 as i have little time, i am immediately in medias res. | 0-1,0-1 2-2,4-4 3-3,2-2 4-4,3-3 5-5,5-5 6-7,6-7 8-8,8-8 9-9,9-9 10-10,10-10 11-11,11-11 12-12,12-12 as i have little time , i am immediately in medias res . | 0-0.0-0 1-1.1-1 2-2.4-4 3-3.2-2 4-4.3-3 5-5.5-5 6-7.6-7 8-8.8-8 9-9.9-9 10-10.10-10 11-11.11-11 12-12.12-12 as i have little time, i am in medias res immediately. | 0-1,0-1 2-2,4-4 3-3,2-2 4-4,3-3 5-5,5-5 6-7,6-7 8-8,9-9 9-9,10-10 10-10,11-11 11-11,8-8 12-12,12-12 as i have little time, i am in medias res immediately. 0-0.0-0 1-1.1-1 2-2.4-4 3-3.2-2 4-4.3-3 5-5.5-5 6-7.6-7 8-8.9-9 9-9.10-10 10-10.11-11 11-11.8-8 12-12,12-12 as i have little time, i am immediately in medias res. | 0-1,0-1 2-2,4-4 3-4,2-3 5-5,5-5 6-6,7-7 7-7,6-6 8-8,8-8 9-9,9-9 10-10,10-10 11-11,11-11 12-12,12-12 as i have little time, i am immediately in medias res. | 0-0.0-0 1-1.1-1 2-2.4-4 3-4.2-3 5-5.5-5 6-6.7-7 7-7.6-6 8-8.8-8 9-9.9-9 10-10.10-10 11-11.11-11 12-12,12-12 as i have little time i would immediately in medias res. | 0-1,0-1 2-2,4-4 3-4,2-3 5-5,5-5 6-6,7-7 7-7,6-6 8-8,8-8 9-9,9-9 10-10,10-10 11-11,11-11 12-12,12-12 because i have little time, i am immediately in medias res. | 0-0.0-0 1-1.1-1 2-2.4-4 3-4.2-3 5-5.5-5 6-7.6-7 8-8.8-8 9-9.9-9 10-10.10-10 11-11.11-11 12-12.12-12 as i have little time, i am immediately in medias res. | 0-1,0-1 2-2,4-4 3-3,2-2 4-4,3-3 5-5,5-5 6-6,7-7 7-7,6-6 8-8,8-8 9-9,9-9 10-10,10-10 11-11,11-11 12-12,12-12 as i have little time, i am immediately in medias res. | 0-0,0-0 1-1,1-1 2-2,4-4 3-3,2-2 4-4,3-3 5-5,5-5 6-6,7-7 7-7,6-6 8-8,8-8 9-9,9-9 10-10,10-10 11-11,11-11 12-12.12-12 as i have little time, i am in res medias immediately. | 0-1,0-1 2-2,4-4 3-4,2-3 5-5,5-5 6-7,6-7 8-8,9-9 9-9,11-11 10-10,10-10 11-11,8-8 12-12,12-12 because i have little time, i am immediately in medias res. | 0-1,0-1 2-2,4-4 3-4,2-3 5-5,5-5 6-7,6-7 8-8,8-8 9-9,9-9 10-10,10-10 11-11,11-11 12-12,12-12

as i have little time, i am in res medias immediately. | 0-0,0-0 1-1,1-1 2-2,4-4 3-4,2-3 5-5,5-5 6-7,6-7 8-8,9-9 9-9,11-11 10-10,10-10 11-11,8-8 12-12,12-12

Training

Which features of data predict good translations?

Training: Generative/Discriminative

Generative

- -Maximum likelihood training: max p(data)
- -"Count and normalize"
- -Maximum likelihood with hidden structure
 - Expectation Maximization (EM)
- Discriminative training
 - -Maximum conditional likelihood
 - -Minimum error/risk training
 - -Other criteria: perceptron and max. margin

"Count and Normalize"

 Language modeling example: assume the probability of a word depends only on the previous 2 words.

 $p(\text{disease} | \text{into the}) = \frac{p(\text{into the disease})}{p(\text{into the})}$

- p(disease|into the) = 3/20 = 0.15
- "Smoothing" reflects a prior belief that p(breech|into the) > 0 despite these 20 examples.

- ... into the programme ...
- ... into the **disease** ...
- ... into the **disease** ...
- ... into the correct ...
- ... into the next ...
- ... into the national ...
- ... into the integration ...
- ... into the Union ...
- ... into the Union ...
- ... into the Union ...
- ... into the sort ...
- ... into the internal ...
- ... into the general ...
- ... into the budget ...
- ... into the disease ...
- ... into the legal ...
- ... into the various ...
- ... into the nuclear ...
- ... into the bargain ...
- ... into the situation ...

Phrase Models



Assume word alignments are given.



Some good phrase pairs.

Phrase Models



Some bad phrase pairs.

"Count and Normalize"

 Usual approach: treat relative frequencies of source phrase s and target phrase t as probabilities

$$p(s \mid t) \equiv \frac{count(s,t)}{count(t)} \qquad p(t \mid s) \equiv \frac{count(s,t)}{count(s)}$$

This leads to overcounting when not all segmentations are legal due to unaligned words.

Hidden Structure

- But really, we don't observe word alignments.
- How are word alignment model parameters estimated?
- Find (all) structures consistent with observed data.
 - -Some links are incompatible with others.
 - –We need to score complete sets of links.

Hidden Structure and EM

- Expectation Maximization
 - Initialize model parameters (randomly, by some simpler model, or otherwise)
 - -Calculate probabilities of hidden structures
 - Adjust parameters to maximize likelihood of observed data given hidden data
 - -Iterate
- Summing over all hidden structures can be expensive
 - -Sum over 1-best, *k*-best, other sampling methods

Discriminative Training

- Given a source sentence, give "good" translations a higher score than "bad" translations.
- We care about good translations, not a high probability of the training data.
- Spend less "energy" modeling bad translations.
- Disadvantages
 - -We need to run the translation system at each training step.
 - -System is tuned for one task (e.g. translation) and can't be directly used for others (e.g. alignment)

"Good" Compared to What?

- Compare current translation to
- Idea #1: a human translation. OK, but

 Good translations can be very dissimilar
 We'd need to find hidden features (e.g. alignments)
- Idea #2: other top *n* translations (the "n-best list").
 Better in practice, but

–Many entries in n-best list are the same apart from hidden links

- Compare with a loss function L
 - -0/1: wrong or right; equal to reference or not
 - -Task-specific metrics (word error rate, BLEU, ...)

MT Evaluation

* Intrinsic

Human evaluation

Automatic (machine) evaluation

* Extrinsic

How useful is MT system output for...

Deciding whether a foreign language blog is about politics? Cross-language information retrieval? Flagging news stories about terrorist attacks?

Human Evaluation

Je suis fatigué.

	Adequacy	Fluency
Tired is I.	5	2
Cookies taste good!	1	5
I am exhausted.	5	5

Human Evaluation

PRO

High quality

CON

Expensive!

Person (preferably bilingual) must make a time-consuming judgment per system hypothesis.

Expense prohibits frequent evaluation of incremental system modifications.

Automatic Evaluation

PRO

Cheap. Given available reference translations, free thereafter.

CON

We can only measure some proxy for translation quality. (Such as N-Gram overlap or edit distance).

Output of Chinese-English system

In the First Two Months Guangdong's Export of High-Tech Products 3.76 Billion US Dollars

Xinhua News Agency, Guangzhou, March 16 (Reporter Chen Jizhong) - The latest statistics show that between January and February this year, Guangdong's export of high-tech products 3.76 billion US dollars, with a growth of 34.8% and accounted for the province's total export value of 25.5%. The export of high-tech products bright spots frequently now, the Guangdong provincial foreign trade and economic growth has made important contributions. Last year, Guangdong's export of high-tech products 22.294 billion US dollars, with a growth of 31 percent, an increase higher than the province's total export growth rate of 27.2 percent; exports of high-tech products net increase 5.270 billion us dollars, up for the traditional labor-intensive products as a result of prices to drop from the value of domestic exports decreased.

In the Suicide explosion in Jerusalem

Xinhua News Agency, Jerusalem, March 17 (Reporter bell tsui flower nie Xiaoyang) - A man on the afternoon of 17 in Jerusalem in the northern part of the residents of rammed a bus near ignition of carry bomb, the wrongdoers in red-handed was killed and another nine people were slightly injured and sent to hospital for medical treatment.

Partially excellent translations

In the First Two Months Guangdong's Export of High-Tech Products 3.76 Billion US Dollars

Xinhua News Agency, Guangzhou, March 16 (Reporter Chen Jizhong) - The latest statistics show that between January and February this year, Guangdong's export of high-tech products 3.76 billion US dollars, with a growth of 34.8% and accounted for the province's total export value of 25.5%. The export of high-tech products bright spots frequently now, the Guangdong provincial foreign trade and economic growth has made important contributions. Last year, Guangdong's export of high-tech products 22.294 billion US dollars, with a growth of 31 percent, an increase higher than the province's total export growth rate of 27.2 percent; exports of high-tech products net increase 5.270 billion US dollars, up for the traditional labor-intensive products as a result of prices to drop from the value of domestic exports decreased.

In the Suicide explosion in Jerusalem

Xinhua News Agency, Jerusalem, March 17 (Reporter bell tsui flower nie Xiaoyang) - A man on the afternoon of 17 in Jerusalem in the northern part of the residents of rammed a bus near ignition of carry bomb, the wrongdoers in red-handed was killed and another nine people were slightly injured and sent to hospital for medical treatment.

Mangled grammar

In the First Two Months Guangdong's Export of High-Tech Products 3.76 Billion US Dollars

Xinhua News Agency, Guangzhou, March 16 (Reporter Chen Jizhong) - The latest statistics show that between January and February this year, Guangdong's export of high-tech products 3.76 billion US dollars, with a growth of 34.8% and accounted for the province's total export value of 25.5%. The export of high-tech products bright spots frequently now, the Guangdong provincial foreign trade and economic growth has made important contributions. Last year, Guangdong's export of high-tech products 22.294 billion US dollars, with a growth of 31 percent, an increase higher than the province's total export growth rate of 27.2 percent; exports of high-tech products net increase 5.270 billion us dollars, up for the traditional labor-intensive products as a result of prices to drop from the value of domestic exports decreased.

In the Suicide explosion in Jerusalem

Xinhua News Agency, Jerusalem, March 17 (Reporter bell tsui flower nie Xiaoyang) - A man on the afternoon of 17 in Jerusalem in the northern part of the residents of rammed a bus near ignition of carry bomb, the wrongdoers in red-handed was killed and another nine people were slightly injured and sent to hospital for medical treatment.

Evaluation of Machine Translation Systems

Bleu (Papineni, Roukos, Ward and Zhu, 2002):

Candidate 1: It is a guide to action which ensures that the military always obeys the commands of the party.

Candidate 2: It is to insure the troops forever hearing the activity guidebook that party direct.

Reference 1: It is a guide to action that ensures that the military will forever heed Party commands.

Reference 2: It is the guiding principle which guarantees the military forces always being under the command of the Party.

Reference 3: It is the practical guide for the army always to heed the directions of the party.

Unigram Precision

• Unigram Precision of a candidate translation:

```
\frac{C}{N}
```

where N is number of words in the candidate, C is the number of words in the candidate which are in at least one reference translation.

e.g.,

Candidate 1: It is a guide to action which ensures that the military always obeys the commands of the party.

$$Precision = \frac{17}{18}$$

(only *obeys* is missing from all reference translations)

Modified Unigram Precision

• Problem with unigram precision:

Candidate: the the the the the the

Reference 1: the cat sat on the mat

Reference 2: there is a cat on the mat

precision = 7/7 = 1???

• Modified unigram precision: "Clipping"

- Each word has a "cap". e.g., cap(the) = 2
- A candidate word w can only be correct a maximum of cap(w) times. e.g., in candidate above, cap(the) = 2, and the is correct twice in the candidate \Rightarrow

$$Precision = \frac{2}{7}$$

Modified N-gram Precision

- Can generalize modified unigram precision to other n-grams.
- For example, for candidates 1 and 2 above:

$$Precision_1(bigram) = \frac{10}{17}$$
$$Precision_2(bigram) = \frac{1}{13}$$

Precision Alone Isn't Enough

Candidate 1: of the

Reference 1: It is a guide to action that ensures that the military will forever heed Party commands.

Reference 2: It is the guiding principle which guarantees the military forces always being under the command of the Party.

Reference 3: It is the practical guide for the army always to heed the directions of the party.

Precision(unigram) = 1

Precision(bigram) = 1

But Recall isn't Useful in this Case

• Standard measure used in addition to precision is recall:

$$Recall = \frac{C}{N}$$

where C is number of n-grams in candidate that are correct, N is number of words in the references.

Candidate 1: I always invariably perpetually do.

Candidate 2: I always do

Reference 1: I always do

Reference 1: I invariably do

Reference 1: I perpetually do
Sentence Brevity Penalty

- Step 1: for each candidate, compute closest matching reference (in terms of length) e.g., our candidate is length 12, references are length 12, 15, 17. Best match is of length 12.
- Step 2: Say l_i is the length of the *i*'th candidate, r_i is length of best match for the *i*'th candidate, then compute

$$brevity = \frac{\sum_{i} r_i}{\sum_{i} l_i}$$

(I think! from the Papineni paper, although $brevity = \frac{\sum_{i} r_i}{\sum_{i} min(l_i, r_i)}$ might make more sense?)

• Step 3: compute brevity penalty

$$BP = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{If } brevity < 1\\ e^{1-brevity} & \text{If } brevity \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

e.g., if $r_i = 1.1 \times l_i$ for all *i* (candidates are always 10% too short) then $BP = e^{-0.1} = 0.905$

The Final Score

• Corpus precision for any n-gram is

$$p_n = \frac{\sum_{C \in \{Candidate\}} \sum_{ngram \in C} Count_{clip}(ngram)}{\sum_{C \in \{Candidate\}} \sum_{ngram \in C} Count(ngram)}$$

i.e. number of correct ngrams in the candidates (after "clipping") divided by total number of ngrams in the candidates

• Final score is then

$$Bleu = BP \times (p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4)^{1/4}$$

i.e., *BP* multiplied by the geometric mean of the unigram, bigram, trigram, and four-gram precisions

Automatic Evaluation: Bleu Score

hypothesis 1 I am exhausted

hypothesis 2 Tired is I

reference 1 I am tired

reference 2 I am ready to sleep now

Automatic Evaluation: Bleu Score

		1-gram	2-gram	3-gram
hypothesis 1	I am exhausted	3/3	1/2	0/1
hypothesis 2	Tired is I	1/3	0/2	0/1
hypothesis 3		1/3	0/2	0/1
reference 1	l am tired			
reference 2	I am ready to slee	ep now a	nd so e	xhausted

How Good are Automatic Metrics?



Human Judgments

slide from G. Doddington (NIST)



Correlation? [Callison-Burch et al., 2006]



• DARPA/NIST MT Eval 2005

- [from Callison-Burch et al., 2006, EACL]
- Mostly statistical systems (all but one in graphs)
- One submission manual post-edit of statistical system's output
- \rightarrow Good adequacy/fluency scores *not reflected* by BLEU



Correlation? [Callison-Burch et al., 2006]



• Comparison of

[from Callison-Burch et al., 2006, EACL]

- good statistical system: high BLEU, high adequacy/fluency
- *bad statistical* sys. (trained on less data): low BLEU, low adequacy/fluency
- Systran: lowest BLEU score, but high adequacy/fluency

How Good are Automatic Metrics?

- Do n-gram methods like BLEU overly favor certain types of systems?
- Automatic metrics still useful
- During development of one system, a better BLEU indicates a better system
- Evaluating different systems has to depend on human judgement
- What are some other evaluation ideas?

Minimizing Error/Maximizing Bleu

- Adjust parameters to minimize error (*L*) when translating a training set
- Error as a function of parameters is
 - *nonconvex*: not guaranteed to find optimum
 - *piecewise constant*: slight changes in parameters might not change the output.
- Usual method: optimize one parameter at a time with linear programming



Generative/Discriminative Reunion

- Generative models can be cheap to train: "count and normalize" when nothing's hidden.
- Discriminative models focus on problem: "get better translations".
- Popular combination
 - Estimate several generative translation and language models using relative frequencies.
 - -Find their optimal (log-linear) combination using discriminative techniques.

Generative/Discriminative Reunion

Score each hypothesis with several generative models:

$$\theta_1 p_{phrase}(\bar{s} | \bar{t}) + \theta_2 p_{phrase}(\bar{t} | \bar{s}) + \theta_3 p_{lexical}(s | t) + \mathbf{L} + \theta_7 p_{LM}(\bar{t}) + \theta_8 \# \text{words} + \mathbf{L}$$

If necessary, renormalize into a probability distribution:

$$Z = \sum_{k} \exp(\mathbf{\dot{e}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{k})$$

Unnecessary if thetas sum to 1 and p's are all probabilities.

where k ranges over all hypotheses. We then have

$$p(t_i \mid s) = \frac{1}{Z} \exp(\mathbf{\dot{e}} \cdot \mathbf{f})$$

for any given hypothesis *i*.

Exponentiation makes it positive.

Minimizing Risk

Instead of the error of the 1-best translation, compute **expected error** (risk) using *k*-best translations; this makes the function differentiable.

Smooth probability estimates using gamma to even out local bumpiness. Gradually increase gamma to approach the 1-best error.

 $\Gamma T (\dots)]$



$$\mathbf{E}_{p_{\gamma,\mathbf{\hat{e}}}}[L(S,t)]$$

$$p_{\gamma,\theta}(t_i | s_i) = \frac{[\exp \mathbf{\hat{e}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_i]^{\gamma}}{\sum_{k'} [\exp \mathbf{\hat{e}} \cdot \mathbf{f}_{k'}]^{\gamma}}$$

Encoder-Decoder Models

(cf. Socher & Manning 2016)

Language Models

A language model computes a probability for a sequence of words: $P(w_1, \ldots, w_T)$

- Useful for machine translation
 - Word ordering: p(the cat is small) > p(small the is cat)
 - Word choice: p(walking home after school) > p(walking house after school)

Traditional Language Models LMS

- Performance improves with keeping around higher ngrams counts and doing smoothing and so-called backoff (e.g. if 4-gram not found, try 3-gram, etc)
- There are A LOT of n-grams!
 - \rightarrow Gigantic RAM requirements!
- Recent state of the art: Scalable Modified Kneser-Ney Language Model Estimation by Heafield et al.: "Using one machine with 140 GB RAM for 2.8 days, we built an unpruned model on 126 billion tokens"

Remember Word Embeddings

Standard Word Representation

The vast majority of rule-based **and** statistical NLP work regards words as atomic symbols: hotel, conference, walk

In vector space terms, this is a vector with one 1 and a lot of zeroes

[00000000010000]

Dimensionality: 20K (speech) – 50K (PTB) – 500K (big vocab) – 13M (Google 1T)

We call this a "one-hot" representation. Its problem:

Distributional Similarity

You can get a lot of value by representing a word by means of its neighbors

"You shall know a word by the company it keeps"

(J. R. Firth 1957: 11)

One of the most successful ideas of modern statistical NLP

government debt problems turning into banking crises as has happened in

saying that Europe needs unified banking regulation to replace the hodgepodge

▲ These words will represent banking

You can vary whether you use local or large context to get a more syntactic or semantic clustering

Hard/Soft Clustering

Class based models learn word classes of similar words based on distributional information (~ class HMM)

- Brown clustering (Brown et al. 1992)
- Exchange clustering (Martin et al. 1998, Clark 2003)
- Desparsification and great example of unsupervised pre-training

Soft clustering models learn for each cluster/topic a distribution over words of how likely that word is in each cluster

- Latent Semantic Analysis (LSA/LSI), Random projections
- Latent Dirichlet Analysis (LDA), HMM clustering

Distributed Representation

Similar idea

Combine vector space semantics with the prediction of probabilistic models (Bengio et al. 2003, Collobert & Weston 2008, Turian et al. 2010)

In all of these approaches, including deep learning models, a word is represented as a dense vector

	(
		0.286	
		0.792	
		-0.177	
line and stations		-0.107	
IINGUISTICS	=	0.109	
		-0.542	
		0.349	
		0.271	

Visualizing Embeddings

need help come take keep give make get meet continue see want become expect think remain say ^{are} is be wer⊛as being been

> had have

Vector Semantics

Mikolov, Yih & Zweig (2013)

These representations are *way* better at encoding dimensions of similarity than we realized!

 Analogies testing dimensions of similarity can be solved quite well just by doing vector subtraction in the embedding space
 Syntactically

•
$$X_{apple} - X_{apples} \approx X_{car} - X_{cars} \approx X_{family} - X_{families}$$

• Similarly for verb and adjective morphological forms Semantically (Semeval 2012 task 2)

Recursion Nets

- RNNs tie the weights at each time step
- Condition the neural network on all previous words
- RAM requirement only scales with number of words



Recurrent Neural Network language Snodel

Given list of word vectors: $x_1, \ldots, x_{t-1}, x_t, x_{t+1}, \ldots, x_T$

At a single time step:

$$h_{t} = \sigma \left(W^{(hh)} h_{t-1} + W^{(hx)} x_{[t]} \right)$$
$$\hat{y}_{t} = \operatorname{softmax} \left(W^{(S)} h_{t} \right)$$

$$\hat{P}(x_{t+1} = v_j \mid x_t, \dots, x_1) = \hat{y}_{t,j}$$



Recurrent Neural Network language Snodel

Main idea: we use the same set of W weights at all time steps!

Everything else is the same: $h_t = \sigma \left(W^{(hh)} h_{t-1} + W^{(hx)} x_{[t]} \right)$ $\hat{y}_t = \operatorname{softmax} \left(W^{(S)} h_t \right)$ $\hat{P}(x_{t+1} = v_j \mid x_t, \dots, x_1) = \hat{y}_{t,j}$

 $h_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{D_h}$ is some initialization vector for the hidden layer at time step 0

 $x_{[t]}$ is the column vector of L at index [t] at time step t $W^{(hh)} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_h \times D_h}$ $W^{(hx)} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_h \times d}$ $W^{(S)} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V| \times D_h}$

RNN LMs

Recurrent Neural Network language model

 $\hat{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{|V|}$ is a probability distribution over the vocabulary

Same cross entropy loss function but predicting words instead of classes

$$J^{(t)}(\theta) = -\sum_{j=1}^{|V|} y_{t,j} \log \hat{y}_{t,j}$$

Training RNNs is hard!

• Multiply the same matrix at each time step during forward prop



- Ideally inputs from many time steps ago can modify output y
- Take $\frac{\partial E_2}{\partial W}$ for an example RNN with 2 time steps! Insightful!

Clipping Gradients Trick for exploding gradient: clipping trick

• The solution first introduced by Mikolov is to clip gradients to a maximum value.

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Algorithm 1 Pseudo-code for norm clipping the gradients whenever they explode} \\ \hline \hat{\mathbf{g}} \leftarrow \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial \theta} \\ \textbf{if } \| \| \hat{\mathbf{g}} \| \geq threshold \ \textbf{then} \\ \quad \hat{\mathbf{g}} \leftarrow \frac{threshold}{\| \hat{\mathbf{g}} \|} \hat{\mathbf{g}} \\ \textbf{end if} \end{array}$

• Makes a big difference in RNNs.

Slow Softmax? Class Layer



$$P(w_i|history) = P(c_i|\mathbf{s}(t))P(w_i|c_i,\mathbf{s}(t))$$
(1)

- Words are assigned to "classes" based on their unigram frequency
- First, class layer is evaluated; then, only words belonging to the predicted class are evaluated, instead of the whole output layer y [Goodman2001]
- Provides speedup in some cases more than $100 \times$

Perplexity Results

KN5 = Count-based language model with Kneser-Ney smoothing & 5-grams

	Penn Corpus		Switchboard	
Model	NN	NN+KN	NN	NN+KN
KN5 (baseline)	-	141	-	92.9
feedforward NN	141	118	85.1	77.5
RNN trained by BP	137	113	81.3	75.4
RNN trained by BPTT	123	106	77.5	72.5

 Table 2. Comparison of different neural network architectures on

 Penn Corpus (1M words) and Switchboard (4M words).

Table from paper *Extensions of recurrent neural network language model* by Mikolov et al 2011

Learning Curves



The improvement obtained from a single RNN model over the best backoff model increases with more data!



(Irsoy & Cardie, 2014)



x represents a token (word) as a vector.

y represents the output label.

h is the memory, computed from the past memory and current word. It summarizes the sentence up to that time.

Label Bias

- In some state space configurations, MEMMs (and RNNs) essentially ignore the inputs
- This is not a problem for HMMs and CRFs



Bidirectionality



 $h = [\vec{h}; \vec{h}]$ now represents (summarizes) the past and future around a single token.

Going Deep

Are recurrent networks really *deep*? (e.g. like this)








Each memory layer passes an intermediate sequential representation to the next.

Opinion Mining

Fine-grained opinion analysis aims to detect subjectivity (e.g. "hate") and characterize

- Intensity (e.g. strong)
- Sentiment (e.g. negative)
- Opinion holder, target or topic

•

Important for a variety of NLP tasks such as

- Opinion-oriented question answering
- Opinion summarization

Opinion Mining

In this work, we focus on detecting *direct subjective expressions* (DSEs) and *expressive subjective expressions* (ESEs).

DSE: Explicit mentions of private states or speech events expressing private states

ESE: Expressions that indicate sentiment, emotion, etc. without explicitly conveying them.

Example

The committee, [as usual]_{ESE}, [has refused to make any statements]_{DSE}.

In BIO notation (where a token is the atomic unit):

The	CO	mmittee	1	as	usual ,	has
0		0	0	B_ESE	I_ESE O	B_DSE
refuse	ed	to	make	any	statements	•
I_DSI	E	I_DSE	I_DSE	I_DSE	I_DSE	0

CRF et al.

Success of CRF based approaches hinges critically on access to a good feature set, typically based on

- Constituency and dependency parse trees
- Manually crafted opinion lexicons
- Named entity taggers
- Other preprocessing components
- (See Yang and Cardie (2012) for an up-to-date list.)

What about feature learning?

Hypotheses

We expected that deep recurrent nets would improve upon shallow recurrent nets, especially on ESE extraction.

• ESEs are harder to identify: They are variable in length and might involve terms that are neutral in most contexts (e.g. "as usual", "in fact").

How the networks would perform against (semi)CRFs was unclear, especially when CRFs are given access to word vectors.

Results: Examples

True	The situation obviously remains fluid from hour to hour but it [seems to be] [going in the right direction]	
Deep	The situation [obviously] remains fluid from hour to hour but	
RntNN	[seems to be going in the right] direction	
Shallow	The situation [obviously] remains fluid from hour to hour but it	
RntNN	[seems to be going in] the right direction	
Semi- CRF	The situation [obviously remains fluid from hour to hour but it seems to be going in the right direction]	